REPORT TO THE SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD

DATE: December 9, 2021 ; January 20, 2022	APPEAL NO.: SDAB2021-0083 FILE NO.: DP2021-1828
APPEAL BY: Michelle Cariou	
FROM A DECISION OF THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY where a	LAND USE DESIGNATION: R-CG
New: Rowhouse Building (1 building), Secondary Suite (1 building, 4 units), Accessory Residential Building (garage) – setback to side property line	Discretionary
was approved at <u>2037 32 Street SW</u> .	
COMMUNITY OF: Killarney/Glengarry	DATE OF DECISION: October 20, 2021
APPLICANT: Clay Israelson, New Century Design	OWNER: Harijap Singh

The hearing commenced on December 9, 2021, with consideration of procedural and jurisdictional issues. The Board adjourned the hearing to January 20, 2022.

Notes:

- Notice has been given of the hearing pursuant to the *Municipal Government Act* and Land Use Bylaw, including notices to parties who may be affected by the appeal. The final determination of whether a party is an "affected person" will be made by the Board if required.
- This Report is provided as a courtesy only. The Board's record may include additional materials, including notifications to affected parties and correspondence of a procedural or administrative nature.



NOTICE OF APPEAL

SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD

CC 821 (R2014-01)

In accordance with Sections 678 and 686 of the Municipal Government Act and The City of Calgary Bylaw 25P95, as amended, an appeal to the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board must be filed within the legislated time frame and each Notice of Appeal must be accompanied by the legislated fee. For filing instructions and fee payment options, see the reverse side of this form.

2

Online Store Information	1					
Confirmation Number 10395761		Order Number 36809982		Online Form Processed 2021-11-17 8:34:27 PM		
Site Information						
Municipal Address of Site Under 2037 32 ST SW	Appeal			Development F DP2021-1828	Permit/Subdivisio	on Application/File Number
Appellant Information						
Name of Appellant MICHELLE CARIOU				Agent Name (if app	icable)	
Street Address (for notification pu 2035 32 ST SW	irposes)					
City CALGARY	Provinc ALBER			Postal Code T3E 2R2		Residential Phone # 403 690-3852
Business Phone #	Email A			132 21/2		403 030-3032
APPEAL AGAINST	ł					
Development	Permit		Sub	division Applic	ation	Notice of Order
🖌 Approval			A	pproval		Notice of Order
Conditions of	Approval		C	onditions of Approv	al	
Refusal			R	efusal		
REASONS FOR APPEAL Sections 678 and 686 of the Municipal Government Act require that the written Notice of Appeal must contain specific reasons for the appeal. I do hereby appeal the decision of the Subdivision/Development Authority for the following reasons: I appeal the approval of Development Permit File #DP2021-1828 due to its failure to comply with expectations regarding Neighborhood Compatibility (Community Context and Setbacks) and Massing (Privacy and Shading) and its undue negative impact on the adjacent property. Please review information package emailed to info@calgarysdab.ca for details in support of these reasons for appeal.						
In order to assist the Board in scheduling, please answer the following questions to the best of your ability: Estimated presentation time (minutes/hours) Will you be using an agent/legal counsel?						
30 MINUTES Vill you be using an agenuiegal counsel?						
Do you anticipate any preliminary issues with your appeal? (i.e. jurisdiction, parties status as affected persons, adjournment, etc.)						
If yes, what are the issues?						
Do you anticipate bringing any witnesses/experts to your hearing? If yes, how many will you be bringing? Yes ✓ No Unknown			ng?			

This personal information is collected under the authority of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, Section 33(c) and the Municipal Government Act, Sections 678 and 686. NOTE: THIS INFORMATION WILL FORM PART OF A FILE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC. If you have any questions regarding the collection of this information, contact the City Appeal Boards at 403-268-5312 or PO Box 2100 Stn. "M", #8110, Calgary, AB, T2P 2M5.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
Final Date of Appeal	SDAB Appeal Number	Fee Paid	Hearing Date	Date Received
YYYY MM DD 2021 11 18	SDAB2021-0083	Yes No	2021 MM DD 2021 09	November 17, 2021

November 18, 2021

Mr. Brendyn Seymour Planner II, Centre West Area Community Planning Planning & Development The City of Calgary 5th Floor Municipal Building, 800 Macleod Trail SE P.O. Box 2100 Station M, Calgary, Alberta T2P 2M5

RE: Appeal of Development Permit for File #DP2021-1828

Please let this letter serve as an appeal against the Development Permit approved for the construction by New Century Design of a Row House Building (1 building), Secondary Suites (1 building, 4 units) and an Accessory Residential Building (garage) located at 2037 32 ST SW.

This Development Permit should not be granted for the following reasons:

1) Neighborhood Compatibility/Street Context

a) Context re: Streetscape

As stated in the Context Guideline of the Low Density Residential Infill Housing Guidelines for Established Communities (Section 4.2, page 15) "New development should be designed in a manner which is responsive to the local context... In many areas, a general pattern characterizes the streetscape and the community. Any new development should respect this context. A building which is designed without considering its ability to complement its neighbours and the broader community, may negatively affect the character of an area."

In relation to the above-referenced Infill Guidelines developed by the City of Calgary, the subject proposed row house disrupts the street context of neighboring properties and is incompatible with the surrounding Conservation/Infill development.

The Killarney Glengarry ARP is a statutory document that designates the 2037 32 ST SW location as part of the conservation/Infill section of the community. Within this section of Killarney, the vast contiguous majority of new development is designated R-C1/R-C2 (see Display #1 below). Up to this point, our community's land policy (ARP), endorsed and supported by its residents, has ensured that street context is respected when a new development is proposed. The subject proposed Row House Building fails to align with existing street context and therefore we appeal against its approved Development Permit.

Display #1

Streetscape of east and west orientations of 32nd Street SW at 21st Avenue. Note consistent R-C2 development along designated Conservation/Infill section of neighborhood.

4



b) Context re: Setbacks

As stated in the Building Setback from Front Property Line (Front Setback) Guideline in the *Low Density Residential Housing Guidelines for Established Communities* (Section 4.3.1, page 21) "The setbacks of new development should respect the established street pattern... The Front Yard Setbacks of the New Infill Developments should respect those of other houses on the Street."

Under the recently approved Development Permit for 2037 32 ST SW, the new structure will extend approximately 6 metres beyond the front façade of the neighboring structure located at 2035. This front-forward design not only disrupts existing street context but will eliminate mature vegetation while significantly obstructing sightlines of neighboring homes. (See Display #2 below)

Display #2

At left, current front façade of both 2037 and 2035 32 St SW structures. At right, the new design for 2037, with front façade extending 6 metres beyond neighboring home.





2) Massing

As stated in the Building Mass Guideline in the *Low Density Residential Housing Guidelines for Established Communities* (Section 4.4, page 30), "New development should respect the existing scale and massing of its immediate surroundings".

The visual impact of the subject proposed Row House Building, in relation to the adjacent 2035 property, is significant and negative. The building envelope of such development will create substantial loss of privacy and sunlight.

a) Privacy – the width of the subject proposed Row House Building along 21st Avenue will significantly exceed the typical rear setback of adjacent properties and will create an excessive loss of privacy through overlooking. In fact, Unit D of the proposed new development will stretch across the entire depth of the neighboring outdoor yard space and has a slider window directly facing the 2035 yard space.

Display #3

At left, current view from 2035 32 ST SW back yard space, facing south toward 2037. At right, the proposed new development, with Unit D extending the depth of the back yard space of 2035.



b) Shadowing

As outlined in the Building Setback from Rear Property Line (Rear Setback) Guideline of the *Low Density Residential Housing Guidelines for Established Communities* (Section 4.3.4 page 27), "The enjoyment of the neighbour's outdoor rear amenity space should not be unduly diminished by new development. Modifications to the length of a building may be required, particularly when new developments are proposed on the north side of an avenue or east side of a street, where significant shadowing can result."

The subject proposed Row House Building will be located on the north side of 21st Avenue, the orientation from which the most significant shadowing occurs. The table attached in Display #4 (below) was created using Suncalc.org and documents the length of shadow casted by a **9.97 m** high building at annual solstice and equinox dates. The building height of the design plan put forward by New Century Design is 10.31 at its east elevation and 9.63 at its west elevation. We established the building height of 9.97 used for the shadow study by averaging the east and west heights. These shadow measurements predict how the new development will impact neighboring yard amenities at various points throughout the year. The distance from the roof peak of the new development to the northern fence line is 7.86 meters. Therefore, any shadow length longer than 7.86 meters will cast into the adjacent property. Given that the property at 2035 32 ST SW has a width of 7.62 meters, any shadow length of **15.48** (7.86 + 7.62) meters or longer is actually shadowing the entire neighboring back yard space. Measurements highlighted in yellow indicate full backyard shadowing; measurements highlighted in peach indicate partial backyard shadowing. The percentage included in each table cell reflects the specific amount of neighboring yard shaded by the new development. As the table below demonstrates, the shadow cast into 2035 32 ST SW as a result of the proposed new development at 2037 will be significant and detrimental to the enjoyment of the outdoor space of the neighboring property.

Display #4

Shadow lengths cast into neighboring property are significant and detrimental to enjoyment of adjacent outdoor space

	Northward Shadow Length Cast per Time of Day (in Metres				
Season	10am	12pm	2pm	4pm	
Spring Equinox (March 21)	25.84 (100%)	14.25 (84%)	12.13 (56%)	15.99 (100%)	
Summer Solstice (June 21)	11.85 (52%)	6.60 (0%)	5.28 (0%)	8.0 (2%)	
Fall Equinox (Sept 21)	23.50 (100%)	13.74 (77%)	12.33 (59%)	17.13 (100%)	
Winter Equinox (Dec 21)	69.10 (100%)	36.72 (100%)	42.28 (100%)	78.58 (100%)	

= Partial shading of neighboring yard

Full shading of neighboring yard

(%) = The percentage of neighboring back yard shaded by new development

For the above reasons, the current development permit should be revoked. This Development Permit and the preceding rezoning that was granted in December 2020 have faced significant opposition from neighboring property owners, as attested by the following attached documents:

- Community Opposition Letter to Development Permit
- Letter of Opposition to Rezoning Amendment
- Public Hearing presentations to City Council

The Developer has other options to develop this corner lot that would alleviate concerns from neighboring property owners. Densification could be achieved through R-C2 development while aligning with the local context and streetscape and alleviating massing issues (both privacy and shadowing).

We look forward to collaborating toward a solution that best meets the interests of both individual stakeholders and our community.

Regards,

Michelle Cariou Property Owner 2035 32 ST SW Calgary, AB T3E 2R2 7

Appendix

List of previous submissions regarding File #DP2021-1828

Copy of Community Letter of Opposition to Development Permit Application	Page 7
Appellant's Letter of Opposition to Rezoning Amendment	Page 10
Copy of Public Hearing Presentation opposing Rezoning, December 14 th , 2020	Page 13

April 29, 2021

Mr. Brendyn Seymour Planner II, Centre West Area Community Planning Planning & Development The City of Calgary 5th Floor Municipal Building, 800 Macleod Trail SE P.O. Box 2100 Station M, Calgary, Alberta T2P 2M5



Dear Mr. Seymour,

Re: DP2021 – 1828 / FILE #LOC2020-0126 Building Permit Application / DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD Letter of Opposition

Pursuant to recent correspondence from our various members regarding the above noted matter, please let this letter serve as formal opposition notice from the Killarney Residents' Coalition for the above noted Permit Application.

Rejection of Application:

Numerous opposition letters from individual Killarney residents were submitted to the City of Calgary during the rezoning application which consistently outlined strong opposition to the original rezoning application. Rejection criteria is generally based on the following principles:

Updated zoning requirements for the neighborhood were established in consultation with our community. The re-zoning application did not conform or adhere to the parameters established by both the community and the city. Further, there was no engagement by the developer to stakeholder residents that surround the proposed development. Finally, the development does not comply with several city mandates, including the authorized "Main Streets Initiative".

The permit submission and development design is unresponsive to the local context. It,puts forward a development size and scope which is well outside of the ARP and Infill guidelines including but not limited to:

- The elevations for the proposed development are not in keeping with established design criteria.
- Both the style and finishes appear to be of poor quality and not consistent with those currently exhibited in the community.
- The addition of independently accessed suites on such a small parcel of development are not consistent with any other development within the immediate area.
- The massing of a building is a key factor for the successful integration of a new infill development into its setting. The majority of buildings within the City's established communities require a reduced building mass, including height, in order to respect the adjacent homes and streetscape. Homes built to the maximum Bylaw standards can be incompatible and visually disruptive to the street. In this application, height, depth and width

measurements make the project incompatible with its context and will result in a substantial loss of privacy and sunlight to the adjacent property.

- A shadow study for the winter solstice (December 21), the summer solstice (June 21) and the spring equinox (March 21) needs to be provided by the applicant to fully assess the impact the proposed structure will have on the northerly neighbouring property.
- Proposed development will negatively affect the use and enjoyment of the adjacent property to the north, in particular its imposing building bulk, mass, height and the resulting impacts on neighbour's use of their outdoor amenity areas.
- The majority of the vegetation will be destroyed (2 mature spruce tree and one crab apple tree). The root system of the mature birch tree planned to be conserved will be irreversibly damaged during the excavation work with little hope to salvage the tree.

The Director of Development and President for our community failed to represent the interests of the residents during the rezoning application as did the Ward 7 city counsellor. In fact, other city counsellors were opposed to the re-zoning application in a ward they didn't even represent given the dereliction of duties from those claiming to represent the community.

While we can empathize with the city's objective of inner-city densification, the redevelopment from a single house to 8 units within a quiet residential side street is not reasonable or acceptable. At this time, there are no developments above 2 units on the entire R2 zoned section of 32nd Street.

Numerous residents in the area recently purchased dwellings based on the R-2 designation which restricts the densification to reasonable, sustainable levels. Unlike the narrative that is propagated by those with special interests, this proposed development not only reduces property values but penalizes those who originally purchased attached houses in this area specifically for limitations on density (and therefore, congestion, crime and other factors).

The City of Calgary has paused and is reviewing the rollout of The Guidebook for Great Communities due to an avalanche of resident opposition to development initiatives exactly like this one we are opposing. In keeping with the City of Calgary's commitment to review the Guidebook, and its impact on communities like Killarney, this Development Plan must be rejected.

Accordingly, we are requesting that the redevelopment permit in its current form be rejected in its entirety without substantial changes that reflect the above noted community concerns.

If this permit reaches the advertising stage without our consultation, we will take the following steps:

- Appeal the permit application; and
- Direct our legal counsel to secure an injunction to prevent further development until the concerns of the residents are appropriately represented and addressed in an independent tribunal.

About the Killarney Resident's Coalition:

We are a non-profit, community-based association that was formed to represent the best interests of residents based in the Calgary community of inner-Killarney. Our goal is to preserve the safety and aesthetics of our environment for our established community members and those who choose Killarney for the quiet, friendly and safe community.

We recognize that you have a challenging task when trying to meet the objectives for growth and densification on this great city. We are not opposed to development when it is achieved through collaboration that is independent of special interests.

We look forward to resolving this issue and collaborating toward a solution that best meets the interests of both individual stakeholders and our community.

Regards,

Michelle Cariou	Grant Kosowan
President	Community Project Volunteer
Killarney Residents' Coalition	Killarney Residents' Coalition

c.c. Darren Lockhart, Manager, Development Approvals Jyoti Gondek, Mayoral Candidate / Ward 3 Jeremy Farkas, Mayoral Candidate / Ward 11 Nahed Nenshi, City Mayor

> Michelle Cariou Grant Kosowan Joey Stewart Monika Galecki Paul Galecki Lindsey McPherson Stuart McPherson Sherry Garrido Alfredo Garrido Joshua Garrido **Daniel Goulet** Sue Crawford Karin King James Gilfillan Kirill Bryuzgin Ksenia Khatova Claire Hearn Carla Dexter Victoria Rivera David Rivera Roger Keen Susanne Bechtold Annalise Hearn

Michelle Cariou 2035 32 ST SW Calgary, AB T3E 2R2

December 4, 2020

Office of the City Clerk The City of Calgary 700 MacLeod Trail SE PO Box 2100 Postal Station "M" Calgary AB T2P 2M5

Dear Office of the City Clerk,

I, **Michelle Cariou**, am a resident of the neighborhood of Killarney, and I align with other concerned residents in opposition to the application for a Land Use Re-zoning Amendment at 2037 32 ST SW from R-C2 to R-CG (reference #LOC2020-0126).

Our primary concerns about this rezoning application are as follows:

Opposition #1

No Applicant-Led Engagement with the community was undertaken leading up to Application Submission:

Despite the availability of toolkits and city resources aimed at assisting applicants to better engage with residents during the covid pandemic, there was no effort made by this applicant to engage in community outreach.

This applicant did no more than tick the basic boxes in terms of City-mandated minimum activity requirements for application submission. As residents of Killarney, it is clear to us that community engagement from this applicant has been absent and that they demonstrate no insight into what this community wants – and more importantly - where we want it.

Opposition #2

As Killarney residents, we oppose exceptions brought forward to our Area Development Plan (ARP) while it is under review. Until the ARP review process is complete, we consider our ARP to be valid and endorsed by residents through the consultation process.

Killarney has an Area Development Plan (ARP), created in 1986 and vastly amended in 2017. That ARP is a statutory plan, and pursuant to Section 687 of the Municipal Government Act, any decisions regarding rezoning must comply with this statutory plan.

Opposition #3

As per the goals established during the City Council authorized *Main Streets Initiative*, Application Reference # LOC2020-0126 does not align with our shared vision (between City and residents) of how we intend to increase density, services and opportunity in our community.

The Main Streets Program focuses density intensification in communities like Killarney **AROUND THE CORRIDORS** – the main streets in our neighborhoods. As a direct result of this initiative, rezoning amendments in support of densification were vastly extended and approved by bylaw in 2017 in the concentrated sectors of Killarney off 17th Avenue and 37th Street.

2037 32nd Street SW, in the heart of Conservation/Infill in this community, was retained for R-C2 development. As such, it is not on the table as a location for rezoning consideration. The land targeted by this developer was recently reviewed as part of the Main Streets Initiative and was deemed appropriate for its current R-C2 zoning designation.

Opposition #4

This Section of our Community has already - without exception – consistently redeveloped 32nd Street SW (south of 19th Street) in accordance with existing R-C2 zoning requirements. *This location at 2037 32 ST SW is completely surrounded by R-C2 development – 2 detached units behind, 2 attached units in front, 2 attached units beside (all falling within R-C2 zoning requirements).

A zoning exception on this particular land would create a glaring, unnecessary and random fault line in the flow, esthetic and context of the neighborhood.

Opposition #5

One-off, exception-based zoning redesignation approvals create investment uncertainty for existing residents, thus decreasing the appeal of Killarney as a community of choice. We, **as resident stakeholders**, deserve the ability to count on existing zoning guidelines – ones that we have recently helped to establish – in order to make sound investment decisions for our Killarney properties.

Opposition #6

The continued approval of one-off exception-based zoning requests in mature Calgary neighborhoods – particularly during the Covid-19 Pandemic - erodes community confidence that elected City Officials value the trust relationship that needs to exist between neighborhood and municipal administration.

We have worked hard alongside City Planners to establish updated zoning maps for our community. Despite their existence, the City has facilitated this unnecessary and unwelcome rezoning conversation and imposed it on Killarney residents during our very difficult current covid reality.

This submission states, as highlighted in Opposition #1, that "No Applicant-led engagement has been undertaken and "No City-led engagement was undertaken" as part of this application process leading up to the Planning Commission decision on November 5th, 2020.

This left the onus of information sharing entirely on the shoulders of residents. We were forced to door knock and deliver flyers at a time when circulating in the community is legitimately perceived as showing disregard for the public health restrictions imposed during this Provincial State of Emergency. This rezoning process pushed through during covid, and our resulting need to respond and mobilize as a community, causes us to question the value City officials place on their community partnerships. Vote No to this application to demonstrate that this community relationship matters to you.

We intend to have representation at the Public Hearing Meeting on December 14th to reconfirm these concerns in front of Council. We implore the Council to consider these points brought forward, and to vote against this exception that clearly has no welcome place in our community.

Regards,

Michelle Cariou

Presentation to Council, December 14th 2021 Public Hearing

Opposition to File #LOC2020-0126

Good afternoon your worship and Council members,

My name is Michelle Cariou and I own the lot on the sunny north side adjacent to the one put forward for this rezoning amendment.

Killarney has been home to me for many years. I started renting here in 1995 and then was able to purchase my 32nd street property in 2012. I love Killarney and I am invested in how this community evolves, revitalizes and provides opportunity for all who live here.

I oppose this application for a zoning redesignation and I represent the views of many Killarney residents who have reached out to me to express their concern about and opposition to exception-based rezoning approvals that happen far too often in this neighborhood.

Firstly, I oppose this application because it doesn't meet Main Streets Program goals.

The Main Streets Program generated consultation between community and City that resulted in an agreement. We all agreed that increased densification in Killarney would happen off our main corridors of 17th Avenue and 37th Streets. We significantly amended our zoning maps to designate specific sectors of Killarney where densification should happen.

2017 was a significant year for Killarney at which point very planned and organized updates to zoning bylaws took effect in our neighborhood.

But 2017 was NOT a year when developers were invited to declare open season on random densification throughout Conservation/Infill in this community.

Too often we have developers like the applicant today who justify their project by saying "but Killarney welcomes densification. It's happening everywhere in your community." One comment emailed to me by the applicant was "we know change is hard."

Be it noted that random exception-based rezoning amendments are not what Killarney residents agreed to. We believe in increased density in our community when implemented according to the goals and zoning amendments established through Main Streets and through the thoughtful work that continues to take place as part of the Westbrook Communities Local Area Planning project.

Why would you undermine the strategic work that continues to happen on building out densification plans for inner city communities by approving random exceptions that aren't measured against the development goals we continue to define?

Secondly, I oppose this application due to the complete absence of applicant-led community engagement that took place leading up to application submission. If the applicant had shown any commitment to understanding this community, they would have heard loud and clear, before the Planning Commission meeting on November 5th, that we place high importance on the City's commitment to allow us to protect the inner sector of this community - the Conservation/Infill sector – that retains original aspects of this mature neighborhood. R-C2 zoning is the key to protecting that original community context. This applicant failed to meet

even minimum expectations regarding application led engagement, another reason to reject the application.

Thirdly I oppose this application due to the fact that in this contiguous section of Conservation/Infill, RC2 zoned land in Killarney, all of the extensive redevelopment that has already taken place has been, without exception, in compliance with existing R-C2 zoning regulations. This particular property, recently purchased by the landowner represented by this applicant, is completely surrounded by R-C2 redeveloped, 2 unit per lot dwellings; an attached RC2 in front, an attached RC2 beside, and 2 detached RC2's across the alley.

Because I haven't provided a photo, let me paint you a picture. When I walk this street from 19th Avenue south to 26th Avenue, there are only 24 original bungalows remaining. Every other lot within those 4 long blocks has R-C2 developed homes already established. We have 140 infills within these 4 blocks of Conservation/Infill and the flow of infill development is only interrupted by the original bungalows that remain.

To approve this exception would create and glaring faultline in the flow, esthetic and context of this sector of Conservation/Infill in Killarney.

In the midst of a pandemic, when we've all been asked to reduce our public footprint, Killarney residents have been forced to circulate in our community to once again build a case for Council as to why zoning agreement matter to us.

Vote No to this application to demonstrate that the resident voice in fact matters to you.

17



18





October 21, 2021

NEW CENTURY DESIGN Clay Israelson

Dear Sir/Madam:

RE: Notification of Decision: DP2021-1828

Subject: New: Rowhouse Building (1 buillding), Secondary Suite (1 building, 4 units), Accessory Residential Building (garage) - setback to side property line

Project: Rowhouse

Address: 2037 32 ST SW

This is your notification of decision by the Development Authority to approve the above noted application on October 20, 2021.

Read all of the Permanent Conditions of approval carefully as they form part of the approval decision. The Prior to Release Requirements must be met to the satisfaction of the Development Authority before your Development Permit will be released to you. The Permanent Conditions form part of the approval decision. Advisory Comments, if applicable, are also attached and are intended to be of assistance in obtaining additional permits and supplementary information for the successful completion of your development.

Development approved by this permit must commence by October 20, 2023 or the development permit shall cease to be valid.

The decision will be advertised beginning October 28, 2021 at www.calgary.ca/publicnotices, which is the start of the mandatory 21-day appeal period. This appeal period will conclude at midnight November 18, 2021. Release of the permit will occur within 2-4 business days following the conclusion of the appeal period and upon receipt of all Prior to Release requirements.

An appeal along with reasons must be submitted, together with payment of \$200.00 fee, to the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board (4th floor, 1212 31 Avenue N.E., Calgary, AB T2E 7S8) within 21 days of receipt of this letter. An appeal may also be filed online at <u>http://www.calgarysdab.ca</u>. To obtain an appeal form, for information on appeal submission options or the appeal process, please call (403) 268-5312.

Please note that this letter is to advise you of the conditions of approval, the mandatory advertising appeal period and the timeframe in which you may appeal this decision. If no appeals have been filed during the appeal period, and the Prior to Release conditions have been met, your Development Permit will be released. Should you require clarification of the above or further information, please contact me at (403) 268-1543 or by email at Brendyn.Seymour@calgary.ca and assist me by quoting the Development Permit number.

Yours truly,

Brendyn Seymour Planner 2 Planning and Development Attachment(s)



DEVELOPMENT PERMIT LAND USE BYLAW NO 1P2007

20

DP2021-1828

This permit relates to land in the City of Calgary municipally described as:

2037 32 ST SW

Community: Killarney/Glengarry

L.U.D.:**R-CG**

and legally described as:

1773FR;1;1

and permits the land to be used for the following development:

New: Rowhouse Building (1 buillding), Secondary Suite (1 building, 4 units), Accessory Residential Building (garage) - setback to side property line

The present owner and any subsequent owner of the above described land must comply with any attached conditions.

The development has been approved subject to any attached conditions and to full compliance with the approved plans bearing the stamp of approval and the above development permit number.

Decision By: Development Authority

Date of Decision: October 20, 2021

Development Authority Fazeel Elahi

File Manager: Brendyn Seymour

Release Date:

This permit will not be valid if development has not commenced by: October 20, 2023

This Development Permit was advertised on: October 28, 2021

This is NOT a Building Permit

In addition to your Development Permit, a Building Permit may be required, prior to any work commencing. further information, you should contact the City of Calgary, Planning, Development & Assessment - Building Regulations Division.

WARNING

This permit does not relieve the owner or the owner's authorized agent from full compliance with the requirements of any federal, provincial or other municipal legislation, or the terms and conditions of any easement, covenant, building scheme or agreement affecting the building or land.

Applicant:NEW CENTURY DESIGNAddress:SITE 23 BOX 25 RR#1City:DEWINTON, ALBERTA, TOL 0X0Phone:4039693729

Complete Address and Legal Description listing for Development Permit DP2021-1828

Address TypeAddressParcel2037 32

2037 32 ST SW

Legal Description 1773FR;1;1





APPLICATION FOR A DEVELOPMENT PERMIT LAND USE BYLAW NO 1P2007

294279359-001 Taken By: SMM			Application Date Mar 19, 2021
APPLICATION NO DP2021-1828			
I/We hereby make application for a Development Permit u Land Use Bylaw in accordance with these plans and support herewith and which form part of this application.			Total Fees: \$0.00 Cart #:
Applicant: NEW CENTURY DESIGN		: Israelson, Clay	
Address: SITE 23 BOX 25 RR#1	Phone:		
City: DEWINTON, ALBERTA, TOL 0X0 Phone:	Fax: e-mail:	c	
Parcel Address: 2037 32 ST SW	Parcel (Dwner: HARIJAP S 2037 32 ST	
Legal: 1773FR;1;1	e-mail:		AB CANADA T3E 2R2
L.U.D.: R-CG			
Community: KILLARNEY/GLENGARRY Sec. Number: 7C Ward: 08			
Description: New: Rowhouse Building (1 buillding), Sec Suite (1 building, 4 units), Accessory Resid Building (garage) - setback to side property Rowhouse	ential		rea: 4323 feet - velling Units: 4
Proposed Development is: Discretionary			
Proposed Use: Accessory Residential Building			
Rowhouse Building			
Secondary Suite			
I agree to receive correspondence via electronic message related to this application.			
By signing below, I confirm that the contact information provided above is accurate and further, acknowledge the ability of the General Manager - Planning and Development to inactivate and cancel incomplete applications.			

Applicant / Agent Signature:

The personal information on this form is being collected under the authority of The Municipal Government Act, Section 640, and The City of Calgary Land Use Bylaw 1P2007 (Part 2) and amendments thereto. It will be used for the permit review and inspection processes. It may also be used to conduct ongoing evaluations of services received from Planning, Development & Assessment. The name of the applicant and the nature of the permit will be available to the public. Please send inquiries by mail to the FOIP Program Administrator, Planning, Development & Assessment, PO Box 2100, Station M, Calgary, AB T2P 2M5 or contact us by phone at 311.

Track your application on-line with **VISTA** Go to: www.calgary.ca/vista and enter your JOB ACCESS CODE (JAC)D or call our Planning Support Centre at (403)268-5311.

Date:



Conditions of Approval – Development Permit

Application Number: Application Description: Land Use District: Use Type: Site Address: Community: Applicant:	DP2021-1828 New: Rowhouse Building (1 buillding), Secondary Suite (1 building, 4 units), Accessory Residential Building (garage) - setback to side property line Residential - Grade-Oriented Infill Discretionary 2037 32 ST SW KILLARNEY/GLENGARRY NEW CENTURY DESIGN
CPAG Team Planning: Development Engineering: Parks: Transportation:	BRENDYN SEYMOUR (403) 268-1543 Brendyn.Seymour@calgary.ca DAVID BERGE (587) 216-7720 David.Berge@calgary.ca KIT MOK (403) 268-2914 kit.mok@calgary.ca FABIAN SNYDERS (587) 576-4315 Fabian.Snyders@calgary.ca

23

Prior to Release Requirements

The following requirements shall be met prior to the release of the permit. All requirements shall be resolved to the satisfaction of the Approving Authority:

Planning

- Submit a complete digital set of the amended plans in PDF format and a separate PDF that provides a point-by-point explanation as to how each of the Prior to Release conditions were addressed and/or resolved. The submitted plans must comprehensively address the Prior to Release conditions as specified in this document. Ensure that all plans affected by the revisions are amended accordingly. To arrange the digital submission, please contact your File Manager directly.
- 2. Some areas of the plan do not show landscaping material, including in the east setback area and between the bins and garage. Amend the plans to indicate the landscaping material proposed in these areas.

Development Engineering

 Submit three (3) sets of the Development Site Servicing Plan details to Development Servicing, Inspections and Permits, for review and acceptance from Water Resources, as required by Section 5 (2) of the Utility Site Servicing Bylaw 33M2005. Contact WA-ResourcesDevelopmentApprovals@calgary.ca for additional details.

For further information, refer to the following:

Design Guidelines for Development Site Servicing Plans https://www.calgary.ca/PDA/pd/Documents/urban_development/publications/DSSP-Design-Guidelines.pdf

Development Site Servicing Plans CARL (requirement list) http://www.calgary.ca/PDA/pd/Documents/development/development-site-servicingplan.pdf

If the applicant would like to pursue a main extension at their expense, they must enter into an indemnification agreement for work within the City Right-of-way. This must be completed prior to the DSSP application.

4. After the Development Permit is approved but prior to its release, the landowner shall execute an Off-Site Levy Agreement for the payment of off-site levies pursuant to Bylaw 2M2016. The off-site levy is based on a 2021 development approval date and was based on the following:

Phase Description Unit(s) 1 2037 32 ST SW Existing Single: 1/New Grade: 4

Based on the information above, the preliminary estimate is \$10,931.00. Should payment be made prior to release of the development permit, an Off-Site Levy Agreement will not be required.

- Include the completed Payment Submission Form, which was emailed to the applicant.

- Only certified cheques or bank drafts made payable to the City of Calgary are acceptable.

To obtain an off-site levy agreement or for further information, contact the Calgary Approvals Coordination, Infrastructure Strategist (ROB HIRBER at 403-268-5138 or Rob.Hirber@calgary.ca) or offsitelevy@calgary.ca.

Transportation

5. Remit a performance security deposit (certified cheque, bank draft, letter of credit) for the proposed infrastructure listed below within the public right-of-way to address the requirements of the Business Unit. The amount of the deposit is calculated by Roads and is based on 100% of the estimated cost of construction. The developer is responsible to arrange for the construction of the infrastructure with their own forces and to enter into an Indemnification Agreement with Roads at the time of construction (the security deposit will be used to secure the work).

Track your application on-line with VISTA. Go to: <u>www.calgary.ca/vista</u> and enter your JOB ACCESS CODE (JAC) from the application form or call Planning Services Counter at (403) 268-5311.

Roads

a. Closure and removal of existing driveway crossings on 21 Avenue S.W., and install a new driveway crossing of reduced width in it's place,

c. Rehabilitation of existing driveway crossings, sidewalks, curb and gutter, etc., should it be deemed necessary through a site inspection by Roads personnel.

6. Remit payment (certified cheque, bank draft) for the proposed infrastructure listed below within the public right-of-way to address the requirements of the Business Units. The amount is calculated by the respective Business Unit and is based on 100% of the estimated cost of construction.

The developer is responsible to coordinate the timing of the construction by City forces. The payment is non-refundable.

Roads

Street lighting upgrading adjacent to site frontages (If required).

Parks

7. Amend the Site Plan:

a) Indicate a note that 'An Urban Forestry Technician must be on-site during excavation within 5meteres of the existing public birch. This is to mitigate any damage to adjacent public tree. Contact Urban Forestry by phoning 311 at least three (3) business days in advance of excavation.'

Note: If tree canopy or root system is damaged to the point where the tree becomes unstable, then Urban Forestry will require their removal using an approved indemnified tree contractor at applicant's expense, plus compensation for the removed tree.

b) Indicate a note that 'If clearance pruning of public trees is required, Urban Forestry must be notified minimum two business days notice and an indemnified contractor must be used at the applicants expense. Please contact Urban Forestry at 311 for more information.'

Note: Pruning should be done at the earliest time possible to minimize impacts to the tree during construction.

c) Indicate the new proposed retaining wall along the east property line as indicated on Site Grading Plan.

Permanent Conditions

The following permanent conditions shall apply:

Planning

- 8. The development shall be completed in its entirety, in accordance with the approved plans and conditions. The stamped and signed plans are a legal document.
- 9. No changes to the approved plans shall take place unless authorized by the Development Authority. If changes to the development occur or are proposed, a new development permit or revised plan application may be required.
- 10. A development completion permit must be issued for the development before the use is commenced or the development occupied. A development completion permit is independent from the requirements of City of Calgary Building Regulations inspections and permission for occupancy. Call Development Inspection Services at 403-268-5311 to request a site inspection for a development completion permit.
- 11. Prior to issuance of a development completion permit, provide copies of the land titles and registered subdivision or bare land condominium plan. These documents must comply with the Land Use Bylaw 1P2007 requirements that prohibit more than one Secondary Suite per parcel or bare land unit containing a Dwelling Unit.
- 12. When the main floor of each building is constructed, submit the geodetic elevation to <u>Geodetic.Review@Calgary.ca</u>

Development Engineering

If during construction of the development, the developer, the owner of the titled parcel, or any of their agents or contractors becomes aware of any contamination,
 a. the person discovering such contamination shall immediately report the contamination to the appropriate regulatory agency including, but not limited to, Alberta Environment and Parks, Alberta Health Services and The City of Calgary (311).

b. on City of Calgary lands or utility corridors, The City of Calgary, Environmental Risk and Liability group shall be immediately notified (311).

14. The developer / project manager, and their site designates, shall ensure a timely and complete implementation, inspection and maintenance of all practices specified in erosion and sediment control report and/or drawing(s) which comply with Section 3.0 of The City of Calgary Guidelines for Erosion and Sediment Control. Any amendments to the ESC documents must comply with the requirements outlined in Section 3.0 of The City of Calgary Guidelines for Erosion and Sediment Control.

For other projects where an erosion and sediment control report and/or drawings have not been required at the Prior to Release stage, the developer, or their designates, shall, as a minimum, develop an erosion and sediment control drawing and implement good housekeeping practices to protect onsite and offsite storm

Track your application on-line with VISTA. Go to: <u>www.calgary.ca/vista</u> and enter your JOB ACCESS CODE (JAC) from the application form or call Planning Services Counter at (403) 268-5311.

drains, and to prevent or mitigate the offsite transport of sediment by the forces of water, wind and construction traffic (mud-tracking) in accordance with the current edition of The City of Calgary Guidelines for Erosion and Sediment Control. Some examples of good housekeeping include stabilization of stockpiles, stabilized and designated construction entrances and exits, lot logs and perimeter controls, suitable storm inlet protection and dust control.

The City of Calgary Guidelines for Erosion and Sediment Control can be accessed at: www.calgary.ca/ud (under publications).

For all soil disturbing projects, the developer, or their representative, shall designate a person to inspect all erosion and sediment control practices a minimum of every seven (7) days and during, or within 24 hours of, the onset of significant precipitation (> 12 mm of rain in 24 hours, or rain on wet or thawing soils) or snowmelt events. Note that some practices may require daily or more frequent inspection. Erosion and sediment control practices shall be adjusted to meet changing site and winter conditions.

- 15. Contact the Erosion Control Inspector, Water Resources, with at least two business days notice, to set up a pre-construction meeting prior to commencement of stripping and grading. Locations north of 17 Avenue S should contact 403-268-5271. Sites south of 17 Avenue S should contact 403-268-1847.
- 16. Stormwater runoff must be contained and managed in accordance with the Stormwater Management & Design Manual all to the satisfaction of the Director of Water Resources.
- 17. The grades indicated on the approved Development Site Servicing Plan(s) must match the grades on the approved Development Permit plans. Upon a request from the Development Authority, the developer or owner of the titled parcel must confirm under seal from a Consulting Engineer or Alberta Land Surveyor, that the development was constructed in accordance with the grades submitted on the Development Permit and Development Site Servicing Plan.
- 18. Pursuant to Bylaw 2M2016, off-site levies are applicable.
- 19. After approval of the Development Permit but prior to issuance of a Development Completion Permit or any occupancy of the building, payment shall be made for offsite levies pursuant to Bylaw 2M2016. To obtain a final estimate contact the Calgary Approvals Coordination, Infrastructure Strategist (ROB HIRBER at 403-268-5138 or Rob.Hirber@calgary.ca) or offsitelevy@calgary.ca.

- Include the completed Payment Submission Form, which was emailed to the applicant.

- Only certified cheques or bank drafts made payable to the City of Calgary are acceptable.

Transportation

- 20. The developer shall be responsible for the cost of public work and any damage during construction in City road right-of-ways, as required by the Manager, Track your application on-line with VISTA. Go to: www.calgary.ca/vista and enter your JOB ACCESS CODE (JAC)
 - from the application form or call Planning Services Counter at (403) 268-5311.

Transportation Planning. All work performed on public property shall be done in accordance with City standards.

21. Indemnification Agreements are required for any work to be undertaken adjacent to or within City rights-of-way, bylawed setbacks and corner cut areas for the purposes of crane operation, shoring, tie-backs, piles, surface improvements, lay-bys, utility work, +15 bridges, culverts, etc. All temporary shoring, etc., installed in the City rights-of-way, bylawed setbacks and corner cut areas must be removed to the satisfaction of the Manager of Transportation Planning, at the applicant's expense, upon completion of the foundation. Prior to permission to construct, contact the Indemnification Agreement Coordinator, Roads at roadsia@calgary.ca

Parks

- 22. Public trees located on the boulevard adjacent to the development site shall be retained and protected unless otherwise authorized by Urban Forestry. Prior to construction, install a temporary fence around the extent of the branches ("drip line") and ensure no construction materials are stored inside this fence.
- 23. Tree protection information given as per the approved development permit does not constitute Tree Protection Plan approval. Prior to any construction activities, Tree Protection Plan approval must be obtained separately through Urban Forestry. Visit www.calgary.ca, call 311, or email tree.protection@calgary.ca for more information.
- 24. In order to ensure the integrity of existing public trees and roots, construction access is only permitted through the rear lane and outside the drip line of public tree.
- 25. In order to ensure the integrity of existing public tree and roots, no grade changes are permitted in the boulevard within the drip line.
- 26. Any tree planting in the City boulevard shall be performed and inspected in accordance with Parks Development Guidelines and Standard Specifications Landscape Construction (current edition). Applicant is to contact the Parks Development Inspector at 403-804-9417 or 311 to arrange an inspection.

Advisory Comments

The following advisory comments are provided as a courtesy to the Applicant and registered property owner. The comments represent some, but not all of the requirements contained in the Land Use Bylaw that must be complied with as part of this approval.

Planning

- 27. The Applicant may appeal the decision of the Development Authority, including any of the conditions of the development permit. If you decide to file an appeal, please refer to the notification of decision letter for the appropriate appeal body and appeal process.
- 28. In addition to this development permit, building permits may also be required. Building permit applications may be submitted upon approval of the associated development permit. Contact Building Regulations at 403-268-5311 for further information.
- 29. There are many types of caveats and other agreements that can be registered on the title of the property that can restrict the ability to develop. The City has not reviewed or considered all instruments registered on the title to this property. Property owners must evaluate whether this development is in compliance with any documents registered on title.

Development Engineering

30. The developer is responsible for ensuring that:

a. The environmental conditions of the subject property and associated utility corridors meet appropriate regulatory criteria and appropriate environmental assessment, remediation or risk management is undertaken.

b. Appropriate environmental assessment(s) of the property has been undertaken and, if required, a suitable remedial action plan and/or risk management plan has been prepared, reviewed and accepted by the appropriate regulatory agency(s) including but not limited to Alberta Environment and Parks and Alberta Health Services.

c. The development conforms to any reviewed and accepted remedial action plan/risk management plans.

d. All reports are prepared by a qualified professional in accordance with accepted guidelines, practices and procedures that include but are not limited to those in the most recent versions of the Canadian Standards Association and City of Calgary Phase I & II Environmental Site Assessment Terms of Reference.

e. The development is in compliance with applicable environmental approvals (e.g. Alberta Environment and Parks Approvals, Registrations, etc.), Alberta Energy Regulator approvals and related setback requirements, and landfill setback requirements as set out in the Subdivision and Development Regulation.

If the potential for methane generation or vapours from natural or contaminated soils and groundwater has been identified on the property, the developer is responsible for ensuring appropriate environmental assessment(s) of the property has been

Track your application on-line with VISTA. Go to: <u>www.calgary.ca/vista</u> and enter your JOB ACCESS CODE (JAC) from the application form or call Planning Services Counter at (403) 268-5311.

undertaken and appropriate measures are in place to protect the building(s) and utilities from the entry of methane or other vapours.

Issuance of this permit does not absolve the developer from complying with and ensuring the property is developed in accordance to applicable environmental legislation.

- 31. Water connection is available from 21 AV SW (300 Cl, 1954) and 32 ST SW (150 Cl, 1948).
- 32. Show details of servicing and metering on Development Site Servicing Plan. Provide adequate water meter locations (100mm or larger, room adjacent to an exterior wall, 50mm or less, label water meter location) where services enter building. If static pressure exceeds 550 kPa install pressure reducing device after meter.
- 33. Maintain a 3.0m separation between Enmax facilities (power poles, light standards, transformer pads, catch basins, etc.) with the proposed water service.
- 34. Review with Fire Prevention Bureau at 403-268-5378 for on-site hydrant coverage and Siamese connection location(s). A site servicing (hydrant location plan) stamped by the Fire Prevention Bureau is to be submitted at the Development Site Servicing Plan stage. (Principal entrance(s) are to be labeled on the plan.)
- 35. Each unit must be individually metered.
- 36. The applicant must apply for water and sewer connections as per City Standards.
- 37. Sanitary sewer connection is available from 32 ST SW (200 VCT, 1947).
- 38. Storm sewer connection is available from 21 AV SW (375 CON, 1959).
- 39. The applicant is encouraged to explore and adopt stormwater volume control options for this development.
- 40. Surface ponding (trapped lows) should be designed to contain all the flow generated from the 100-year storm events.
- 41. Where possible, discharge of roof leaders should be directed onto grassed or pervious areas to help reduce the volume of runoff. Alternatively, the roof leaders may be directed to the on-site storm sewer system.
- 42. Storm Redevelopment Fees (\$84 / m frontage) will be required at the service connection stage.
- 43. Controlled stormwater discharge is required for the subject site. The allowable release rate is 50 l/s/ha.
- 44. All on-site sewers are to be designed to City of Calgary specifications.

Track your application on-line with VISTA. Go to: <u>www.calgary.ca/vista</u> and enter your JOB ACCESS CODE (JAC) from the application form or call Planning Services Counter at (403) 268-5311.

- 45. Ensure elevations of building slab and/or any building openings are 0.3m minimum above trap low spill elevations or the 100-year elevation, whichever is higher. The minimum grade within the lot adjacent to the trap low must be 0.3m higher than the 1:100-year elevation in the trap low or spill elevation, whichever is higher. This minimum grade must be achieved within a 6.0m distance from the common property line of the lot and the road right-of-way.
- 46. Weeping tile is required to tie to the storm sewer unless a qualified soils consultant has determined otherwise. The consultant shall use the criteria set out in Section 3.3.6.8 of the Stormwater Management & Design Manual. A letter with the appropriate elevations (in metric geodetic) and information will be required by Water Resources.
- 47. As per The City of Calgary Drainage Bylaw 37M2005, the developer, and those under their control, are responsible for ensuring that a Drainage Permit is obtained from Water Resources prior to discharging impounded runoff (caused by rainfall and/or snowmelt) seepage or groundwater from construction site excavations or other areas to a storm sewer. The developer, and those under their control, is responsible for adhering to all conditions and requirements stipulated in the Drainage Permit at all times. For further information, contact the Corporate Call Centre at 311 or visit http://www.calgary.ca/UEP/Water/Pages/Watersheds-and-rivers/Erosion-and-sediment-control/Report-and-Drawings-Templates-and-Guides.aspx (Drainage Permit applications can be downloaded from this website).
- 48. Stormwater emergency escape routes must be to a public roadway.
- 49. For questions and concerns regarding waste storage facilities, refer to the Development Reviews: Design Standards for the Storage and Collection of Waste Found at: http://www.calgary.ca/UEP/WRS/Pages/Commercial-Services/Development-Permits-Waste-Recycling.aspx.

Transportation

50. Garage aprons at rear must tie to the existing lane grades. Lane grades will be provided on the grade slip issued by Development Servicing. It is the responsibility of developer, contractor, or homeowner to set the elevations of the garage slab based on the lot grading and to ensure that garage is operationally accessible and that it ties to established land grades. Lane grades are not to be altered without the approval of Roads.

Parks

- 51. The Streets Bylaw (20M88) and the Tree Protection Bylaw (23M2002) contain clauses intended to protect trees growing on Public Land. No person shall remove, move, cut, or prune a Public Tree or cause a Public Tree to be removed, moved, cut or pruned without prior written authorization from the Director, Parks. A copy of the bylaw can be found at www.calgary.ca. Parks does not permit the removal of public trees to facilitate development unless all options to retain and protect are exhausted.
- 52. If clearance pruning of public trees is required, Urban Forestry must be notified minimum two business days notice and an indemnified contractor must be used at Track your application on-line with VISTA. Go to: <u>www.calgary.ca/vista</u> and enter your JOB ACCESS CODE (JAC) from the application form or call Planning Services Counter at (403) 268-5311.

the applicants expense. Please contact Urban Forestry at 311 for more information.

- 53. An Urban Forestry Technician must be on-site to mitigate possible root damage to adjacent public trees during excavation closer than 5m of the existing public birch tree. Prior to construction, contact Urban Forestry at 311 and ask to speak to an Urban Forestry Technician. Urban Forestry requires minimum two business days notice prior to meeting onsite.
- 54. As part of the Tree Protection Bylaw, a Tree Protection Plan will be required when a development, construction activity, or a disturbance occurring on the City Boulevard is within 6 metres of a boulevard tree. For more information about submitting your tree protection plan visit www.calgary.ca and search protecting trees during construction and development; alternatively, call 311 or email tree.protection@calgary.ca. Applicant is to apply for tree protection plan prior to demolition
- 55. The applicant will be required to provide compensation to the City of Calgary for any Public Trees that are removed or damaged. The Public Tree(s) adjacent to this development is/are valued at \$6,102.51. Applicants that are unfamiliar with tree protection or tree appraisal are advised to consult an arborist.
- 56. Tree plantings within City of Calgary boulevards and/or right of ways are subject to approval from Utility Line Assignment and Parks.
- 57. No stockpiling or dumping of construction materials is permitted on the adjacent boulevard.



LAND TITLE CERTIFICATE

s LINC SHORT LEGAL TITLE NUMBER 0020 072 138 1773FR;1;1 081 142 809 LEGAL DESCRIPTION PLAN 1773FR BLOCK 1 LOT 1 EXCEPTING THEREOUT ALL MINES AND MINERALS ESTATE: FEE SIMPLE ATS REFERENCE: 5;1;24;7;NW MUNICIPALITY: CITY OF CALGARY REFERENCE NUMBER: 051 095 249 ------REGISTERED OWNER(S) REGISTRATION DATE (DMY) DOCUMENT TYPE VALUE CONSIDERATION _____ 081 142 809 18/04/2008 AFFIDAVIT OF SURVIVING JOINT TENANT OWNERS JOHN M F BETTENCOURT OF 5950 SIGNAL RIDGE HEIGHTS SW CALGARY ALBERTA T3H 2K1 _____ _____ ENCUMBRANCES, LIENS & INTERESTS REGISTRATION NUMBER DATE (D/M/Y) PARTICULARS _____ --------------101 160 690 01/06/2010 MORTGAGE MORTGAGEE - BANK OF MONTREAL. 865 HARRINGTON COURT BURLINGTON ONTARIO L7N3P3 ORIGINAL PRINCIPAL AMOUNT: \$139,379 101 160 691 01/06/2010 CAVEAT

SDAB2021-0083

(CONTINUED)

ENCUMBRANCES, LIENS & INTERESTS

PAGE 2 # 081 142 809

REGISTRATION NUMBER DATE (D/M/Y) PARTICULARS

RE : ASSIGNMENT OF RENTS AND LEASES CAVEATOR - BANK OF MONTREAL. 865 HARRINGTON COURT BURLINGTON ONTARIO L7N3P3 AGENT - JONATHAN CHEUNG

TOTAL INSTRUMENTS: 002

THE REGISTRAR OF TITLES CERTIFIES THIS TO BE AN ACCURATE REPRODUCTION OF THE CERTIFICATE OF TITLE REPRESENTED HEREIN THIS 13 DAY OF AUGUST, 2020 AT 09:16 A.M.

ORDER NUMBER: 39906609

CUSTOMER FILE NUMBER:



END OF CERTIFICATE

THIS ELECTRONICALLY TRANSMITTED LAND TITLES PRODUCT IS INTENDED FOR THE SOLE USE OF THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER, AND NONE OTHER, SUBJECT TO WHAT IS SET OUT IN THE PARAGRAPH BELOW.

THE ABOVE PROVISIONS DO NOT PROHIBIT THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER FROM INCLUDING THIS UNMODIFIED PRODUCT IN ANY REPORT, OPINION, APPRAISAL OR OTHER ADVICE PREPARED BY THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER AS PART OF THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER APPLYING PROFESSIONAL, CONSULTING OR TECHNICAL EXPERTISE FOR THE BENEFIT OF CLIENT(S).

PROSPERITAS VENTURES LTD

Kam Dhaliwal

901-209 E 7 AVE VANCOUVER BC V5T 0H3

contact phone

City of Calgary Planning & Development P.O. Box 2100, Stn. M, # 8108 Calgary, AB, Canada T2P 2M5

To Whom It May Concern,

With regards to	2037 32 St SV	V		
Please be advise	Harijar	o Singh	am:	
(select one	2)			
\odot	the owner of th	e above mentioned	property, and that I authorize	
0	 an officer or director of the owner(s) of the above mentioned property, and that I am authorized by that owner to authorize 			
New Century Design		and/or its	Clay Israelson	
agent or company na	me		applicant, consultant, contractor (if applicable)	
to apply for any and all Development Permit				
for the above me				
I further agree to above informati	•	otify The City of Ca	lgary, in writing, of any changes regarding the	
March 19 202	1			
date signed				

Harijap Singh

signature of owner

name of owner (printed)

FOIP DISCLAIMER: The personal information on this form is being collected under the authority of The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy (FOIP) Act, Section 33(c). It will be used to provide operating programs, account services and to process payments received for said services. It may also be used to conduct ongoing evaluations of services received from Planning & Development. Please send inquiries by mail to the FOIP Program Administrator, Planning & Development, PO Box 2100, Station M, Calgary, AB T2P 2M5 or contact us by phone at 311.

ISC: Confidential Revised 2016 September 30 2037 32 ST SW

Site Photos

LOC Application



2037 (subject lot)

2033

2029



2037 (subject lot)


2042 33 St SW



2042 33 St SW(Front)



2042 33 St SW (Rear)

SDAB2021-0083



Public Tree Disclosure Statement

The City of Calgary Street Bylaw (20M88) and the Tree Protection Bylaw (23M2002) protect trees growing on City (public) land. An approved Tree Protection Plan is required when construction activities occur within 6m of a public tree. More information regarding protecting trees during construction and development is found here. Public trees are required to be shown on plans submitted for this application.

38

Are there public trees on the City lands within six meters of and/or overhanging the O Yes O No development site?

If you answered yes, ensure all trees identified are shown on the submitted plans.

Note: if you are not sure how to determine which trees are yours and which are public, you can:

- a. Use the <u>City's tree map</u> (may not be up to date for your property)
- b. Contact 3-1-1 to put in a "development tree inquiry" to get confirmation from an Urban Forester
- c. Send inquiries to tree.protection@calgary.ca
- 2. Who will be submitting the Tree Protection Plan for this development?

Applicant	Owner OBu	uilder 🔾 Other:	
If Other:	Name: <u>CLAY ISR</u> Email:	AELSON	Phone:

The Tree Protection Plan must be submitted directly to Urban Forestry at <u>tree.protection@Calgary.ca</u> following the <u>Tree</u> <u>Protection Plan Guidelines</u>.

FOIP DISCLAIMER: The personal information on this form is being collected under the authority of The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy (FOIP) Act, Section 33(c). It will be used to provide operating programs, account services and to process payments received for said services. It may also be used to conduct ongoing evaluations of services received from Planning, Development & Assessment. Please send inquiries by mail to the FOIP Program Administrator, Planning, Development & Assessment, PO Box 2100, Station M, Calgary, AB T2P 2M5 or contact us by phone at 311.



Abandoned Well Declaration

Application # _

for office use only

Site Address: 2037 32 ST SW

Legal Description: LOT 1, BLOCK 1, PLAN 1773FR

The *Municipal Government Act's Subdivision and Development Regulations (Alberta Regulation 160/2012)* requires developers to identify abandoned oil and gas wells and, where present, to comply with setback requirements as identified in the Energy Resources Conservation Board (ERCB) <u>Directive 079: Surface</u> <u>Development in Proximity to Abandoned Wells</u>.

39

You are responsible for the accuracy of the information provided in this statement. The questions must be answered to the best of your knowledge based upon diligent inquiries and a thorough inspection and review.

- 1. Provide a map of the subject parcel showing the presence or absence of abandoned wells.
 - User Guide to Finding Abandoned Wells on GeoDiscover Alberta's Map Viewer
 - Abandoned Well Locations on GeoDiscover Alberta's Map Viewer

NOTE: The map must show the actual well location, as identified in the field, including the surface coordinates (available on the Abandoned Well Map Viewer or by contacting the ERCB Customer Contact Centre at 1-855-297-8311) and the 5 metre setback established in <u>ERCB Directive 079</u> in relation to existing or proposed building sites.

- 2. Are there abandoned Oil/Gas wells located within 5 m of the site? ☐Yes ☑No If you answered 'yes', please answer question 3 and include the well location(s) on the site plan.
- 3. Have you contacted the licensee of the well(s) to confirm the exact location? ☐Yes ☑No If you answered 'yes', you must have written confirmation included with your application.

Licensee Company Name_____ Licensee Contact _____

NOTE: Where a well is identified, the Development Authority must refer a copy of the application to the Licensee(s) of Record. The referral will include the applicant's contact information.

4. Who is submitting the Abandoned Well Declaration for this development?

Applicant	Owner	Builder	Other			
Company Name	NEW CENTU	JRY DESIGN	Contac	t Person	CLAY ISRAELSON	
Address SUITE	15, 1420 9 AV	VE SE				
Phone		Phone		Em	ail	

- 40
- Will the development result in construction activity within the setback area? If you answered 'yes':



- Provide a statement confirming that the abandoned wells will be temporarily marked with on-site identification to prevent contact during construction; and
- Describe what measures will be taken to prevent contact during construction.

NOTE: This form is to be signed by the titled owner(s) of the property or their authorized agents or consultants.

I, the owner, authorized agent, authorized consultant, state that, to the best of my knowledge, the information provided in this statement is accurate, complete and is based on diligent inquiry and thorough inspection and review of all the documents and other information reasonably available pertaining to the subject property.

03.18.21

Date

Applicant Signature

CLAY ISRAELSON

Applicant Name (Please Print)

NEW CENTUY DESIGN

Company Name (Please Print)

FOIP DISCLAIMER: The personal information on this form is being collected under the authority of The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy (FOIP) Act, Section 33(c). It will be used to provide operating programs, account services and to process payments received for said services. It may also be used to conduct ongoing evaluations of services received from Planning & Development. Please send inquiries by mail to the FOIP Program Administrator, Planning & Development, PO Box 2100, Station M, Calgary, AB T2P 2M5 or contact us by phone at 311.



SDAB2021-0083

District Title: Residential - Grade-Oriented Infill (R-CG)

▼

COMPLETE

Markups Completed Electronically:

-

For Internal Distribution Only

 complete list of rules and regulations. This form has no legal status and cannot be used as an official interpretation of the Land Use Bylaw 1P2007.

 Date:
 April 9, 2021

 Date Received:
 March 19, 2021

 F/M:
 Brendyn Seymour

 BLC BY:
 Alex Trinh

 Review Required:
 Description

The information contained herein is intended for information purposes only. Please refer to the Calgary Land Use Bylaw 1P2007 for a

No - Refer to Hardcopy Plans • Modifier(s): F.A.R Height Density ALL MODIFIER(S) ARE COMPULSORY (Cannot be relaxed) Rowhouse Building (1 building), Secondary Suite (1 building, 4 units), Accessory Residential Building (garage) -**Project Description(s):** setback to side property line Floodway/Floodfringe/Overland Flow **Airport Vicinity Protection Area (AVPA)** DOES NOT APPLY DOES NOT APPLY -*If applicable Complete Flood Sheet* Right-of-Way Setback(S) Rd / St / Av Required Provided Rd / St / Av N/A Required Provided Rd / St / Av Required Provided Main Floor Elevation(S): Roof Peak Elevation(s): Unit 1 Unit 1 Unit 2 Unit 2

LDR: For Additions or alterations to existing See Section 358 For Dwellings Deemed Conforming
Notes:
ounding per Section 14(1) has been applied to the 1 and 2 unit worksheets.

Page 2	Residenti	al - Gr	ade-C	Priented Infil	ll (R-CG) Dist	trict	D.P. #	20)21-182	8
Rule				Requiremer	nts	1		Evalu		
						Notes	Pr	ovided/	/Varian	ce
Secondary Suites		plicable ple		to Secondary Suites Fo			See At	tached		N/I
	(Front A 125.07	+	Front B	125.74) / 2 =	Front Average Bu	ilding Reference Point		125	.41	
347.3 Permitted	(Rear A 125.71	+	Rear B	126.49) / 2 =	Rear Average Bui	Iding Reference Point		126	5.1	
Use Rowhouse Building	(1)(g) Must not be lo	ocated on	a parcel	where the differend	ce between the ave	rage building reference points	is great	er than	2.4 met	res;
	Difference betwee	en <i>Front</i>	& Rear / =		Reference Points	0.69	с	N/C	N/A	N/I
				1		tual Adjacent Buildings				
39 Contextual	(Adj. Building 1	8.	04	4	ilding 2 It setback for 1 Conte	7.20)/2 = A xtual Adjacent Building		8	5	
Front Setback				0.0		Adjacent Building = B		0.0	00	
			or	C) Contextual Front S	Setback with no Cont	extual Adjacent Buildings = C		3	6	
			(a) the co	ontextual front setbac of 4.5m; o						
	(1) Unless otherwise r		7.	62	4.50					
	in subsections (2) or (3 building setback fror property line is the g	n a front		ual Front less 1.5m back	Required Front Setback			N/	Ά	
537 Building Setback from	property line is the g			(b) 3.0m						
Front Property Line			(a) the co	ntextual front setbacl	k at the side property	Portion of Second Floor				
	(2) On a corner parce	l, the min		red with another parc and						
	building setback fror property line may be		(b) decre	ases in equal proporti	ion with the increase		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
	to:		• •	stance from the share to a min of 3.	d side property line,					
7.62					-					
			(i) a portion of a street facing façade forward from the		(A) 2.0m in width;		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
				remainder of the street facing façade of that unit, with the projecting or	(B) 0.3m in depth;			N/6	N/A	N/1
		(a) must	ist have		and		С	N/C	N/A	N/I
347.3 Permitted	(1) To be a permitted	façade ar	ticulation Dwelling	recessed portion having a minimum	(C) 2 (m in beight:					
Use Rowhouse	use in the R-CG District a Rowhouse		ncluding:	dimension of:	(C) 2.4m in height; or		С	N/C	N/A	N/I
Building	Building:			(ii) a porch that	(A) 2.0m in width; and		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
				projects from a street facing façade a minimum						
				dimension of:	(B) 1.2m in depth;		С	N/C	N/A	N/I
				nain floor located abo aximum of 1.20m abo facing facades;			Com		plies	
334 Projections into Setback Areas		-		te of the ground may otion of the required f			с	N/C	N/A	N/I
				ection (6), bay windov to the front setback a		East - Eaves	0.	55	-0.	05
	(2) Landings, ramps o wheelchair ramps a		(a) they	provide access to the level of the buildi			с	N/C	N/A	N/I
	may project into a fro area provideo	nt setback		area of a landing does	s not exceed 2.5m ²		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
			• •	ns an entry to the ma Jnit of a main residen	•		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
336 Projections Into Front Setback Area			line is n	tback of the porch fro ot less than the minin district;			с	N/C	N/A	N/I
	(5) In a Developed Are 32021-0083	a, a porch	(c) the r	maximum height of th						

					+4							
	into a front setback a			asured from grade, e ding area not exceed	xcluding stairs and a ing 2.5m ² ; and		c	N/C	N/A	N/		
			setback a				c	N/C	N/A	N		
	(6) Eaves may project			om a porch into the f bsection (5).	ront setback area, as			N/A				
335 Length of Portions of a	(1) On each storey, th must not exceed 40%		-	cade (Does not apply	-	PROVIDE LENGTH AND VALUES	% %	Length	%	Length		
Building in Setback Areas (Front)	1st st 2nd st			X 40% = X 40% =			_	N/A				
	(2) The max. leng			jection into any setba								
538 Block Face Requirements	ever	y 60.0m alo	ong the enti	re length of a block f	ace.			N	/A			
•	(2) Where subsec conditioning units, wi	ndow wells		-	E Contra de							
	(1) Subject to subsec		ough (11), e property	Ν	orth	2.51	1.3	31				
	(2) Subject to subset through (9), for a l parcel, the minimum setback from any side	aneless building		(a) 1.2 metres								
	line is: (3) For a Backyard Su Semi-detached Dv		- - -									
	pro	(a) the ow	ner of the point of the point of the adjace	a party wall is locate parcel proposed for o ent parcel register, a								
	(4) The minimum building setback from a side property line may be reduced to	property metres o	ilding setba line for any r greater fro	portion of a building om the front façade	n 0.1m from the side g that is recessed 0.6 or the rear façade of metres from the side							
	zero metres where:		nce-free ma	nared side property li aterials and there is r o an adjacent parcel;	no overhang of eaves				/ •			
			-	from the building is ownspouts onto the building is located.				N	/Α			
539 Building etback from Side		setback of portion of from the	f 0.1m or le of the buildi front façad	ng that is recessed 0	property line for any .6 metres or greater of the building and is							
Property Line	Building, Contextual Semi-detached Dwelling, Semi- detached Dwelling or Single Detached Dwelling the minimum building	property li or grea	ine for any ter from the	portion of a building e front façade or the	n 0.1m from the side that is recessed 0.6m rear façade of the om the side property							
	setback from a side property line may be reduced to zero metres where:		nce-free ma	nared side property li aterials and there is r o an adjacent parcel;	no overhang of eaves							
		• •	•	from the building is ownspouts onto the building is located.								
	(7) For a corner pa			lding setback from a treet is 0.6m.	side property line	S	outh	0.93	0.	33		
	(9) The building se property line is 3.0 subsection 2(b) may	metres req	uired in	.,	n if the easement, in he reduced building							

Page 4	proposed for de of the adjacen both titles, ar (10) Unless o	e the ov evelopn t parce exclus easemo	wner of the parcel nent and the owner I registers, against ive private access ent: ise referenced in subs n a side property line residential buil	(b) that provides u access to the re section (11), on a lan for a private garage	-			N/	Ά	
	main residentia may be reduc	l buildir ed to ze vate ga	el, the min building se ng that does not share ero metres where the rrage is constructed o overhang of eaves on	e a side or rear prope wall of the portion of f maintenance-free r	erty line with a street of the building that naterials and there is					
	(1.1) Portions c		lding greater than or e max of 0.6m into any	-	grade may project a		-			
	(1.2) Portions	of a bui	ilding less than 2.4m 0.6n		oject a maximum of		-			
			(i) wher	n located on a corner	parcel;					
	(1.2) (b) for all (uses:	other	(ii) where at least on of the building meas	e side setback area is sured from grade to	-		-	N/	'A	
	uses.		(iii) where the s maintenance easeme of the building projec		Bylaw and no portion					
	(1.3) Windov	v wells	may project a maxim	um of 0.8m into any	side setback area.		-			
337 Projections Into Side Setback	(2) Window wel		oortions of a building, .0m setback required		ust not project into a					
Area	(3) Ea	ives ma	ay project a max. of 0	.6m into any side set	back area.	South		22 .85	-0.3 -1.4	
			(a) they provide acce	ess to the main floor building;		-				
	(5) Landings, ra	mps	(b) the area o	f a landing does not	exceed 2.5m ²		1			
	other than wheelchair rai			ortion of a landing th			1			
	and stairs m	ay	side setba	ck area does not exc	eed 1.8m ²					
	project in a si setback are			ited in a 3.0m side se n a laneless parcel; ar	-		-			
	provided:	ŀ		d in a side setback area			•	'A		
				pedestrian access from						
	(10) Central air	conditi	ioning equipment ma setback		n of 1.0m into a side		-			
			building that projects in , ramps and stairs, mus nearest fror	st not be located close						
	(9) Balo	conies a	and decks must not p	roject into any side s	etback area;		-			
			e total combined leng 6 of the length of the ramps and	facade (Does not ap		PROVIDE LENGTH AND % VALUES	%	Length	%	Length
335 Length of	1st st			X 40% =						
Portions of a Building in	2nd st	_		X 40% =			-			
Setback Areas (Side)	st st	_		X 40% = X 40% =			-	N/	Ά	
(5142)							1			
	(2) The max. ler	gth of a	an individual projectio Window	-		-				
	(1) Unless ot		e referenced in subse setback from a rear p	., .,	ninimum building	West	7.	97	0.4	47
	property line is with another pa between the re	1.5m v rcel is a ear prop	uilding on a corner pai where the building se a min of 3.0m for any perty line and 50.0% Iding on the adjoining property	tback from the side portion of the Rowh parcel depth or the b g parcel, whichever is	oroperty line shared ouse Building located ouilding depth of the	See 540(1)	с	N/C	N/A	N/I
540 Building										

			•	U					
Setback PASA 5 Rear Property Line	(3) Where two or mo residential buildings a	betwee bre main	main residential buildin n the front property line depth; and			с	N/C	N/A	N/I
	on a corner parcel, building setback fro property line is 1.5 Duplex Dwelling, Ro Building, Semi-det Dwelling or Single D Dwelling when	the min m a rear (b) the m for a side prop whouse porti ached betwee etached depth o	building setback is a min erty line shared with ar on of a main residential en the rear property line r the building depth of t on the adjoining parcel, to the rear propert	nother parcel for any building located e and 50.0% parcel the main residential , whichever is closer		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
		porches, and ramps	nopies, chimneys, decks other than wheelchair ny rear setback area.		West - Eaves	-0	.32	-1.82	
		(a) does not exc	eed 4.6m in height, me						
338 Projections Into Rear Setback Area	(3) A private garage attached to a building may project without limits into a rear setback area	(b) does not ex	ceed 75.0m ² in gross flo ng Unit located on the p	or area for each	Unit 1 Unit 2 Unit 3 Unit 4				
	provided it:	(c) has no part th	at is located closer than property line; and	0.60m to the rear			N/	Ά	
	(4) When an attached		closer than 0.6m to a si		Rear Side				
	not be located with	in 6.0m of a rear pro	perty line or 1.2m of a s	side property line.	Side				
		.,	mer parcel must have a om each street side of t		с	N/C	N/A	N/I	
		(d) must no	ot have an attached priv	vate garage;		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
			otor vehicle parking sta Jnit with direct, individu			с	N/C	N/A	N/I
347.3 Permitted Use Rowhouse	 To be a permitted use a Rowhouse 		(i) the window is lo second s		Арј	olies	N/A	N/I	
Building	Building:	(f) must not have windows on an exposed side façad	(ii) the glass in the v obscu	· · ·		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
		of a unit that are located beyond the rear façade of a contextually adjacent building o	between the finish bottom of the v	ned floor and the		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
		an adjoining parce unless:	(iv) the façade that co is setback a minimur side propert	n of 4.2m from the		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
335 Length of Portions of a		% of the length of th	gth of all projections in e façade (Does not appl attached garage)		PROVIDE LENGTH AND % VALUES	%	Length	%	Length
Building in Setback Areas	1st st		X 40% =						
(Rear)	2nd st (2) The max. leng	th of an individual p	X 40% = rojection into any setba	ick area is 3.1m			N/	Ά	
	(2) The height of a deck in the		at any point, except where he at-grade entrance to a w	L L					
	Developed Area must not exceed:	(d) 0.3m above	the main floor level of t ential building on the p						
	(2.1) Unless otherwise referenced in subsection (3), a		d 2.0m in height when i surface of the deck; and						
339 Decks	on a deck:		ated between the foren ntial building and the fro	-		N/A			
	(3) A deck attached t Dwelling, Rowhouse c 32021-0083		(a) is a min. of 2						

SDAB2021-0083

			47		1				
Page 6	1.2m of a party wal privacy w		(c) extends the full depth of the deck.		-				
			art, an open balcony must not project mor ling façade to which it is attached.	e	-				
	(2) Unless otherwise r		rt, the floor area of a recessed balcony mu eed 10.0m ²	ist	-				
	(2.1) Unless otherwise referenced		ed 3.0m in height when measured from th urface of the balcony; and	e	-				
340 Balconies	in this Part, a privacy wall located on a balcony:		cated between the foremost front façade dential building and front property line.	of		N/	Ά		
	(3) A balcony attach		(a) is a min. of 2.0m in height;		-				
	Semi-detached Dwel Dwelling, Rowho Townhouse within 1	ouse Building or	(b) is a max. of 3.0m in height; and						
	must have a pri	vacy wall that:	(c) extends the full depth of the balcon	у.	-				
	Single Detached Dw Detached Dwelling or	velling, Contextual S r Semi-detached Dw	f of the first or second storey of a Contextu emi-detached, Rowhouse Building, Single relling and does not overhang any façade o a maximum floor area that equals 30.0% o	Floor Below					
	the	e horizontal cross se	ction of the storey below.	" 30% of Area (Max)					
		(a) may be located on a side façade of	from the front facado, or		-				
		building:	(ii) where it is on the street side of a corner parcel;		-				
544 Balconies	(2) A balcony attached to a Contextual Single Detached Dwelling, Contextual Semi- detached Dwelling, or Rowhouse Building that is a permitted use:		 (i) it does not form part of the side faça unless the side façade is on the street side of a corner parcel; 		-	N/	A		
		(b) may be located on a rear façade of building where:	halcony is tacing a side property line	e	-				
			(iii) the privacy wall is a minimum of 2.0 in height and a maximum of 3.0m in height; and	Minimum Maximum	-				
		greater than 6.0m,	a balcony on the rear façade with a heigh when measured vertically at any point fro to the platform of the balcony.		•				
	(1) Unless otherwise	e referenced in subs	ections (2) and (3), for a Contextual Semi-		-				
	detached Dwelling, D	uplex Dwelling, Rov	vhouse Building, Semi-detached Dwelling of ling height is 11.0m measured from grade	or	С	N/C	N/A	N/I	
	(2) Where a building required from a prop shared with anothe designated with a low	perty line r parcel 7.0m, m	e greater of the highest geodetic elevation esidential building on the adjoining parcel neasured from grade, at the shared proper line; and	or	с	N/C	N/A	N/I	
	residential district or District, the max build	the M-CG	ases at a 45 degree angle to a max of 11.0 measured from grade.	m	-				
541 Building Height	(3) The max area of		section through a building at 9.5m above a ontal cross section through the building b		an 75.0%	6 of the n	nax area	ofa	
	24.89 Drim Bldg Com 1]	25.83	35.15	\sim			/	
	Prim Bldg Crnr 1 25.60	1	Prim Bldg Crnr 2 26.27	Geo 9.5m Abve Avrge Grade 34.25		>	<		
	Prim Bldg Crnr 3		Prim Bldg Crnr 4	Geo 8.6m Abve Avrge Grade	\checkmark			\searrow	
		х	75% =			COMI a small p	ortion o		
	Max. Area		Max. Area allowed at 9.5m		exceed	ls 9.5m a gra		erage	
	(4) For all	other uses, the ma	ximum building height is 10.0m.		с	N/C	N/A	N/I	
349 Roof	(2) Mechanical equips	nent may project a	maximum of 0.3m from the surface of a ro	of				<u> </u>	
Equipment	B2021-0083		nuilding	I	N,	/A			

ProjeeRigoge 7														
532 Façade Width	The minin	num width	of a street	facing faça	ide of a ui	nit is 4.2m			- 6.	15	1.9	95		
		c		(2) 11						Percent	age (%)			
	per cent of the pa	rcel depth	for a Duple	x Dwelling	, Rowhou	building depth is 65.0 Ise Building, Semi-) 		_					
	deta	ched Dwell	ing and a Si	ingle Detac	ched Dwe	lling.			В	uilding D) Pepth (n	n)		
	65%	x	36.	.63	_	23.80			N	/A				
		^	Parcel	Depth		Max Bldg Depth				,,,, 				
535 Building Depth	where the building set min of 3.0m for an property line and 50	back from portion of 0.0% parcel	the side pro f the Rowho depth or th	operty line ouse Buildi ne building	shared wing locate depth of	no max building depth vith another parcel is a d between the rear the main residential rear property line.			C	N/C	N/A	N/I		
	(3) Where two or me			the front p		ding is wholly located ine and 60.0% parcel id			с	N/C	N/A	N/I		
	residential buildings a on a corner parcel, th max building depth fo Dwelling, Rowhouse Semi-detached Dwe Single Detached D where:	nere is no r a Duplex Building, elling or	side prope portior between depth or t	rty line sha n of a main n the rear p the buildin n the adjoi	ared with residenti property li g depth o	nin of 3.0m from the another parcel for any ial building located ine and 50.0% parcel of the main residential cel, whichever is closer erty line.			с	N/C	N/A	N/I		
		A) Contextual Building Depth Average for 2 Contextual Adjacent Buildings												
37 Contextual	(Adj. building 1		.76 DR B) Co	+ Adj. bu ntextual l	-	21.26 Depth Average for	, ,	2 + 4.6 = A tual Adjacent Buildin	g	26.	11			
Building Depth					acent Bui	-		+ 4.6 = B	4.60					
Average		OF	C) Cont	extual Bu	ilding De	epth Average with r	no Conte	ktual Adjacent Buildin	ngs					
					60	0% X		= C		C				
347.3 Permitted	(3) Unless otherwise r maximum building de is a permitted use in	pth of a Ro	whouse Bui	subsection (4) the nouse Building that rict is the greater (b) the contextual building that			the parcel	21.98 Max. Bldg Depth	N	/A				
Use Rowhouse		0			Contex	xtual Building Depth Avg.=		26.11						
Building	(4) There is no maxim		g depth for rcel in the F			ng located on a corner			Арј	olies	N/A	N/I		
			i District a F s more thar		-	nust not be located on ial building	n		Арј	olies	N/A	N/I		
530 Doneitu	The maximum dens		-	l	District is 7	75 units per hectare.		Units	4.	00	0.0	00		
529 Density	75		8.17 58165	(m²) (ha)	=	4.00			_		_			
	U.P.H					Units		U.P.H		.66	-3.	54		
		located	porch is between de of the	(i) t	he front p	property line; or			с	N/C	N/A	N/I		
339.1 Porches		main re	sidential ng and:			rty line on the street orner parcel;			с	N/C	N/A	N/I		
(must meet all requirements to be exempt)	In a Developed Area, a porch is exempt from parcel coverage where:	than b	oy a railing, es between	balustrade attached ເ	, or priva units whe	im of two sides, other cy walls located on n the porch is at or etback; and			c	N/C	N/A	N/I		
		(c) there		sed floor a ove the root		lcony located directly orch.			с	N/C	N/A	N/I		
			single dev a den	velopment	permit fo	parcels subject to the or a development with units per hectare.			Арј	olies	Does Apj			
	(2) Unless otherwise r in subsection (3), the cumulative building over all the parcels su 32021-0083	maximum coverage	(b) 50.0% single dev	velopment of 40 units	permit fo	parcels subject to the or a development with are or greater and less			Арј	olies	Does Apj			

Page 8	single development			tnan 50	units per	nectare;					
-	containing a Context Detached Dwelling,		() 55.00		6.1						
	Housing Cluster, Ro	-				arcels subject to the - a development with				Does	Not
	Building, Semi-Det		-	•		re or greater and less		Арр	olies	Арр	oly
	Dwelling or Single D Dwelling is:			than 60 ι	units per h	ectare; or					
			(d) 60.0	% of the a	rea of the	parcels subject to a					
						a development with		Арр	olies	Does	
534 Parcel			a den	sity of 60 u	nits per he	ectare or greater.				Арр	JIY
Coverage			(a) 21.0m	² where or	ne motor v	ehicle parking stall is					
	(3) The maximum	parcel		on a parce	l that is no	t located in a private		Арр	olies	Does App	
	coverage reference	-		ł	garage; an	d				1.61	<i></i> ,
	subsections (1) and (2 reduced by:		• •		•	notor vehicle parking rivate garage where				Does	Not
	reduced by.				-	arking stall is required		Арр	olies	App	
				(on a parce	l.					
	(4) For all	other uses	, the maxir	num parce	l coverage	is 45.0%		Apr	olies	Does	
										Арр	JIY
		Determin	e correct p	rrect percentage of parcel coverage and input valu			ues below	9	6	%	6
	558	.17		4	1	ז ר	258.90				
	60.00% Parcel		minus		d Stalls	19.00 =	Max. Coverage	59.9	93%	13.5	5%
					l Coverage	Totals			_		-
	House	Proj. >	> 1.0m	Gara	ge(s)	Other	Total	n	1 ²	m	2
							22.1.20				
	251.24			83	.26		334.50	334	.50	75.	60
Accessory	If applicabl	e please ro	fer to Acco	SSORV Recie	ential Bui	ding Form		See A+	tached	N/A	N/I
Building		- picase re		Sory NESIL				Jee Al			
				(a) is p	rovided ou	itdoors;		С	N/C	N/A	N/I
						-	Unit A		.92	-1.0	
		(b) has a minimum total area of 20.0m ² ; and			Unit B		.95	-1.0			
						-	Unit C		.48	-1.52	
	For a Contextual Semi						Unit D	17.	.79	-2.2	21
542 Outdoor	Dwelling, Duplex D Rowhouse Building						N	Area	(m⁻)		
Private Amenity	detached Dwelling an	id a Single		(i) one amenity space has no dimension less than 3.0m; and		Amenity Space 1	· · · · ·	Dimensi	on (m)		
Space	Detached Dwelling, e must have direct ac		(c) may b	e divided				N	1		
	private amenity spa		over a ma	iximum of				,	Area	(m²)	
				ity spaces ere:	• •	econd amenity space inimum contiguous		N		, (iii)	
					area of 7.5m ² with no	Amenity Space 2			sion (m)		
					dimensions less than 1.5m			N/A			
							Total Amenity Area (m ²):	#VA	LUE!	#VAL	.UE!
	(1) A driveway must	(a) there	is no practi	cal alterna	tive metho	od of vehicular access		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
	not have direct access			to the pa	ircel; and				N/C	17/7	11/1
	to a major street unless:	(b) a t				parcel to allow all		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
	diffess.		vehicles e	exiting to fa	ice the ma	jor street.					
						ublic sidewalk to the	South	3.	91	-2.0	09
			in of 6.0m along the	000	r or the pr	ivate garage; or		<u> </u>			
	(2) A driveway	intended	direction	(ii) a c	urb where	there is no public		-			
	connecting a street to a private garage		or vehicles ed from:	sidewalk		r of a private garage,			N/	Α	
	must:	medour			а	nd					
		(b) conta	ain a rectar	gular area	measuring	g 6.0m in length and					_
		(0) 00110		-	n width.	, oronn in reingen und		С	N/C	N/A	N/I
	(3) A driveway connec	ting a lane	to a privat	e garage m	iust be a m	nin of 0.60m in length	West	0.	70	0.1	L O
341 Driveways	along the intended d	irection of	travel for v	ehicles, me	easured fro	om the property line					
	share	ed with the	lane to the	door of a	private ga	rage.					
	(4) Parking Surf	face locate	d in the act	ual front se	etback mu	st be surfaced.		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
	.,								,-		
	(5) That portion of a d	driveway ir	ncluding a	(a) 6.0m		parcel width is 9.0m					
		otor vehicle parking stall within 6.0m of a public sidewalk, or a curb on a street where there is no public sidewalk, must				ess; or		-	N/	A	
						vidth > than 9.0m and		Par	cel widt	h > 15.0	Dm
	not exceed				< thar	15.0m					
	<u> </u>										
	(6) In the develope	d area a dr	iveway			s parcel; (b) located on a		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
	accessing a street mus	at not be co	instructed,			or more parcels on same ting driveway accessing a		1			
00.4	B2021-0083	-		• • • •	· · · ·	L					

Page 9	altered or replaced except where: street; or (c) legally existing driveway not being relocated or widened.	Exis	ting Dr Char	iveway nges	No	
342 Retaining Walls	(1) A retaining wall must be less than 1.2m in height when measured from the lowest grade at any point adjacent to the retaining wall to the highest grade retained by the retaining wall.	с	N/C	N/A	N/I	
Walls	(2) A min horizontal separation of 1.0m must be maintained between retaining walls	C	N/C	N/A	N/I	
	(1) Unless otherwise referenced in subsections (2) and (3), a privacy wall may be located on a patio, provided it does not exceed a height of 2.0m from the surface of the patio.	с	N/C	N/A	N/I	
338.1 Patios	(2) A privacy wall located on a patio must not exceed 2.0m in height, when measured from grade and when the privacy wall is located within: (a) a side setback area; or (b) 6.0m of a rear property line.	c	N/C	N/A	N/I	
	(3) A privacy wall located on a patio must not exceed 1.2m in height when measured from grade when the privacy wall is located between the foremost front façade of the main residential building and the front property line.	c	N/C	N/A	N/I	
540.1 Fences Note: Only apply fence rules to proposed fences	The height of a fence above grade at any point along a fence line must not exceed 1.2m for any portion of a fence extending between the foremost front façade of the immediately adjacent main residential building and the front property line.	c	N/C	N/A	N/I	
343 Fences Note: Only apply	The height of a fence (b) 2.0m in all other cases, and above grade at any	C	N/C	N/A	N/I	
fence rules to proposed fences	point along a fence line must not exceed: (c) 2.5m at the highest point of a gate that is not more than 2.5m in length.	C	N/C	N/A	N/I	
348 Visibility Setback	Within a corner visibility triangle, buildings, fences, finished grade of a parcel and vegetation must not exceed the lowest elevation of the street by more than 0.75m above lowest elevation of the street.	C	N/C	N/A	N/I	
287 Rowhouse	(c) requires a minimum of 1.0 motor vehicle parking stalls per Dwelling Unit; and # of Dwelling Units: 4	,	1	0		
	(3) The minimum depth of a motor vehicle parking stall is 5.9m where it is required for: (a) a Contextual Single Detached Dwelling, Duplex Dwelling, Secondary Suite, Semi- detached Dwelling or Single Detached Dwelling (b) a Dwelling Unit where the stall is provided in a private garage intended to be used for the occupants of only one Dwelling Unit.	c	N/C	N/A	N/I	
	 (4) The minimum width of a motor vehicle parking stall required for a Dwelling Unit is: (a) 3.0m where both sides of a stall abut a physical barrier; (b) 2.85m where one side of a stall abuts a physical barrier; and (c) 2.5m in all other 	C	N/C	N/A	N/I	
122 Standards for Motor Vehicle Parking Stalls	(7) The minimum width of a motor vehicle parking stall for Multi-Residential Development, Multi-Residential Development - Minor, a Townhouse or a Rowhouse Building provided for the exclusive use of a Dwelling Unit is reduced to 2.60m where: (a) the stall is one of two or more motor vehicle parking stalls that are provided in a private garage; (b) the motor vehicle parking stalls in the private garage are for the sole use of the occupants of the Dwelling Unit; and (c) the motor vehicle parking stalls are not counted towards fulfilling the minimum motor vehicle parking stall requirements for that Dwelling Unit.	c	N/C	N/A	N/I	
	(15) Motor vehicle parking stalls for a Backyard Suite, Contextual Semi-detached Dwelling, Contextual Single Detached Dwelling, Duplex Dwelling, Secondary Suite, Semi-detached Dwelling and Single Detached Dwelling must be: (a) hard surfaced; and (b) located wholly on the subject parcel.	c	N/C	N/A	N/I	

Page	Page 10 Accessory Residential Building Requirements Rule										
Dula			Re	quirements			Evalu	ation			
Rule	Notes							Provided/Variance			
	(1) The min. building	(a) 1.2m from a side	-	operty line shared with a street;	South	0.	00	-1	.20		
	setback for an Accessory Residential Building is::	(b) 0.6m from a sic	le or rear p	roperty line in all other cases.	West North				07 78		
		(a) the Accessory	Residentia	l Building is less than 10.0m ²		С	N/C	N/A	N/I		
	(2) The min. building setback for an Accessory Residential Building that does not	of maintenance-fre	ee materia	sidential Building is constructed Is and there is no overhang of Jjacent parcel; or		с	N/C	N/A	N/I		
	share a side or rear property line with a street may be reduced to zero m when:	(c) the owner of the adjacent parcel grants a 1.5m private	parcel pro	gistered against the title of the posed for development and the of the adjacent parcel; and		с	N/C	N/A	N/I		
345 Accessory Residential		maintenance easement that must:		Ide a 0.60m eave and footing		С	N/C	N/A	N/I		
Building	(3) An Accessory Resi	idential Building must are		ated in the actual front setback		С	N/C	N/A	N/I		
		on laneless parcel may except along street sid		l in required 3.0m side setback,		с	N/C	N/A	N/I		
	(5) The min. distance h or more and a main r		0.80m	С	N/C	N/A	N/I				
		(a) 4.6m, measure	d from the	finished floor of the building;		4.	41	-0	.19		
				n measured from the finished ouilding; and		3.	16	0.	16		
	(6) The height of an		(c) one	storey,		С	N/C	N/A	N/I		
	Accessory Residential Building must not exceed:		(i) is acc	essed by a removable ladder;		С	N/C	N/A	N/I		
		(c) one storey, which may include an attic space that:	. ,	does not have windows;		C N/		N/A	N/I		
		·		nax. height of 1.5m from the rot the underside of any rafter.		N	/A				
	(1) The finished floor o	of an Accessory Reside must not exceed 0		ing, other than a private garage, grade.		с	N/C	N/A	N/I		
	(2) An Accessory Res	sidential Building mus Backyard Suite ha		ed as a Dwelling Unit, unless a		С	N/C	N/A	N/I		
346 Restrictions on Use of	(3) An Accessory R	esidential Building m	ust not hav	e a balcony or rooftop deck.		С	N/C	N/A	N/I		
Accessory Residential Building	(4) The area of a parcel covered by all			(i) the building coverage of the main residential buildings; or		с	N/C	N/A	N/I		
A	• •			(ii) 75.0m ² for each Dwelling Unit located on the parcel; and	Unit 1 Unit 2 Unit 3	2 Com		nplies			

Page 11 Secondary Suite				D.P. # 2021-1828				
Rule	Requirements			Evaluation				
Kule	Notes			Provided/Variance			ce	
	<u>Note:</u>	<u>Remembe</u>	<mark>r to check any applice</mark>	able district rules	<u>.</u>			
	(1) For a Secondary Suite the minimum building setback from a property line, must be equal to or greater than the minimum building setback from a property line for the main residential building.			с	N/C	N/A	N/I	
	(2) Except as oth subsection (2.1) and floor area of a Second	I (3), the maximum	(a) in the R-C1L, R-C1Ls, R-C1, R-C1s, R- C1N, R-1, R-1s and R-1N District; or		N	/A		
	any area covered landings, is	by stairways and	(b) when located on a parcel with a parcel width less than 13.0m.		N	/A		
351 Secondary Suite	· · ·		Secondary Suite wholly located in a providing access to the basement may be		Applies		N/A	N/I
			(a) is located outdoors; and		С	N/C	N/A	N/I
						Dimens		
					1.	.50		00
	(4) A Secondary Suite amenity sp	-	(b) has a minimum area of 7.5m² with no	Unit A	6.		(m²) -1.	.38
			dimension less than 1.5m.	Unit B			-1.38	
				Unit C	6.	.05	-1.	.45
				Unit D	5.	.49	-2.	.01
	(1) Unless otherwise re Backyard Suite located		on (4), there must not be more than one		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
	(1.1) There must not b Unit.	e more than one Seco	ondary Suite contained within a Dwelling		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
354 Accessory	(2) Unless otherwise referenced in subsection (4), a Secondary Suite and a Backyard Suite must not be located on the same parcel.			с	N/C	N/A	N/I	
Suite - Density	(3) A Secondary Suite or Backyard Suite must not be separated from the main residential use on a parcel by the registration of a condominium or subdivision plan.				с	N/C	N/A	N/I
	(4) In the R-CG District, one a bare land unit containing		or one Secondary Suite may be located on		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
		(a) the floor area of	a Secondary Suite is 45.0m ² or less.		С	N/C	N/A	N/I
546 Motor		ed within 600.0m of an existing or ded LRT platform or within 150.0m of : and	Westbrook LRT	с	N/C	N/A	N/I	
Vehicle Parking Stalls	number of motor vehicle parking stalls for a Secondary Suite	(c) space is provided	(i) is accessed directly from the exterior; and		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
Applies to R-CG Only	is reduced to 0.0 where: All Rules are COMPULSORY	in a building for the occupant of the Secondary Suite for storage of mobility alternatives such as bicycles or strollers that:	 (ii) has an area of 2.5m² or more for every Secondary Suite that is not provided with a motor vehicle parking stall. NOTE: Parcel coverage excludes the building coverage area of the mobility alternative storage space. 		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
153.1 Backyard Suite	(c) requires a minimum of 1.0 motor vehicle parking stall.			- N/A				
295 Secondary Suite	(c) requires a minimum of 1.0 motor vehicle parking stall.			0		-4		
	for:	Detached Dwelling, I	parking stall is 5.9m where it is required Duplex Dwelling, Secondary Suite, Semi- ling		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
122 Standards for Motor Vehicle Parking Stalls	 (4) The minimum width of a motor vehicle parking stall required for a Dwelling Unit is: (a) 3.0m where both sides of a stall abut a physical barrier; (b) 2.85m where one side of a stall abuts a physical barrier; and (c) 2.5m in all other cases. 				с	N/C	N/A	N/I
	. , .	ingle Detached Dwell ng and Single Detache	ard Suite, Contextual Semi-detached ing, Duplex Dwelling, Secondary Suite, ed Dwelling must be:		с	N/C	N/A	N/I

Additional Notes							
547.13 Building Height Applies to R-G Only	(2) The maximum height of a Backyard Suite on a laned parcel is 10.0 metres.			с	N/C	N/A	N/I
		(d) all roof drainage from the building is discharged through eavestroughs and downspouts onto the parcel on which the building is located.		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
539 Building Setback from Side Property Line Applies to R-CG Only	(6) For a Backyard Suite the minimum building setback from a side property line may be reduced to zero metres where:	(c) the wall at the shared side property line is constructed of maintenance-free materials and there is no overhang of eaves onto an adjacent parcel; and		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
		(b) the building setback is not greater than 0.1 metres from the side property line for any portion of a building that is recessed 0.6 metres or greater from the front façade or the rear façade of the building and is setback less than 1.2 metres from the side property line;		с	N/C	N/A	N/I
		(a) the accessory residential building or Backyard Suite on the adjacent parcel has a setback of 0.1 metres or less at the shared side property line for any portion of the building that is recessed 0.6 metres or greater from the front façade or the rear facade of the building and is setback less than 0.6 metres from the side property line;		с	N/C	N/A	N/I

FILE: DP 2021-1828

DATE RECEIVED: March 19, 2021

Bylaw Discrepancies				
Standard	Provided			
 (2) On a corner parcel, the min building setback from a front property line may be reduced to: (a) the contextual front setback at the side property line shared with another parcel to a max of 6.0m; and (b) decreases in equal proportion with the increase in the distance from the shared side property line, to a min of 3.0m. 	Plans indicate a portion of the second floor is located in the corner building setback chamfer from the front property line. Relaxation supported.			
(2) For a Rowhouse Building located on a corner parcel there is no max building depth where the building setback from the side property line shared with another parcel is a min of 3.0m for any portion of the Rowhouse Building located between the rear property line and 50.0% parcel depth or the building depth of the main residential building on the adjoining parcel, whichever is closer to the rear property line.	Plans indicate a portion of the second floor is located in the 3.0m stepback from the North property line. Relaxation supported.			
 (2) Unless otherwise referenced in subsection (3), the maximum cumulative building coverage over all the parcels subject to a single development permit containing a Contextual Semi-Detached Dwelling, Cottage Housing Cluster, Rowhouse Building, Semi-Detached Dwelling or Single Detached Dwelling is: (d) 60.0% of the area of the parcels subject to a single development permit for a development with a density of 60 units per hectare or greater. (3) The maximum parcel coverage referenced in subsections (1) and 	Plans indicate a parcel coverage of 59.93% (+13.55%) or 334.50m ² (+75.60m ²). It should be noted that the maximum parcel coverage was reduced by 76.0m ² (4 Secondary Suite stalls x 19.0m ²). Resolved.			
	Standard(2) On a corner parcel, the minbuilding setback from a frontproperty line may be reduced to:(a) the contextual front setback atthe side property line shared withanother parcel to a max of 6.0m;and(b) decreases in equal proportionwith the increase in the distancefrom the shared side property line,to a min of 3.0m.(2) For a Rowhouse Building locatedon a corner parcel there is no maxbuilding depth where the buildingsetback from the side property lineshared with another parcel is a minof 3.0m for any portion of theRowhouse Building located betweenthe rear property line and 50.0%parcel depth or the building on theadjoining parcel, whichever is closerto the rear property line.(2) Unless otherwise referenced insubsection (3), the maximumcumulative building coverage overall the parcels subject to a singledevelopment permit containing aContextual Semi-Detached Dwelling,Cottage Housing Cluster, RowhouseBuilding, Semi-Detached Dwelling orSingle Detached Dwelling is:(d) 60.0% of the area of the parcelssubject to a single developmentpermit for a development with adensity of 60 units per hectare orgreater.(3) The maximum parcel coverage			

SDAB2021-0083

	(b) 19.0m ² for each required motor vehicle parking stall that is not located in a private garage where	
	more than on motor vehicle parking stall is required on a parcel.	
542 Outdoor Private Amenity Space	For a Contextual Semi-detached Dwelling, Duplex Dwelling, Rowhouse Building, Semi-detached Dwelling and a Single Detached Dwelling, each unit must have direct access to private amenity space that: (b) has a minimum total area of 20.0m ² ; and (d) 60.0% of the area of the parcels subject to a single development permit for a development with a density of 60 units per hectare or greater.	Plans indicate the following amenity areas: Unit A $-$ 18.92m ² (-1.08m ²); Unit B $-$ 18.95m ² (-1.05m ²); Unit C $-$ 18.48m ² (-1.52m ²); and Unit D $-$ 17.79m ² (-2.21m ²). Relaxation supported.
	 (2) A driveway connecting a street to a private garage must: (a) be a min of 6.0m in length along the intended direction of travel for vehicles measured from: (i) the back of the public sidewalk to the door of the private garage; or 	Plans indicate a driveway length of 3.91m (- 2.09m) from the back of the public sidewalk. Relaxation supported.
341 Driveways	(2) A driveway connecting a street to a private garage must:(b) contain a rectangular area measuring 6.0m in length and 3.0m in width.	Plans do not indicate a driveway that contains a rectangular measuring 6.0m in length and 3.0m in width. Relaxation supported.
	 (6) In the developed area a driveway accessing a street must not be constructed, altered or replaced except where: (a) located on a laneless parcel; (b) located on a laned parcel and 50 % or more parcels on same block face have an existing driveway accessing a street; or (c) legally existing driveway not being relocated or widened. 	Plans indicate a new driveway accessing the street where the parcel is laned and less than 50% of the blockface have an existing driveway accessing a street. Relaxation supported.
345 Accessory Residential Building	 (1) The min. building setback for an Accessory Residential Building is:: (a) 1.2m from a side or rear property line shared with a street; OR 	Plans indicate an Accessory Residential Building setback of 0.0m (-1.2m) from the South side property line shared with the street. Relaxation supported.

	(5) The min. distance between any façade of an Accessory Residential Building 10.0m ² or more and a main residential building or a building containing a Secondary Suite is 1.0m	Plans indicate a separation distance of 0.80m (-0.20m) between the Accessory Residential Building and the second floor of Unit D. Relaxation supported.
	 (6) The height of an Accessory Residential Building must not exceed: (b) 3.0m at any eaveline, when measured from the finished floor of the building; and 	Plans indicate an Accessory Residential Building indoor wall height of 3.16m (+0.16m). It should be noted that the indoor wall height was measured to the top of fascia as a building section was not provided. Relaxation supported.
351 Secondary Suite	 (4) A Secondary Suite must have a private amenity space that: (b) has a minimum area of 7.5m² with no dimension less than 1.5m. 	Plans indicate the following Secondary Suite amenity areas: Unit A $- 6.12m^2$ (-1.38m ²); Unit B $- 6.05m^2$ (-1.45m ²); Unit C $- 6.05m^2$ (-1.45m ²); and Unit D $- 5.49m^2$ (-2.01m ²). Resolved.
295 Secondary Suite	(c) requires a minimum of 1.0 motor vehicle parking stall.	Plans indicate 0 (-4) Secondary Suite parking stalls. It should be noted that Secondary Suite stall reductions were not applied as the floor area of the proposed Secondary Suites are greater than 45.0m ² . Resolved.

Permitted Contextual Use Rules					
Regulation	Standard	Provided			
347.3 Permitted Use Rowhouse Building	 (1) To be a permitted use a Rowhouse Building: (f) must not have windows on an exposed side façade of a unit that are located beyond the rear façade of a contextually adjacent building on an adjoining parcel unless: (ii) the glass in the window is entirely obscured; (iii) there is a minimum distance of 1.5m between the finished floor and the bottom of the window sill; or (iv) the façade that contains the window is setback a minimum of 	North parcel.			

4.2m from the side property line;	
and	

July 07, 2021

Clay Israelson NEW CENTURY DESIGN SITE 23 BOX 25 RR#1 DEWINTON, ALBERTA TOL 0X0

Dear Sir/Madam:

Application Description: Address: 2037 32 ST SW Development Permit Number: DP2021-1828

A complete written submission in response to the Detailed Team Review (DTR) Form was not received by the Response Due Date of the DTR, June 27, 2021.

58

By way of this letter, Administration grants an extension to the Response Due Date of the DTR to allow for a complete submission by August 10, 2021. The complete submission must address all requirements identified in the DTR. The application may be inactivated and cancelled administratively if not received by the extended due date.

Should you require clarification of the above or further information, please contact the undersigned at (403) 268-2388 or by email at Mel.Bishoff@calgary.ca. Please quote the Development Permit number for reference.

Sincerely,

Mel Bishoff Cord Community Planning West A

cc: HARIJAP SINGH 2037 32 ST SW CALGARY AB CANADA T3E 2R2



April 28, 2021

NEW CENTURY DESIGN shawn@newcenturydesign.ca

(403) 969-3729

Dear Sir/Madam:

RE: Detailed Team Review (DTR)

Development Permit Number: DP2021-1828

Based on the plans received , the Corporate Planning Applications Group (CPAG) has completed a detailed review of your application in order to determine compliance with the Land Use Bylaw and applicable City policies. Any variance from the Land Use Bylaw or City policies may require further discussion or revision prior to a decision being rendered.

Applicants are requested to contact the respective team members to resolve outstanding issues. Amended plans should not be submitted to the Planner until we are able to provide comments from all circulation referees.

CPAG endeavours to render decisions on applications within specific service standards. Please assist us in meeting these targets by ensuring your resubmission is made in a timely manner. Should you have any questions or concerns (403) 268-1543 or by email at <u>Brendyn.Seymour@calgary.ca</u>.

Sincerely,

BRENDYN SEYMOUR Planner 2



Detailed Review 1 – Development Permit

Application Number: Application Description:	DP2021-1828 New: Rowhouse Building (1 buillding), Secondary Suite (1 building, 4 units), Accessory Residential Building (garage) - setback to side property line
Land Use District:	Residential - Grade-Oriented Infill
Use Type:	Permitted
Site Address:	2037 32 ST SW
Community:	KILLARNEY/GLENGARRY
Applicant:	NEW CENTURY DESIGN
Date DTR Sent:	April 28, 2021
Response Due Date:	June 27, 2021
CPAG Team	
Planning:	BRENDYN SEYMOUR (403) 268-1543
_	Brendyn.Seymour@calgary.ca
Development Engineering:	DAVID BERGE (587) 216-7720
	mailto:David.Berge@calgary.ca
Parks:	KIT MOK (403) 268-2914 kit.mok@calgary.ca
Transportation:	FABIAN SNYDERS (403) 268-5094
•	Fabian.Snyders@calgary.ca

60

General Comments

The development permit proposes a four unit rowhouse with four secondary suites. Administration has not received any comments from the Killarney-Glengarry Community Association or surrounding neighbours at this time.

There are a few key items that CPAG would like to bring to the attention of the applicant. First, all garages need to face the lane and the existing driveway and curb cut be removed/rehabilitated. Second, the proposed parcel coverage is exceeding the maximum allowed coverage and as a result, this is impacting the minimum secondary suite amenity space requirements and also is causing the individual secondary suite floor areas (51 m2) to exceed the maximum allowable size (45 m2). This means that four additional parking stalls for the secondary suites are required and CPAG will not relax this. The applicant is advised to reduce the building footprint, reduce the secondary suite floor areas and increase the secondary suite amenity space.

Comments on Relevant City Policies

The proposed development complies with the applicable policies of the Municipal Development Plan and Killarney-Glengarry Area Redevelopment Plan.

Bylaw Discrepancies				
Regulation	Standard	Provided		
537 Building Setback from Front Property Line	 (2) On a corner parcel, the min building setback from a front property line may be reduced to: (a) the contextual front setback at the side property line shared with another parcel to a max of 6.0m; and (b) decreases in equal proportion with the increase in the distance from the shared side property line, 	Plans indicate a portion of the second floor is located in the corner building setback chamfer from the front property line. Amend plans to comply		
535 Building Depth	to a min of 3.0m. (2) For a Rowhouse Building located on a corner parcel there is no max building depth where the building setback from the side property line shared with another parcel is a min of 3.0m for any portion of the Rowhouse Building located between the rear property line and 50.0% parcel depth or the building depth of the main residential building on the adjoining parcel, whichever is closer to the rear property line.	Plans indicate a portion of the second floor is located in the 3.0m stepback from the North property line. Relaxation supported		
534 Parcel Coverage	 (2) Unless otherwise referenced in subsection (3), the maximum cumulative building coverage over all the parcels subject to a single development permit containing a Contextual Semi-Detached Dwelling, Cottage Housing Cluster, Rowhouse Building, Semi-Detached Dwelling or Single Detached Dwelling is: (d) 60.0% of the area of the parcels subject to a single development permit for a development with a density of 60 units per hectare or greater. 	Plans indicate a parcel coverage of 59.93% (+13.55%) or 334.50m ² (+75.60m ²). It should be noted that the maximum parcel coverage was reduced by 76.0m ² (4 Secondary Suite stalls x 19.0m ²). Amend plans to comply		

	(3) The maximum parcel coverage	
	referenced in subsections (1) and	
	(2), must be reduced by:	
	(b) 19.0m ² for each required motor	
	vehicle parking stall that is not	
	located in a private garage where	
	more than on motor vehicle parking	
	stall is required on a parcel.	
	For a Contextual Semi-detached	
	Dwelling, Duplex Dwelling,	
	Rowhouse Building, Semi-detached	
	Dwelling and a Single Detached	Plans indicate the following amenity areas:
	Dwelling, each unit must have direct	Unit A – 18.92m ² (-1.08m ²);
542 Outdoor	access to private amenity space that:	
Private Amenity	(b) has a minimum total area of	Unit C – 18.48m ² (-1.52m ²); and
Space	20.0m ² ; and	Unit $D = 17.79m^2$ (-2.21m ²).
opuoc	(d) 60.0% of the area of the parcels	
	subject to a single development	Amend plans to comply
	permit for a development with a	Amena plans to comply
	density of 60 units per hectare or	
	greater.	
	(2) A driveway connecting a street to	
	a private garage must:	
	a private galage must.	Diana indicate a driver use length of 2 01m /
	(a) has a min of C $0m$ in length along	Plans indicate a driveway length of 3.91m (-
	(a) be a min of 6.0m in length along	2.09m) from the back of the public sidewalk.
	the intended direction of travel for	Among along to comply
	vehicles measured from:	Amend plans to comply
	(i) the back of the public sidewalk to	
	the door of the private garage; or	
	(2) A driveway connecting a street to	Plans do not indicate a driveway that contains
	a private garage must:	a rectangular measuring 6.0m in length and
	(b) contain a rectangular area	3.0m in width.
341 Driveways	measuring 6.0m in length and 3.0m	
	in width.	Amend plans to comply
	(6) In the developed area a driveway	
	accessing a street must not be	
	constructed, altered or replaced	Plans indicate a new driveway accessing the
	except where:	street where the parcel is laned and less than
	(a) located on a laneless parcel; (b)	50% of the blockface have an existing
	located on a laned parcel and 50 %	driveway accessing a street.
	or more parcels on same block face	anveway accessing a succe.
	have an existing driveway accessing	Amend plans to comply
	a street; or (c) legally existing	
	driveway not being relocated or	
	widened.	
245 Accesso	(1) The min. building setback for an	Plans indicate an Accessory Residential
345 Accessory	Accessory Residential Building is::	Building setback of 0.0m (-1.2m) from the
Residential	(a) 1.2m from a side or rear property	South side property line shared with the
Building	line shared with a street; OR	street.
	line shared with a street. OR	street.

		Amend plans to comply
	(5) The min. distance between any	Plans indicate a separation distance of 0.80m
	façade of an Accessory Residential	(-0.20m) between the Accessory Residential
	Building 10.0m ² or more and a main	Building and the second floor of Unit D.
	residential building or a building	
	containing a Secondary Suite is 1.0m	Amend plans to comply
		Plans indicate an Accessory Residential
	(6) The height of an Accessory	Building indoor wall height of 3.16m
	Residential Building must not	(+0.16m).
	exceed:	It should be noted that the indoor wall height
	(b) 3.0m at any eaveline, when	was measured to the top of fascia as a
	measured from the finished floor of the building; and	building section was not provided.
		Amend plans to comply
		Plans indicate the following Secondary Suite
		amenity areas:
	(4) A Secondary Suite must have a	Unit A – 6.12m² (-1.38m²);
351 Secondary	private amenity space that:	Unit B – 6.05m² (-1.45m²);
Suite	(b) has a minimum area of 7.5m ²	Unit C – 6.05m ² (-1.45m ²); and
	with no dimension less than 1.5m.	Unit D – 5.49m² (-2.01m²).
		Amend plans to comply
		Plans indicate 0 (-4) Secondary Suite parking stalls.
		It should be noted that Secondary Suite stall
295 Secondary	(c) requires a minimum of 1.0 motor	reductions were not applied as the floor area
Suite	vehicle parking stall.	of the proposed Secondary Suites are greater
		than 45.0m².
		Amend plans to comply

Prior to Decision Requirements

The following issues must be addressed by the Applicant through a written submission and amended plans prior to a decision by the Approving Authority. Applicants are encouraged to contact the respective team members directly to discuss outstanding issues or alternatively request a meeting with the CPAG Team.

Planning

1. Submit a complete digital set of the amended plans in PDF format and a separate PDF response letter that provides a point-by-point explanation as to how each of the Prior to Decision conditions were addressed and/or resolved. If Prior to Release conditions have been addressed in the amended plans, include a point-by-point explanation for these items as well.

The submitted plans must comprehensively address the Prior to Decision conditions as specified in the DTR document. Ensure that all plans affected by the revisions are amended accordingly. To arrange the digital submission, please contact the File Manager directly.

This information must be received, in its entirety, no later than 60 days from the date this DTR form was sent to the applicant and owner. If a complete submission is not received within the 60 day time frame, the development permit may be inactivated. Upon inactivation, the applicant and owner will receive written notice of the inactivation and of a further 30 day time frame within which the application may be reactivated subject to a reactivation fee. If the development permit application is not reactivated as per the written notification, it may be cancelled by Administration as per Land Use Bylaw 1P2007, Section 41.1.

In the event that the application needs to be recirculated, a recirculation fee may be applied.

- 2. Amend plans to address the bylaw discrepancies noted on pages 3 to 5 of this document. Alternatively, provide planning rationale in a written submission supporting any proposed relaxations to address the bylaw discrepancies noted on pages 3 to 5 of this document.
- 3. Submit a letter from Building Regulations indicating that the following conflict has been resolved: Building Regulations has reviewed the proposed development and alterations are required to bring the proposed development into compliance with the National Building Code – 2019 Alberta Edition which may result in a new or revised Development Permit if items are not addressed at this time.
 - Division B, 9.10.14. Spatial Separation Between Buildings / 9.10.15. Spatial Separation between Houses
 Provide spatial separation for detached garages under Division B, 9.10.14. or using the standing posted variance (SPV-003) on Calgary.ca, and spatial separation for the house where facing the detached garage.

a) The use of SPV 003 variance requires the construction details to follow exactly, including the prohibited location of cantilevers on the west façade of the house where facing the garage, and reference to the SPV-003 shall be included on the building permit application drawings, or

b) Prescriptive compliance with 9.10.14. and 9.10.15. shall be noted on the drawings including location of required fire-resistance ratings of assemblies, non-combustible cladding, and prohibited location of eaves. Include the location of an imaginary line of limiting distance used to determine required ratings, cladding, location of eaves and maximum permitted glazed openings.

4. Some areas of the site plan do not show the landscaping material (amenity area). Amend the plans to indicate the type of landscaping materials. Note: the amenity spaces for the suites should be a hard surface material and not grass. The applicant is encouraged to use a permeable material.

Amend the plans to also increase the area of the Suite A2 amenity space southward.

5. Enhance landscaping at the corner of 21st Av. and 32 St SW with mid-height landscaping materials like shrubs and ornamental prairie grasses. (Figure 1-#3)

65



6. With the garage doors rotated to face the gravel lane, on 21st Av. interface, please amend the plans to provide a layered landscaping along garage (combination of columnar trees and tall prairie ornamental grasses) to mitigate excessive amount of blank wall on the private property. Alternatively, provide some fenestration on the garage wall facing 21st Av. (Figure 1-#2)



7. Amend the plans to rotate the two garages facing 21st Ave SW 90 degrees to face the rear lane. (Figure 1-#1)

66

Transportation

- 8. Amend plans to have all vehicular access off the lane, and close the existing driveway crossing. This is to eliminate slip and falls, as well as eliminate pedestrian and vehicle conflicts through the pedestrian realm.
- 9. For existing gravel lane, the forms for the concrete aprons and concrete pads are to be set at an elevation that ensures there is a 3.5- 5% crossfall to the centre line of the lane from the property line (for drainage). Provide cross sections through each garage off the lane (See image below for an example). This may require stepping the garage slabs. Also, garage aprons are to be sloped at 2- 10% towards the lane.



- 10. Amend plans to ensure that all the suites are less than 45 sq/m, or else they will not qualify for no parking per RC-G rules.
- 11. Amend plans to remove all proposed development (1.2m fence) from within the 4.5m x 4.5m corner cut.

Development Engineering

12. Submit a Sanitary Servicing Study prepared by a qualified professional engineer under seal and permit to practice stamp, for review and acceptance to WA-ResourcesDevelopmentApprovals@calgary.ca. The report shall identify potential impact and/or "pinch points" within the public sanitary sewer system caused by the ultimate flows generated by the proposed development and must be to the satisfaction of Water Resources.

For further information, refer to the following:

Sanitary Servicing Study Guidelines http://www.calgary.ca/PDA/pd/Documents/development/west-memorial-sanitaryservicing-study-guidelines.pdf

Note: Associated costs will be at the expense of the developer. For further information and details, contact the Leader - Development Approvals, Water Resources at 403-268-2855.

Parks

13. Amend the plans to indicate the location of proposed storm water catchment tanks or/and proposed storm line. If tanks are required, they should be installed as close to the south property line and as far away from the public birch tree as possible.

Prior to Release Requirements

If this Development Permit is approved, the following requirements shall be met prior to the release of the permit. All requirements shall be resolved to the satisfaction of the Approving Authority:

Planning

14. The Prior to Release conditions will be finalized at the time of Development Authority decision.

Transportation

15. Amend plans to note that the existing driveway crossing on 21 Avenue S.W. is to be "closed and rehabilitated at the Developers expense" (See image below).



16. Remit payment (certified cheque, bank draft) for the proposed infrastructure listed below within the public right-of-way to address the requirements of the Business Units. The amount is calculated by the respective Business Unit and is based on 100% of the estimated cost of construction.

The developer is responsible to coordinate the timing of the construction by City forces. The payment is non-refundable.

Roads

Street lighting upgrading adjacent to site frontages (If required).

17. Remit a performance security deposit (certified cheque, bank draft, letter of credit) for the proposed infrastructure listed below within the public right-of-way to address the requirements of the Business Unit. The amount of the deposit is calculated by Roads and is based on 100% of the estimated cost of construction. The developer is responsible to arrange for the construction of the infrastructure with their own forces and to enter into an Indemnification Agreement with Roads at the time of construction (the security deposit will be used to secure the work).

68

Roads

a. Closure and removal of existing driveway crossings on 21 Avenue S.W.,

c. Rehabilitation of existing driveway crossings, sidewalks, curb and gutter, etc., should it be deemed necessary through a site inspection by Roads personnel.

Development Engineering

 Submit three (3) sets of the Development Site Servicing Plan details to Development Servicing, Inspections and Permits, for review and acceptance from Water Resources, as required by Section 5 (2) of the Utility Site Servicing Bylaw 33M2005. Contact WA-ResourcesDevelopmentApprovals@calgary.ca for additional details.

For further information, refer to the following:

Design Guidelines for Development Site Servicing Plans https://www.calgary.ca/PDA/pd/Documents/urban_development/publications/DSSP-Design-Guidelines.pdf

Development Site Servicing Plans CARL (requirement list) http://www.calgary.ca/PDA/pd/Documents/development/development-site-servicingplan.pdf

If the applicant would like to pursue a main extension at their expense, they must enter into an indemnification agreement for work within the City Right-of-way. This must be completed prior to the DSSP application.

19. After the Development Permit is approved but prior to its release, the landowner shall execute an Off-Site Levy Agreement for the payment of off-site levies pursuant to Bylaw 2M2016. The off-site levy is based on a 2021 development approval date and was based on the following:

Phase Description Unit(s) 1 2037 32 ST SW Existing Single: 1/New Grade: 4

Based on the information above, the preliminary estimate is \$10,931.00. Should payment be made prior to release of the development permit, an Off-Site Levy Agreement will not be required.

- Include the completed Payment Submission Form, which was emailed to the Track your application on-line with VISTA. Go to: <u>www.calgary.ca/vista</u> and enter your JOB ACCESS CODE (JAC) from the application form or call Planning Services Counter at (403) 268-5311. applicant.

- Only certified cheques or bank drafts made payable to the City of Calgary are acceptable.

69

To obtain an off-site levy agreement or for further information, contact the Calgary Approvals Coordination, Infrastructure Strategist (ROB HIRBER at 403-268-5138 or Rob.Hirber@calgary.ca) or offsitelevy@calgary.ca.

Parks

- 20. Amend the Site Plan:
 - a) Public tree T2 tree species is Birch

b) Indicate the setbacks between the existing and proposed boulevard trees and the existing or proposed utilities/servicing connections.

Provide the minimum setback requirements as required in Section 4.1.2 and Section 4.1.3 of Parks' Development Guidelines and Standard Specifications: Landscape Construction (current edition):

https://www.calgary.ca/csps/parks/construction/park-development-guidelines.html

This is to ensure no conflicts exist between the boulevard trees and utilities/servicing connections.

21. Amend the Site Plan to include a note stating:

a) 'An Urban Forestry Technician must be on-site during excavation within 5meteres of the existing public birch. This is to mitigate any damage to adjacent public tree. Contact Urban Forestry by phoning 311 at least three (3) business days in advance of excavation.'

Note: If tree canopy or root system is damaged to the point where the tree becomes unstable, then Urban Forestry will require their removal using an approved indemnified tree contractor at applicant's expense, plus compensation for the removed tree.

b) 'If clearance pruning of public trees is required, Urban Forestry must be notified minimum two business days notice and an indemnified contractor must be used at the applicants expense. Please contact Urban Forestry at 311 for more information.' Note: Pruning should be done at the earliest time possible to minimize impacts to the tree during construction.

Permanent Conditions

If this Development Permit is approved, the following permanent conditions shall apply:

Planning

22. The permanent conditions will be finalized at the time of Development Authority decision.

23. The development shall be completed in its entirety, in accordance with the approved plans and conditions. The stamped and signed plans are a legal document.

70

- 24. No changes to the approved plans shall take place unless authorized by the Development Authority. If changes to the development occur or are proposed, a new development permit or revised plan application may be required.
- 25. A development completion permit must be issued for the development before the use is commenced or the development occupied. A development completion permit is independent from the requirements of City of Calgary Building Regulations inspections and permission for occupancy. Call Development Inspection Services at 403-268-5311 to request a site inspection for a development completion permit.
- 26. Prior to issuance of a development completion permit, provide copies of the land titles and registered subdivision or bare land condominium plan. These documents must comply with the Land Use Bylaw 1P2007 requirements that prohibit more than one Secondary Suite per parcel or bare land unit containing a Dwelling Unit.
- 27. When the main floor of each building is constructed, submit the geodetic elevation to <u>Geodetic.Review@Calgary.ca</u>
- 28. Private outdoor amenity space must be provided on the parcel for the exclusive use of the Secondary Suite. The amenity space must be a minimum of 7.5 square metres, with no dimension less than 1.5 metres. Direct access from the Secondary Suite to the private outdoor amenity area is required.

Transportation

- 29. The developer shall be responsible for the cost of public work and any damage during construction in City road right-of-ways, as required by the Manager, Transportation Planning. All work performed on public property shall be done in accordance with City standards.
- 30. Indemnification Agreements are required for any work to be undertaken adjacent to or within City rights-of-way, bylawed setbacks and corner cut areas for the purposes of crane operation, shoring, tie-backs, piles, surface improvements, lay-bys, utility work, +15 bridges, culverts, etc. All temporary shoring, etc., installed in the City rights-of-way, bylawed setbacks and corner cut areas must be removed to the satisfaction of the Manager of Transportation Planning, at the applicant's expense, upon completion of the foundation. Prior to permission to construct, contact the Indemnification Agreement Coordinator, Roads at roadsia@calgary.ca

Development Engineering

31. If during construction of the development, the developer, the owner of the titled parcel, or any of their agents or contractors becomes aware of any contamination, a. the person discovering such contamination shall immediately report the contamination to the appropriate regulatory agency including, but not limited to, Alberta Environment and Parks, Alberta Health Services and The City of Calgary (311).

b. on City of Calgary lands or utility corridors, The City of Calgary, Environmental Risk and Liability group shall be immediately notified (311).

32. The developer / project manager, and their site designates, shall ensure a timely and complete implementation, inspection and maintenance of all practices specified in erosion and sediment control report and/or drawing(s) which comply with Section 3.0 of The City of Calgary Guidelines for Erosion and Sediment Control. Any amendments to the ESC documents must comply with the requirements outlined in Section 3.0 of The City of Calgary Guidelines for Erosion and Sediment Control.

For other projects where an erosion and sediment control report and/or drawings have not been required at the Prior to Release stage, the developer, or their designates, shall, as a minimum, develop an erosion and sediment control drawing and implement good housekeeping practices to protect onsite and offsite storm drains, and to prevent or mitigate the offsite transport of sediment by the forces of water, wind and construction traffic (mud-tracking) in accordance with the current edition of The City of Calgary Guidelines for Erosion and Sediment Control. Some examples of good housekeeping include stabilization of stockpiles, stabilized and designated construction entrances and exits, lot logs and perimeter controls, suitable storm inlet protection and dust control.

The City of Calgary Guidelines for Erosion and Sediment Control can be accessed at: www.calgary.ca/ud (under publications).

For all soil disturbing projects, the developer, or their representative, shall designate a person to inspect all erosion and sediment control practices a minimum of every seven (7) days and during, or within 24 hours of, the onset of significant precipitation (> 12 mm of rain in 24 hours, or rain on wet or thawing soils) or snowmelt events. Note that some practices may require daily or more frequent inspection. Erosion and sediment control practices shall be adjusted to meet changing site and winter conditions.

- 33. Contact the Erosion Control Inspector, Water Resources, with at least two business days notice, to set up a pre-construction meeting prior to commencement of stripping and grading. Locations north of 17 Avenue S should contact 403-268-5271. Sites south of 17 Avenue S should contact 403-268-1847.
- 34. Stormwater runoff must be contained and managed in accordance with the Stormwater Management & Design Manual all to the satisfaction of the Director of Water Resources.
- 35. The grades indicated on the approved Development Site Servicing Plan(s) must match the grades on the approved Development Permit plans. Upon a request from the Development Authority, the developer or owner of the titled parcel must confirm under seal from a Consulting Engineer or Alberta Land Surveyor, that the development was constructed in accordance with the grades submitted on the Development Permit and Development Site Servicing Plan.
- 36. Pursuant to Bylaw 2M2016, off-site levies are applicable.

Track your application on-line with VISTA. Go to: <u>www.calgary.ca/vista</u> and enter your JOB ACCESS CODE (JAC) from the application form or call Planning Services Counter at (403) 268-5311.

37. After approval of the Development Permit but prior to issuance of a Development Completion Permit or any occupancy of the building, payment shall be made for offsite levies pursuant to Bylaw 2M2016. To obtain a final estimate contact the Calgary Approvals Coordination, Infrastructure Strategist (ROB HIRBER at 403-268-5138 or Rob.Hirber@calgary.ca) or offsitelevy@calgary.ca.

- Include the completed Payment Submission Form, which was emailed to the applicant.

- Only certified cheques or bank drafts made payable to the City of Calgary are acceptable.

Parks

- 38. Public trees located on the boulevard adjacent to the development site shall be retained and protected unless otherwise authorized by Urban Forestry. Prior to construction, install a temporary fence around the extent of the branches ("drip line") and ensure no construction materials are stored inside this fence.
- 39. Tree protection information given as per the approved development permit does not constitute Tree Protection Plan approval. Prior to any construction activities, Tree Protection Plan approval must be obtained separately through Urban Forestry. Visit www.calgary.ca, call 311, or email tree.protection@calgary.ca for more information.
- 40. In order to ensure the integrity of existing public trees and roots, construction access is only permitted through the rear lane and outside the drip line of public tree.
- 41. In order to ensure the integrity of existing public tree and roots, no grade changes are permitted in the boulevard within the drip line.
- 42. Any tree planting in the City boulevard shall be performed and inspected in accordance with Parks Development Guidelines and Standard Specifications Landscape Construction (current edition). Applicant is to contact the Parks Development Inspector at 403-804-9417 or 311 to arrange an inspection.

Advisory Comments

The following advisory comments are provided as a courtesy to the Applicant and registered property owner. The comments represent some, but not all of the requirements contained in the Land Use Bylaw that must be complied with as part of this approval.

Planning

- 43. The advisory comments will be finalized at the time of Development Authority decision.
- 44. The Applicant may appeal the decision of the Development Authority, including any of the conditions of the development permit. If you decide to file an appeal, please refer to the notification of decision letter for the appropriate appeal body and appeal process.
- 45. In addition to this development permit, building permits may also be required. Building permit applications may be submitted upon approval of the associated development permit. Contact Building Regulations at 403-268-5311 for further information.
- 46. [POLICY NAME, include hyperlink] is being prepared for an area that contains the site of this application. The study area includes the communities of [INSERT COMMUNITY NAMES].
- 47. There are many types of caveats and other agreements that can be registered on the title of the property that can restrict the ability to develop. The City has not reviewed or considered all instruments registered on the title to this property. Property owners must evaluate whether this development is in compliance with any documents registered on title.

Transportation

- 48. Applicant will have to work with Enmax to remove/ relocate the poles and anchors in the lane to facilitate garage access.
- 49. Garage aprons at rear must tie to the existing lane grades. Lane grades will be provided on the grade slip issued by Development Servicing. It is the responsibility of developer, contractor, or homeowner to set the elevations of the garage slab based on the lot grading and to ensure that garage is operationally accessible and that it ties to established land grades. Lane grades are not to be altered without the approval of Roads.

Development Engineering

50. The developer is responsible for ensuring that:

a. The environmental conditions of the subject property and associated utility corridors meet appropriate regulatory criteria and appropriate environmental assessment, remediation or risk management is undertaken.

b. Appropriate environmental assessment(s) of the property has been undertaken and, if required, a suitable remedial action plan and/or risk management plan has been prepared, reviewed and accepted by the appropriate regulatory agency(s) including but not limited to Alberta Environment and Parks and Alberta Health Services.

c. The development conforms to any reviewed and accepted remedial action plan/risk management plans.

d. All reports are prepared by a qualified professional in accordance with accepted guidelines, practices and procedures that include but are not limited to those in the most recent versions of the Canadian Standards Association and City of Calgary Phase I & II Environmental Site Assessment Terms of Reference.

e. The development is in compliance with applicable environmental approvals (e.g. Alberta Environment and Parks Approvals, Registrations, etc.), Alberta Energy Regulator approvals and related setback requirements, and landfill setback requirements as set out in the Subdivision and Development Regulation.

If the potential for methane generation or vapours from natural or contaminated soils

Track your application on-line with VISTA. Go to: <u>www.calgary.ca/vista</u> and enter your JOB ACCESS CODE (JAC) from the application form or call Planning Services Counter at (403) 268-5311.

and groundwater has been identified on the property, the developer is responsible for ensuring appropriate environmental assessment(s) of the property has been undertaken and appropriate measures are in place to protect the building(s) and utilities from the entry of methane or other vapours.

Issuance of this permit does not absolve the developer from complying with and ensuring the property is developed in accordance to applicable environmental legislation.

- 51. As per The City of Calgary Drainage Bylaw 37M2005, the developer, and those under their control, are responsible for ensuring that a Drainage Permit is obtained from Water Resources prior to discharging impounded runoff (caused by rainfall and/or snowmelt) seepage or groundwater from construction site excavations or other areas to a storm sewer. The developer, and those under their control, is responsible for adhering to all conditions and requirements stipulated in the Drainage Permit at all times. For further information, contact the Corporate Call Centre at 311 or visit http://www.calgary.ca/UEP/Water/Pages/Watersheds-and-rivers/Erosion-andsediment-control/Report-and-Drawings-Templates-and-Guides.aspx (Drainage Permit applications can be downloaded from this website).
- 52. Weeping tile is required to tie to the storm sewer unless a qualified soils consultant has determined otherwise. The consultant shall use the criteria set out in Section 3.3.6.8 of the Stormwater Management & Design Manual. A letter with the appropriate elevations (in metric geodetic) and information will be required by Water Resources.
- 53. Ensure elevations of building slab and/or any building openings are 0.3m minimum above trap low spill elevations or the 100-year elevation, whichever is higher. The minimum grade within the lot adjacent to the trap low must be 0.3m higher than the 1:100-year elevation in the trap low or spill elevation, whichever is higher. This minimum grade must be achieved within a 6.0m distance from the common property line of the lot and the road right-of-way.
- 54. All on-site sewers are to be designed to City of Calgary specifications.
- 55. Controlled stormwater discharge is required for the subject site. The allowable release rate is 50 l/s/ha.
- 56. Storm Redevelopment Fees (\$84 / m frontage) will be required at the service connection stage.
- 57. Where possible, discharge of roof leaders should be directed onto grassed or pervious areas to help reduce the volume of runoff. Alternatively, the roof leaders may be directed to the on-site storm sewer system.
- 58. Surface ponding (trapped lows) should be designed to contain all the flow generated from the 100-year storm events.
- 59. Stormwater emergency escape routes must be to a public roadway.

Track your application on-line with VISTA. Go to: <u>www.calgary.ca/vista</u> and enter your JOB ACCESS CODE (JAC) from the application form or call Planning Services Counter at (403) 268-5311.

60. The applicant is encouraged to explore and adopt stormwater volume control options for this development.

75

- 61. Storm sewer connection is available from 21 AV SW (375 CON, 1959).
- 62. Sanitary sewer connection is available from 32 ST SW (200 VCT, 1947).
- 63. The applicant must apply for water and sewer connections as per City Standards.
- 64. Each unit must be individually metered.
- 65. Review with Fire Prevention Bureau at 403-268-5378 for on-site hydrant coverage and Siamese connection location(s). A site servicing (hydrant location plan) stamped by the Fire Prevention Bureau is to be submitted at the Development Site Servicing Plan stage. (Principal entrance(s) are to be labeled on the plan.)
- 66. Maintain a 3.0m separation between Enmax facilities (power poles, light standards, transformer pads, catch basins, etc.) with the proposed water service.
- Show details of servicing and metering on Development Site Servicing Plan. Provide adequate water meter locations (100mm or larger, room adjacent to an exterior wall, 50mm or less, label water meter location) where services enter building. If static pressure exceeds 550 kPa install pressure reducing device after meter.
- 68. Water connection is available from 21 AV SW (300 Cl, 1954) and 32 ST SW (150 Cl, 1948).
- 69. A wastewater monitoring access point is required to service the proposed industrial, commercial or institutional developments as per Part VIII of the Wastewater Bylaw 14M2012. Such an access point allows for the observation, sampling and flow measurement of wastewater entering the wastewater system, and includes a test manhole. Monitoring access points should be, wherever possible, located outside the property line on public property. If the access point cannot be located on public property, an access easement is required. The access easement is to be a minimum 5m x 5m surrounding the wastewater monitoring access point to the manhole to allow for vehicle access. The easements must be registered on title prior to DSSP approval. Contact the Land Titles Officer, Corporate Properties at 403-268-5863 for an access easement. All monitoring access points must provide unrestricted access to City staff for inspection purposes.
- 70. For questions and concerns regarding waste storage facilities, refer to the Development Reviews: Design Standards for the Storage and Collection of Waste Found at: http://www.calgary.ca/UEP/WRS/Pages/Commercial-Services/Development-Permits-Waste-Recycling.aspx.

Parks

71. The Streets Bylaw (20M88) and the Tree Protection Bylaw (23M2002) contain clauses intended to protect trees growing on Public Land. No person shall remove, move, cut, or prune a Public Tree or cause a Public Tree to be removed, moved, cut or pruned without prior written authorization from the Director, Parks. A copy of the

Track your application on-line with VISTA. Go to: <u>www.calgary.ca/vista</u> and enter your JOB ACCESS CODE (JAC) from the application form or call Planning Services Counter at (403) 268-5311.

bylaw can be found at www.calgary.ca. Parks does not permit the removal of public trees to facilitate development unless all options to retain and protect are exhausted.

76

- 72. If clearance pruning of public trees is required, Urban Forestry must be notified minimum two business days notice and an indemnified contractor must be used at the applicants expense. Please contact Urban Forestry at 311 for more information.
- 73. An Urban Forestry Technician must be on-site to mitigate possible root damage to adjacent public trees during excavation closer than 5m of the existing public birch tree. Prior to construction, contact Urban Forestry at 311 and ask to speak to an Urban Forestry Technician. Urban Forestry requires minimum two business days notice prior to meeting onsite.
- 74. As part of the Tree Protection Bylaw, a Tree Protection Plan will be required when a development, construction activity, or a disturbance occurring on the City Boulevard is within 6 metres of a boulevard tree. For more information about submitting your tree protection plan visit www.calgary.ca and search protecting trees during construction and development; alternatively, call 311 or email tree.protection@calgary.ca. Applicant is to apply for tree protection plan prior to demolition
- 75. The applicant will be required to provide compensation to the City of Calgary for any Public Trees that are removed or damaged. The Public Tree(s) adjacent to this development is/are valued at \$6,102.51. Applicants that are unfamiliar with tree protection or tree appraisal are advised to consult an arborist.
- 76. Tree plantings within City of Calgary boulevards and/or right of ways are subject to approval from Utility Line Assignment and Parks.
- 77. No stockpiling or dumping of construction materials is permitted on the adjacent boulevard.



ENMAX Power Corporation 141 – 50 Avenue SE Calgary, AB T2G 4S7 Tel (403) 514-3000 enmax.com

April 16, 2021

File No: DP2021-1828 Location: 2037 32 ST SW

ENMAX Power Corporation (EPC) has reviewed the above permit application dated 3/30/2021 and based on the information provided and as of the above noted date the proposed development does not conflict with ENMAX facilities in respect of the requirements set forth in 10-002 Overhead System (Table 7) and 12-002 Underground Systems of the Alberta Electrical Utility Code (AEUC) under the *Safety Codes Act* (Alberta). This non-conflict letter does not reduce or limit responsibility to comply will all laws and regulations regarding utility facilities and all requirements under the *Occupational Health & Safety Act* (Alberta) (OHS) and the applicant shall observe all such laws and regulations when commencing any work related to the permit application. If a situation arises where there is a discrepancy between ENMAX required setbacks and the AEUC or the OHS, the stricter set of requirements shall govern.

Pursuant to Section 225(1) of Part 17 of the *Occupational Health and Safety Code* (Alberta) (Code) anyone working near overhead powerlines must maintain safe limits of approach as provided for in Schedule 4, Table 1 of the Code or Table 1 in the AEUC and anyone excavating must contact Alberta One-Call prior to performance of such excavation. As a condition of this no-conflict letter, and despite any existence of a permit, the applicant must contact EPC (Powerline Inspections (403) 514-3117) prior to the commencement of any construction where any workers or equipment will be within 7.0m of existing overhead EPC facilities If EPC is contacted in accordance with the above, no construction work shall be commenced thereafter unless and until EPC determines the minimum safe limit of approach distance in relation to the overhead facilities present at the project site.

**NOTE: This letter provided by ENMAX Power Corporation is intended for information purposes only and is not in any manner intended to nor shall be construed to derogate from applicant's obligations to follow any applicable law. The provision of this no-conflict letter is not a representation that work will meet any legislative or regulatory obligations. This no-conflict letter is provided as of the date first note above – the applicant is still required to perform their own due diligence prior to any development activities and resolve any conflicts (new or existing) at the Developer's sole expense. ENMAX expressly disclaims any liability related to applicant's responsibility to comply with such laws and regulations and ENMAX's required setbacks.

If you require any additional information regarding this Development Permit, please contact the Project Administrator at EPC_Permits@enmax.com.

Sincerely,

Kimth

Younglae Kim, P.Eng Permits and Circulations

SDAB2021-0083

From:	Weedon, Carla R.
То:	"clay@newcenturydesign.ca"
Cc:	Seymour, Brendyn
Subject:	DP2021-1828 New: Rowhouse Building (1 buillding), Secondary Suite (1 building, 4 units), Accessory Residential Building (garage) - setback to side property line
Date:	Tuesday, April 20, 2021 3:34:00 PM
Attachments:	DP2021-1920 Romouse Controller Review.pdf

Good Afternoon,

Your application has been reviewed for compliance with the National Building Code – 2019 Alberta Edition and preliminary comments are attached. These comments are an overview of the Building Code items that are required to be addressed prior to your Building Permit application. The comments provided are advisory in nature and do not act as an approval of your development permit. Please note that a full plans review has not been completed and further issues may arise upon full building permit application review.

For any questions concerning your development permit status, please contact your development permit file manager.

Thank you, Carla

Carla Weedon

Safety Codes Officer | Building Calgary Building Services Planning & Development The City of Calgary | Mail Code: 8114 Floor 4, Municipal Building - 800 Macleod Tr. S.E. P.O. Box 2100, Station M, Calgary, AB T2P 2M5

COCLogo_610







DEVELOPMENT PERMIT APPLICATION CIRCULATION REPORT

Name:	NEW CENTURY DESIGN
Building Address:	2037 32 ST SW
Development Permit Number: Development Description:	DP2021-1828 New: Rowhouse Building (1 buillding), Secondary Suite (1 building, 4 units), Accessory Residential Building (garage) - setback to side property line

IMPORTANT NOTICE

A preliminary review for compliance with the National Building Code – 2019 Alberta Edition has been completed based on the Development Permit Application Drawings. The following comments may affect the design concept of the building and shall be addressed prior to the application for a Building Permit.

A Building Permit shall be obtained from the Building Regulations Division before construction

Building Regulations has reviewed the proposed development and alterations are required to bring the proposed development into compliance with the National Building Code – 2019 Alberta Edition which may result in a new or revised Development Permit if items are not addressed at this time.

1. Division B, 9.10.14. Spatial Separation Between Buildings / 9.10.15. Spatial Separation between Houses

Provide spatial separation for detached garages under Division B, 9.10.14. or using the standing posted variance (SPV-003) on Calgary.ca, and spatial separation for the house where facing the detached garage.

a) The use of SPV 003 variance requires the construction details to follow exactly, including the prohibited location of cantilevers on the west façade of the house where facing the garage, and reference to the SPV-003 shall be included on the building permit application drawings, or

b) Prescriptive compliance with 9.10.14. and 9.10.15. shall be noted on the drawings including location of required fire-resistance ratings of assemblies, non-combustible cladding, and prohibited location of eaves. Include the location of an imaginary line of limiting distance used to determine required ratings, cladding, location of eaves and maximum permitted glazed openings.

Advisory Comments:

1. Division B, 9.10.11.2. Firewalls Not Required

2) Where a *building* of *residential occupancy* contains more than 2 houses, a *party wall* that separates any 2 adjacent houses with a *secondary suite* from the rest of the *building* shall be constructed as a *firewall* to create separate *buildings* each containing no more than 2 adjacent houses with a *secondary suite*.

Division B, 9.10.11.3. Construction of Firewalls

1) Where *firewalls* are used, the requirements in Part 3 shall apply.

2. Division B, 9.10.12.3. Exterior Walls Meeting at an Angle

1) Except as provided in Article 9.9.4.5., where exterior walls of a *building* meet at an external angle of 135° or less, the horizontal distance from an *unprotected opening* in one exterior wall to an *unprotected opening* in the other exterior wall shall be not less than 1.2 m, where these openings are

- a) in different fire compartments, or
- b) in different dwelling units, ancillary spaces or common spaces in a house with a secondary suite.

SDAB2021-0083

2) Except as provided in Sentence (3), the exterior wall of each *fire compartment* referred to in Sentence (1) within the 1.2 m distance shall have a *fire-resistance rating* not less than that required for the interior vertical *fire separation* between the compartment and the remainder of the *building*.

3. Division B, Part 9.10.2.1 Occupancy Classification

Provide a complete building code review at time of Building Permit application. The **building classification** shall be provided and required fire separations and fire resistance ratings shall be clearly identified on the drawings.

4. Division B, 9.10.15. Spatial Separation Between Houses

Provide glazed opening calculations and exposed building face construction assessment for all exposing building faces of the new building(s) at time of building permit application. In the event there is no established property line to calculate limiting distance, indicated the location an imaginary located between the two buildings used to calculated glazed openings for both buildings.

5. Division C, 2.2.10.1. General (New Home Warranty)

Provide proof of New Home Warranty for building permit submission as required under New Home Buyer Protection Act. Refer

6. Division C, 2.2.10.1. General (Builder License)

The Province of Alberta requires all residential builders to have a builder license to construct residential projects including multi-residential. Accordingly, the City of Calgary is required to check for evidence of the builder license for any building permits that include residential dwelling units in the scope of work. Provide proof of builder's licence as required under New Home Buyer Protection Act; General Contractor licence (up to 4 dwelling units) or Developer licence (5 or more dwelling units). Any questions related to builder licensing can be directed to <u>builderlicensing@gov.ab.ca</u>.

7. Division C, 2.2.10.1. General (Partial Permit)

Please note that a partial permit application may be made at the time of your building permit application or anytime thereafter (in consultation with your building permit file manager SCO). The scope of a partial permit may vary and specification of the proposed scope of the partial permit is required at the time of the application. Please refer to the following document for information necessary when applying for a partial permit on this project. <u>http://www.calgary.ca/PDA/pd/Documents/building/commercial-partial-permit.pdf</u>

Carla Weedon

Safety Codes Officer | Building Calgary Building Services | Planning & Development E: carla.weedon@calgary.ca | P: 403.807.5129

Please note that a full plans review has not been completed and further issues may arise upon full building permit application review.

From:	CAWard8 - Zev Klymochko
То:	DP Circ
Cc:	Seymour, Brendyn
Subject:	RE: Electronic Circulation of DP2021-1828 @ 2037 32 ST SW
Date:	Tuesday, May 4, 2021 10:58:08 AM
Attachments:	

Hi Brendyn,

My apologies for the delay. Councillor Woolley has no objection to this DP.

Zev Klymochko

Communications & Development Advisor Ward 8 Councillor Evan Woolley |The City of Calgary **T** 403-268-2504 | <u>www.calgary.ca/ward8</u> **C** 403-807-3805 Administration Building - 4th Floor, 313 – 7th Avenue SE

P.O. Box 2100, Station M, Calgary, AB Canada T2P 2M5

Want to keep informed? Join the Ward 8 mailing list.

From: Halliburn, Pamela E. <Pamela.Halliburn@calgary.ca> On Behalf Of DP Circ Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 2:18 PM

Cc: DP Circ <DP.Circ@calgary.ca>; Seymour, Brendyn <Brendyn.Seymour@calgary.ca>

Subject: Electronic Circulation of DP2021-1828 @ 2037 32 ST SW

2	For more information CALGARY.CA/PD DISPATCH ENEWSLETTER
Good day, Please find attached the circulation package for the above application. Included are the following documents:	e noted Development Permit
 Circulation Package Guidelines for Electronic Circulation Request for Comment Sheet Complete Set of Plans Community Association Feedback Form Please note, you can also submit feedback online Please respond electronically to <u>DP.Circ@calgary.ca</u>. Thank you. 	<u>16</u> .

Pamela Hallíburn

Applications Processing Representative Calgary Building Services Development, Applications and Licensing Services The City of Calgary | Mail code: #8201 (403) 268-5744 DP.Circ@calgary.ca Floor 3, Municipal Building - 800 Macleod Trail S.E. P.O. Box 2100, Station M, Calgary, AB Canada T2P 2M5



ISC: Unrestricted

From:	MICHELLE CARIOU
To:	Seymour, Brendyn; Jyoti.Gondek@Calgry.ca; Farkas, Jeromy A.; Nahed.Nenshi@calgary.ca; Lockhart, Darren R.
Cc:	susanneb@telusplanet.net; karin.king@gmail.com; cdrw@telus.net; britanicus40; sherrymcneill@hotmail.com;
	joeycalgary@aol.com; sue.gcraw@gmail.com; hussein.kanji@rbc.com; k.bryuzgin@gmail.com;
	holesinmyzapatos@gmail.com; rogerkon2@gmail.com; lindsaykremers@hotmail.com
Subject:	[EXT] Letter of Opposition to DP2021-1828/File #LOC2020-0126
Date:	Friday, April 30, 2021 2:52:18 PM
Attachments:	Killamet og er final pdf

Dear Mr Seymour,

We request that the attached Letter of Opposition be included in the Development Planning review process for DP2021-1828/File #LOC2020-0126.

Regards, Michelle Cariou Killarney Residents' Coalition April 29, 2021

Mr. Brendyn Seymour Planner II, Centre West Area Community Planning Planning & Development The City of Calgary 5th Floor Municipal Building, 800 Macleod Trail SE P.O. Box 2100 Station M, Calgary, Alberta T2P 2M5



Dear Mr. Seymour,

Re: DP2021 – 1828 / FILE #LOC2020-0126 Building Permit Application / DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD Letter of Opposition

Pursuant to recent correspondence from our various members regarding the above noted matter, please let this letter serve as formal opposition notice from the Killarney Residents' Coalition for the above noted Permit Application.

Rejection of Application:

Numerous opposition letters from individual Killarney residents were submitted to the City of Calgary during the rezoning application which consistently outlined strong opposition to the original rezoning application. Rejection criteria is generally based on the following principles:

Updated zoning requirements for the neighborhood were established in consultation with our community. The re-zoning application did not conform or adhere to the parameters established by both the community and the city. Further, there was no engagement by the developer to stakeholder residents that surround the proposed development. Finally, the development does not comply with several city mandates, including the authorized "Main Streets Initiative".

The permit submission and development design is unresponsive to the local context. It,puts forward a development size and scope which is well outside of the ARP and Infill guidelines including but not limited to:

- The elevations for the proposed development are not in keeping with established design criteria.
- Both the style and finishes appear to be of poor quality and not consistent with those currently exhibited in the community.
- The addition of independently accessed suites on such a small parcel of development are not consistent with any other development within the immediate area.
- The massing of a building is a key factor for the successful integration of a new infill development into its setting. The majority of buildings within the City's established communities require a reduced building mass, including height, in order to respect the adjacent homes and streetscape. Homes built to the maximum Bylaw standards can be incompatible and visually disruptive to the street. In this application, height, depth and width

84

measurements make the project incompatible with its context and will result in a substantial loss of privacy and sunlight to the adjacent property.

- A shadow study for the winter solstice (December 21), the summer solstice (June 21) and the spring equinox (March 21) needs to be provided by the applicant to fully assess the impact the proposed structure will have on the northerly neighbouring property.
- Proposed development will negatively affect the use and enjoyment of the adjacent property to the north, in particular its imposing building bulk, mass, height and the resulting impacts on neighbour's use of their outdoor amenity areas.
- The majority of the vegetation will be destroyed (2 mature spruce tree and one crab apple tree). The root system of the mature birch tree planned to be conserved will be irreversibly damaged during the excavation work with little hope to salvage the tree.

The Director of Development and President for our community failed to represent the interests of the residents during the rezoning application as did the Ward 7 city counsellor. In fact, other city counsellors were opposed to the re-zoning application in a ward they didn't even represent given the dereliction of duties from those claiming to represent the community.

While we can empathize with the city's objective of inner-city densification, the redevelopment from a single house to 8 units within a quiet residential side street is not reasonable or acceptable. At this time, there are no developments above 2 units on the entire R2 zoned section of 32nd Street.

Numerous residents in the area recently purchased dwellings based on the R-2 designation which restricts the densification to reasonable, sustainable levels. Unlike the narrative that is propagated by those with special interests, this proposed development not only reduces property values but penalizes those who originally purchased attached houses in this area specifically for limitations on density (and therefore, congestion, crime and other factors).

The City of Calgary has paused and is reviewing the rollout of The Guidebook for Great Communities due to an avalanche of resident opposition to development initiatives exactly like this one we are opposing. In keeping with the City of Calgary's commitment to review the Guidebook, and its impact on communities like Killarney, this Development Plan must be rejected.

Accordingly, we are requesting that the redevelopment permit in its current form be rejected in its entirety without substantial changes that reflect the above noted community concerns.

If this permit reaches the advertising stage without our consultation, we will take the following steps:

- Appeal the permit application; and
- Direct our legal counsel to secure an injunction to prevent further development until the concerns of the residents are appropriately represented and addressed in an independent tribunal.

About the Killarney Resident's Coalition:

We are a non-profit, community-based association that was formed to represent the best interests of residents based in the Calgary community of inner-Killarney. Our goal is to preserve the safety and aesthetics of our environment for our established community members and those who choose Killarney for the quiet, friendly and safe community.

We recognize that you have a challenging task when trying to meet the objectives for growth and densification on this great city. We are not opposed to development when it is achieved through collaboration that is independent of special interests.

We look forward to resolving this issue and collaborating toward a solution that best meets the interests of both individual stakeholders and our community.

Regards,

Michelle Cariou	Add
Michelle Cariou	Grant Kosowan
President	Community Project Volunteer
Killarney Residents' Coalition	Killarney Residents' Coalition

c.c. Darren Lockhart, Manager, Development Approvals Jyoti Gondek, Mayoral Candidate / Ward 3 Jeremy Farkas, Mayoral Candidate / Ward 11 Nahed Nenshi, City Mayor

> Michelle Cariou Grant Kosowan Joey Stewart Monika Galecki Paul Galecki Lindsey McPherson Stuart McPherson Sherry Garrido Alfredo Garrido Joshua Garrido Daniel Goulet Sue Crawford Karin King James Gilfillan Kirill Bryuzgin Ksenia Khatova **Claire Hearn** Carla Dexter Victoria Rivera **David Rivera** Roger Keen Susanne Bechtold Annalise Hearn

From:	Seymour, Brendyn
To:	Planning Advisory & Coordination
Cc:	Wishlow, Kristen D.; Ganczar, Lindsey
Subject:	FW: Letter of Opposition to DP2021-1828/File #LOC2020-0126
Date:	Thursday, November 25, 2021 10:28:58 AM

FYI. This was the only communication I received from Michelle up until the approval advertising period.

BRENDYN SEYMOUR MAUD, RPP, MCIP
Planner II, Centre West Area
Community Planning
Planning & Development
The City of Calgary | Mail code: #8075
T 587.576.4310 | F 403.268.2941 | calgary.ca
5th Floor, Municipal Building, 800 Macleod Tr. S.E.
P.O. Box 2100, Station M, Calgary, AB Canada T2P 2M5

Please note my new phone number

ISC: Protected

From: Seymour, Brendyn Sent: Monday, May 3, 2021 4:57 PM To: 'MICHELLE CARIOU'

; CAWard8 - Zev Klymochko

<caward8@calgary.ca>; Lockhart, Darren R. <Darren.Lockhart@calgary.ca>; Jyoti.Gondek@Calgry.ca **Subject:** RE: Letter of Opposition to DP2021-1828/File #LOC2020-0126

Hi Michelle,

Thank you for your email and letter. We will take your comments into consideration as part of our review of DP2021-1828 (4-unit rowhouse with 4 secondary suites). As for LOC2020-0126, this redesignation to R-CG was approved by Council on December 14, 2020.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss this application, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

BRENDYN SEYMOUR MAUD, RPP, MCIP

Planner II, Centre West Area

Community Planning

Planning & Development

The City of Calgary | Mail code: #8075

T 587.576.4310 | **F** 403.268.2941 | <u>calgary.ca</u>

5th Floor, Municipal Building, 800 Macleod Tr. S.E.

P.O. Box 2100, Station M, Calgary, AB Canada T2P 2M5

Please note my new phone number SDAB2021-0083

ISC: Protected

From: MICHELLE CARIOU

Sent: Friday, April 30, 2021 2:49 PM

To: Seymour, Brendyn <<u>Brendyn.Seymour@calgary.ca</u>>; <u>Jyoti.Gondek@Calgry.ca</u>; Farkas, Jeromy A. <<u>Jeromy.Farkas@calgary.ca</u>>; <u>Nahed.Nenshi@calgary.ca</u>; Lockhart, Darren R.

>

<<u>Darren.Lockhart@calgary.ca</u>>

Cc:

Subject: [EXT] Letter of Opposition to DP2021-1828/File #LOC2020-0126

Dear Mr Seymour,

We request that the attached Letter of Opposition be included in the Development Planning review process for DP2021-1828/File #LOC2020-0126.

Regards,

Michelle Cariou

Killarney Residents' Coalition

2037 32 ST SW

4 UNIT ROWHOUSE W/ SUITES

INFILL

LOT 1, BLOCK 1, PLAN 1773 FR

KILLARNEY

NATIONAL BUILDING CODES – 2019 ALBERTA EDITION

9.9.1.3. Occupant Load

(2) The occupant load for the dwelling units shall be based on 2 persons per bedroom or sleeping area.

Occupant load for each Unit is 8.

9.10.2.1. BUILDING CLASSIFICATION: GROUP C - RESIDENTIAL OCCUPANCIES

9.10.9.11. Separation of Residential Occupancies

(1) Except as provided in Sentence (2), residential occupancies shall be separated from all other major occupancies by a fire separation having a fire-resistance rating of not less than 1 h.

9.10.9.14. Separation of Residential Suites

(1) Except as provided in Sentences (2), (3) and (4) and Part 10, suites in residential occupancies shall be separated from adjacent rooms and suites by a fire separation having a fire-resistance rating of not less than 45 min.

(3) Except as provided in Sentence (4), dwelling units that contain 2 or more storeys including basements shall be separated from the remainder of the building by a fire separation having a fire-resistance rating of not less than 1 h.

9.10.11.2. Firewalls not Required

(1) Except as stated in Sentence (2), a party wall on a property line of a building of residential occupancy need not be constructed as a firewall, provided it is constructed as a fire separation having not less than a 1 h fire-resistance rating, where the party wall separates: (a) two dwelling units where there is no dwelling unit above another dwelling unit (2) Where a building of residential occupancy contains more than 2 houses, a party wall that separated any 2 adjacent houses with a secondary suite from the rest of the building shall be constructed as a firewall to create separate buildings each containing no more than 2 adjacent houses with a secondary suite. (3) The wall described in sentence (1) shall provide continuous protection from the top of the footings to the underside of the roof deck.

9.10.11.3. Construction of Firewalls

(1) Where firewalls are used, the requirements in Part 3 shall apply.

3.1.10.2. Rating of Firewalls

(2) A firewall that separates a building or buildings with floor areas containing major occupancies other than Group E of Group F, Division 1 or 2 shall be constructed as a fire separation of noncombustible construction having a fire-resistance rating of not less than 2 h. (3) Except as permitted by Sentence (4), the required fire-resistance rating of a firewall, except for closures, shall be provided by masonry or concrete.

<u>3.1.10.3. Continuity of Firewalls</u>

(1) A firewall shall extend from the ground continuously through, or adjacent to, all storeys of a building or buildings so separated, except that a firewall located above a basement storage garage conforming to Article 3.2.1.2. is permitted to commence at the floor assembly immediately above the storage garage.

3.1.10.4. Parapets

SDAB2021-0083

(1) Except as permitted by Sentences (2) and 3.1.10.3.(2), a firewall shall extend above the roof surface to form a parapet not less than

a) 150mm high for a firewall required to have a fire-resistance rating of not less than 2h

3.1.10.7. Combustible Projections

(2) If buildings are separated by a firewall, combustible projections on the exterior of one building, including balconies, platforms, canopies, eave projections and stairs, that extend outward beyond the end of the firewall, shall not be permitted within 2.4m of combustible projections and window or door openings of the adjacent building. (See also Article 3.2.3.6.)

3.2.3.6. Combustible Projections

(1) Except for a building containing one or 2 dwelling units only, combustible projections on the exterior of a wall that could expose an adjacent building to fire spread and are more than 1m above ground level, including balconies, platforms, canopies and stairs, shall not be permitted within a) 1.2m of a property line or the centre line of a public way, or

b) 2.4m of a combustible projection on another building on the same property.



LAND-USE BYLAW INFORMATION

534 - PARCEL COVERAGE

535 - BUILDING DEPTH (2) For a Rowhouse Building located on a corner parcel there is no maximum building depth where the building setback from the side property line shared with another parcel is a minimum of 3.0m for any portion of the Rowhouse Building located between the rear property line and: (a) 50.0 per cent parcel depth;

greater of:

(b) 3.0 metres. 6.0 metres; and to a minimum of 3.0 metres.

538 - BLOCK FACE REQUIREMENTS length of a block face.

(2) Unless otherwise referenced in subsection (3), the maximum cumulative building coverage over all the parcels subject to a single development permit for a Rowhouse Building is:

(b) 55.0 per cent of the area of the parcels subject to a single development permit for a development with a density of 50 units per hectare or greater and less than 60 units per hectare;

537 - BUILDING SETBACK FROM FRONT PROPERTY LINE

(1) Unless otherwise references in subsections (2) or (3), the minimum building setback from a front property line is the

(a) contextual front setback less 1.5 metres to a maximum of 4.5 metres; or

(2) On a corner parcel, the minimum building setback from a fornt property line may be reduced to: (a) the contextual front setback at the side property line shared with another parcel to a maximum of

(b) decreased in equal proportion with the increase in the distance from the shared side property line,

(1) A minimum building setback of 1.2 metres is required from a side property line at least every 60.0 metres along the entire

(2) Where subsection (1) applies, the side setback area must be clear of all air conditioning units, window wells, and portions of a building measured from grade to a height of 2.4 metres.

539 - BUILDING SETBACK FROM A SIDE PROPERTY LINE

(1) Subject to subsections (3) through (11), the minimum building setback from (7) For a corner parcel, the minimum building setback from a side (10) Unless otherwise referenced in subsection (11), on a laned side property line for a private garage attached to a main residen

540 - BUILDING SETBACK FROM A REAR PROPERTY LINE

(2) For a Rowhouse Building on a corner parcel, the minimum building setba

541 - BUILDING HEIGHT

(1) Unless otherwise referenced in subsections (2) and (3), for a [...] Rowhou 11.0 metres measured from grade.

(2) Where a building setback is required from a propoerty line shared iwth a residential district or the M-CG district, the maximum building height: (a) is the greater of:

(i) the highest geodetic elevation of a main residential

adjoining parcel; or (ii) 7.0 metres from grade;

measured at the shared property line; and

(b) increases at a 45 degree angle to a maximum of 11.0 metres

542 - OUTDOOR PRIVATE AMENITY SPACE For a [...] Rowhouse building [...], each unit must have direct access to privat

(a) is provided outdoors;

(b) has a minimum total area of 20.0 square metres; and (c) may be divided over a maximum of two amenity spaces where

- (i) one amenity space has no dimension less than 3.0 (ii) the second amenity space has a maximum contigue
- metres with no dimension less than 1.5 metres.

546 - MOTOR VEHICLE PARKING STALLS

(2) The minimum number of motor vehicle parking stalls for a Secondary Su (a) the floor area of a Secondary Suite is 45.0 square metres or l (b) the parcel is located within 600.0 metres of an existing or appr platform or within 150.0 metres of frequent bus service; and (c) space is provided in a building for the occupant of the Seconda mobility alternatives such as bicycles or strollers that:

(i) is accessed directly from the exterior; and

(ii) has an area of 2.5 square metres or more for every

not provided with a motor vehicle parking stall.

(3) Parcel coverage excludes the building coverage area required by subsec



STATISTICS AND CALCULATIONS

N					interpre at the e
D .AN	BUILDING				ALL T
			le at building = 1126.27 eak geodetic = 1135.56		These
		Lowest grade	e at building = 1124.89 ght = 10.67m		Specific below).
		Dunung noi	gin 10.07m		these d should
	RESIDEN	TIAL DENS	SITY	71.66 units/ha	THE SI not res
		Lot area = 0. Lot area = 5			materia <u>HOM</u>
		Units = 4			It is the
		Allowed lot c	coverage = 60.00%		to revie CONS
	PARCEL (COVERAGI	E	59.89 %	and the Century
		Lot area = 5	58.18 m2		specifie
		Covered land	print = 251.06 m2 dings = 0 m2		© 2021 w
		Garage = 83 Total coverage	3.24 m2 ge area = 334.30 m2		
	AREA OF		<u>SPACE</u> JTDOOR (UNITS)	84.59 m2	403-
		FRIVATE OC	UNIT A = 21.32 m2	<u>04.39 mz</u>	
			UNIT B = 21.03 m2 UNIT C = 21.09 m2		
		PRIVATE OL	UNIT D = 21.15 m2 JTDOOR (SUITES)	<u>31.33 m2</u>	
			UNIT A = 8.08 m2 UNIT B = 7.78 m2		
			UNIT C = 7.76m2		DP DP
			UNIT D = 7.71 m2		
	TOTAL GF	ROSS FLO	<u>OR AREA</u> TAL = 1365 sf (126.81 m2)	<u>493.32 m2</u>	DTF
			Main = 695 sf		
			Upper = 670 sf Suite = 457		
		UNIT B - TO	TAL = 1315 sf (122.16 m2) Main = 670 sf		
			Upper = 645 sf Suite = 445		AREA
		UNIT C - TO	TAL = 1315 sf (122.16 m2)		TO
			Main = 670sf Upper = 645 sf		
		UNIT D - TO	Suite = 445 TAL = 1315 sf (122.16 m2)		
			Main = 670 sf Upper = 645 sf		
		Total dovelor	Suite = 435 ped GFA = 5310 sf (493.32	3 mJ)	UNI
			bed OI A - 5510 SI (455.52	5 mz)	
					UNI
from any side prop	erty line is 1.2	metres.			
de property line sha I parcel, the minimu	ared with a str	eet is 0.6 met	res		
ential building is 0.6					
	an anta lina in d	4 F			
back from a rear pr	operty line is	1.5 metres.			
ouse Building, [],	the maximum	ı building heig	ht is		STRE
another parcel des	ignated with a	low density			
	-				LEGA
al building on the					
					PROJ
s measured from g	rade.				KI
ate amenity space	e that:				
ere:					DRAV PROJ
0 metres; and juous area of 7.5 s	quare				SCAL
,	Yuu u				
) () .				
Suite is reduced to (less;					TITLE
proved capital fund	ded LRT				
ndary Suite for stora	age of				
ry Secondary Suite	e that is				
ection (2)(c).					
x /*/·					
					PAGE

RESPONSIBILITIES

NEW CENTURY DESIGN

It is NCD's responsibility to ensure these drawings meet or exceed the current Provincial Building Code and Land Use Bylaws. NCD will make all revisions, additions, or corrections required due to stated code or bylaws. Changes etc, due to discretionary interpretations by Approving Authorities having jurisdiction will be expense of the Homeowner or General Contractor.

TRADES AND SUPPLIERS

e drawings form only PART of the construction documents. A cification should be provided by the General Contractor (see w). Specific brands, models and trade names where used in drawings are subject to availability and change orders, and Ild be confirmed in ALL cases

SPECIFICATION OVERRIDES THESE DRAWINGS. NCD is sponsible for errors, omissions, or replacement of any rials or supplies when no specification has been provided.

MEOWNER AND GENERAL CONTRACTOR

he responsibility of the Homeowner and General Contractor view these drawings PRIOR TO START OF ISTRUCTION. Any discrepancies between these drawings the Specifications are to be provided in writing to New tury Design. Any errors or omissions due to items not

fied by the above parties are not the responsibility of NCD.

21 NEW CENTURY DESIGN INC. Any use, in whole or in part, without written consent is prohibited. Call 403-244-9744



ISSUED	m/d/y
DRAFT DESIGN	01.08.21
DRAFT DESIGN 2	02.01.21
DP DRAFT	03.05.21
DP DRAFT 2	03.16.21
DP SET	03.18.21
DTR1 Resubmission	06.30.21
DTR1 - Rev 1 - Added Catchment Tank	08.10.21
DTR1 - REV 2 - Revised Amenity Material	09.16.21

AS S.F. 4323 OTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA NIT A1 TOTAL 1365 MAIN 695 670 UPPER SUITE - A2 457 JNIT B1 TOTAL 1315 670 MAIN 645 UPPER SUITE - B2 445 1315 JNIT C1 TOTAL 670 MAIN 645 UPPER 445 SUITE - C2 1315 JNIT D1 TOTAL 670 MAIN 645 UPPER

REET ADDRESS

2037 32 ST SW

SUITE - D2

435

GAL ADDRESS

LOT 1, BLOCK 1, PLAN 1773FR OJECT

KILLARNEY ROW HOUSE DEVELOPMENT

CI CHECKED: WN: CI DJECT # 20-03-SS-SP-031 LΕ

N/A

 A^1

COVER PAGE & 3D

4

13:21:14 2021-09-16



2	
	STATUS
	TO BE REMOVED
NDULA)	TO REMAIN (T-32041324
	TO BE REMOVED
H	TO BE PLANTED X4
	TO BE PLANTED X4
	TO BE PLANTED X6



SSUED	m/d/y
DRAFT DESIGN	01.08.21
DRAFT DESIGN 2	02.01.21
DP DRAFT	03.05.21
DP DRAFT 2	03.16.21
DP SET	03.18.21
DTR1 Resubmission	06.30.21
DTR1 - Rev 1 - Added Catchment Tank	08.10.21
DTR1 - REV 2 - Revised Amenity Material	09.16.21

AREAS			S.F.
	TOTAL GROSS	4323	
	UNIT A1	TOTAL	1365
		MAIN	695
		UPPER	670
		SUITE - A2	457
	UNIT B1	TOTAL	1315
		MAIN	670
		UPPER	645
		SUITE - B2	445
	UNIT C1	TOTAL	1315
		MAIN	670
		UPPER	645
		SUITE - C2	445
	UNIT D1	TOTAL	1315
		MAIN	670
		UPPER	645
		SUITE - D2	435

Cl 20-03-SS-SP-031



SDAB2021-0083

SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

91

MAIN FLOOR PLANS SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

UPPER FLOOR PLANS SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"



RESPONSIBILITIES

NEW CENTURY DESIGN

It is NCD's responsibility to ensure these drawings meet or exceed the current Provincial Building Code and Land Use Bylaws. NCD will make all revisions, additions, or corrections required due to stated code or bylaws. Changes etc, due to discretionary interpretations by Approving Authorities having jurisdiction will be at the expense of the Homeowner or General Contractor.

ALL TRADES AND SUPPLIERS

These drawings form only PART of the construction documents. A Specification should be provided by the General Contractor (see below). Specific brands, models and trade names where used in these drawings are subject to availability and change orders, and should be confirmed in ALL cases.

THE SPECIFICATION OVERRIDES THESE DRAWINGS. NCD is not responsible for errors, omissions, or replacement of any materials or supplies when no specification has been provided.

HOMEOWNER AND GENERAL CONTRACTOR

It is the responsibility of the Homeowner and General Contractor to review these drawings PRIOR TO START OF

CONSTRUCTION. Any discrepancies between these drawings and the Specifications are to be provided in writing to New Century Design. Any errors or omissions due to items not specified by the above parties are not the responsibility of NCD.

© 2021 NEW CENTURY DESIGN INC. Any use, in whole or in part, without written consent is prohibited. Call 403-244-9744



	403-244-9744	info@newcentury	/design.ca		
IS	ISSUED				
.0	6655B		m/d/y		
	DRAFT DESIGN		01.08.21		
	DRAFT DESIGN 2		02.01.21		
	DP DRAFT		03.05.21		
	DP DRAFT 2		03.16.21		
	DP SET		03.18.21		
	DTR1 Resubmission		06.30.21		
	DTR1 - Rev 1 - Added Ca	atchment Tank	08.10.21		
	DTR1 - REV 2 - Revised	Amenity Material	09.16.21		



REAS	S.F.	
TOTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA		4323
UNIT A1	TOTAL	1365
	MAIN	695
	UPPER	670
	SUITE - A2	457
UNIT B1	TOTAL	1315
	MAIN	670
	UPPER	645
	SUITE - B2	445
UNIT C1	TOTAL	1315
	MAIN	670
	UPPER	645
	SUITE - C2	445
UNIT D1	TOTAL	1315
	MAIN	670
	UPPER	645
	SUITE - D2	435

STREET ADDRESS

2037 32 ST SW

LEGAL ADDRESS

LOT 1, BLOCK 1, PLAN 1773FR PROJECT

KILLARNEY ROW HOUSE DEVELOPMENT

DRAWN:	CI	CHECKED:	CI
PROJECT #		20-03-55	S-SP-031
SCALE			
3/16" = 1'-0"			

TITLE

FLOOR PLANS & GARAGE ELEVATIONS

PAGE



13:21:25 2021-09-16



SUED	m/d/y		
DRAFT DESIGN	01.08.21		
DRAFT DESIGN 2	02.01.21		
DP DRAFT	03.05.21		
DP DRAFT 2	03.16.21		
DP SET	03.18.21		
DTR1 Resubmission	06.30.21		
DTR1 - Rev 1 - Added Catchment Tank	08.10.21		
DTP1 PEV 2 Povisod Amonity Matorial	00 16 21		

CI 20-03-SS-SP-031

Appeal Board rec'd: January 11, 2021 Submitted by: M. Cariou, appellant (revision of original submission)

January 11, 2021

Mr. Brendyn Seymour Planner II, Centre West Area Community Planning Planning & Development The City of Calgary 5th Floor Municipal Building, 800 Macleod Trail SE P.O. Box 2100 Station M, Calgary, Alberta T2P 2M5

RE: Appeal of Development Permit for File #DP2021-1828

Please let this letter serve as an appeal against the Development Permit approved for the construction by New Century Design of a Row House Building (1 building), Secondary Suites (1 building, 4 units) and an Accessory Residential Building (garage) located at 2037 32 ST SW.

This Development Permit should not be granted for the following reasons:

1) Neighborhood Compatibility/Street Context

a) Context re: Streetscape

As stated in the Context Guideline of the Low Density Residential Infill Housing Guidelines for Established Communities (Section 4.2, page 15) "New development should be designed in a manner which is responsive to the local context... In many areas, a general pattern characterizes the streetscape and the community. Any new development should respect this context. A building which is designed without considering its ability to complement its neighbours and the broader community, may negatively affect the character of an area."

In relation to the above-referenced Infill Guidelines developed by the City of Calgary, the subject proposed row house disrupts the street context of neighboring properties and is incompatible with the surrounding Conservation/Infill development.

The Killarney Glengarry ARP is a statutory document that designates the 2037 32 ST SW location as part of the conservation/Infill section of the community. Within this section of Killarney, the vast contiguous majority of new development is designated R-C1/R-C2 (see Display #1 below). Up to this point, our community's land policy (ARP), endorsed and supported by its residents, has ensured that street context is respected when a new development is proposed. The subject proposed Row House Building fails to align with existing street context and therefore we appeal against its approved Development Permit. **SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission**

Display #1

Streetscape of east and west orientations of 32nd Street SW at 21st Avenue. Note consistent R-C2 development along designated Conservation/Infill section of neighborhood.



b) Context re: Setbacks

As stated in the Building Setback from Front Property Line (Front Setback) Guideline in the *Low Density Residential Housing Guidelines for Established Communities* (Section 4.3.1, page 21) "The setbacks of new development should respect the established street pattern... The Front Yard Setbacks of the New Infill Developments should respect those of other houses on the Street."

Under the recently approved Development Permit for 2037 32 ST SW, the new structure will extend approximately 6 metres beyond the front façade of the neighboring structure located at 2035. This front-forward design not only disrupts existing street context but will eliminate mature vegetation while significantly obstructing sightlines of neighboring homes. (See Display #2 below)

Display #2

At left, current front façade of both 2037 and 2035 32 St SW structures. At right, the new design for 2037, with front façade extending 6 metres beyond neighboring home.





2) Massing

As stated in the Building Mass Guideline in the *Low Density Residential Housing Guidelines for Established Communities* (Section 4.4, page 30), "New development should respect the existing scale and massing of its immediate surroundings".

The visual impact of the subject proposed Row House Building, in relation to the adjacent 2035 property, is significant and negative. The building envelope of such development will create substantial loss of privacy and sunlight.

a) Privacy – the width of the subject proposed Row House Building along 21st Avenue will significantly exceed the typical rear setback of adjacent properties and will create an excessive loss of privacy through overlooking. In fact, Unit D of the proposed new development will stretch across the entire depth of the neighboring outdoor yard space and has a slider window directly facing the 2035 yard space. Privacy issues are also created by unit A on the streetfront, with windows giving visual access to the master bedroom on the 2nd floor at 2035 32 ST SW. Unless windows are static and unopenable, frosting is completely ineffective as a privacy measure. During mild months, these windows will be left open to cool the space, eliminating all effectiveness of New Century's response to privacy concerns.

Display #3

At left, current view from 2035 32 ST SW back yard space, facing south toward 2037. At right, the proposed new development, with Unit D extending the depth of the back yard space of 2035.



b) Shadowing

As outlined in the Building Setback from Rear Property Line (Rear Setback) Guideline of the *Low Density Residential Housing Guidelines for Established Communities* (Section 4.3.4 page 27), "The enjoyment of the neighbour's outdoor rear amenity space

should not be unduly diminished by new development. Modifications to the length of a building may be required, particularly when new developments are proposed on the north side of an avenue or east side of a street, where significant shadowing can result."

The proposed row houses will be located on the north side of 21st Avenue, the orientation from which the most significant shadowing occurs. The table attached in Display #4 (below) was created using Suncalc.org and documents the length of shadow casted by a **9.97 m** high building at annual solstice and equinox dates. The building height of the design plan put forward by New Century Design is 10.31 at its east elevation and 9.63 at its west elevation. We established the building height of 9.97 used for the shadow study by averaging the east and west heights. These shadow measurements predict how the new development will impact neighboring yard amenities at various points throughout the year. The distance from the roof peak of the new development to the northern fenceline is 7.86 metres. Therefore, any shadow length longer than 7.86 metres will cast into the adjacent property. Given that the property at 2035 32 ST SW has a width of 7.62 metres, any shadow length of **15.48** (7.86 + 7.62) metres or longer is actually shadowing the **entire** neighboring back yard space. Measurements highlighted in yellow indicate full backyard shadowing; measurements highlighted in peach indicate partial backyard shadowing. The percentage included in each table cell reflects the specific amount of neighboring yard shaded by the new development. As the table below demonstrates, the shadow cast into 2035 32 ST SW as a result of the proposed new development at 2037 will be significant and detrimental to the enjoyment of the outdoor space of the neighboring property.

Display #4

Shadow lengths cast into neighboring property are significant and detrimental to enjoyment of adjacent outdoor space

	Northward Shadow Length Cast per Time of Day (in Metres)			
Season	10am	12pm	2pm	4pm
Spring Equinox (March 21)	25.84 (100%)	14.25 (84%)	12.13 (56%)	15.99 (100%)
Summer Solstice (June 21)	11.85 (52%)	6.60 (0%)	5.28 (0%)	8.0 (2%)
Fall Equinox (Sept 21)	23.50 (100%)	13.74 (77%)	12.33 (59%)	17.13 (100%)
Winter Equinox (Dec 21)	69.10 (100%)	36.72 (100%)	42.28 (100%)	78.58 (100%)

= Partial shading of neighboring yard

= Full shading of neighboring yard

(%)

= The percentage of neighboring back yard shaded by new development

3) Non-compliance with Section 5, Division 11 of Land Use Bylaw 1P2007

As an adjacent property owner, I oppose the relaxations granted to this development permit as they are vastly non-compliant with the above-referenced City of Calgary Bylaw. Even with this property's recent rezoning approval for RCG development, this design submission required nine relaxations to rules outlined in the above bylaw in order to find space on this lot for the proposed development. This is a clear indication that the design is inappropriate for the space. In the Conditions of Approval for the subject Development Permit Application, relaxations were granted relating to:

- Driveway length and square footage
- Setbacks from front property line
- Setbacks from north property line
- Amenity areas on the north side
- Distance between main structure and accessory buildings

I oppose all relaxations allowed for this design and reiterate that the design is non-compliant with the lot size.

For the above reasons, the current development permit should be revoked. This Development Permit and the preceding rezoning that was granted in December 2020 have faced significant opposition from neighboring property owners, as attested by the following attached documents:

- Community Opposition Letter to Development Permit
- Letter of Opposition to Rezoning Amendment
- Public Hearing presentations to City Council

The Developer has other options to develop this corner lot that would alleviate concerns from neighboring property owners. Densification could still be achieved while aligning with the local context and streetscape, alleviating massing issues (both privacy and shadowing) and complying with all relevant low density residential development bylaw requirements.

We look forward to collaborating toward a solution that best meets the interests of both individual stakeholders and our community.

Regards,

Michelle Cariou Property Owner 2035 32 ST SW Calgary, AB T3E 2R2

Appendix

List of previous submissions regarding File #DP2021-1828

Copy of Community Letter of Opposition to Development Permit Application	Page 7
Appelant's Letter of Opposition to Rezoning Amendment	Page 10
Copy of Public Hearing Presentation opposing Rezoning, December 14 th , 2020	Page 13

98

April 29, 2021

Mr. Brendyn Seymour Planner II, Centre West Area Community Planning Planning & Development The City of Calgary 5th Floor Municipal Building, 800 Macleod Trail SE P.O. Box 2100 Station M, Calgary, Alberta T2P 2M5



Dear Mr. Seymour,

Re: DP2021 – 1828 / FILE #LOC2020-0126 Building Permit Application / DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD Letter of Opposition

Pursuant to recent correspondence from our various members regarding the above noted matter, please let this letter serve as formal opposition notice from the Killarney Residents' Coalition for the above noted Permit Application.

Rejection of Application:

Numerous opposition letters from individual Killarney residents were submitted to the City of Calgary during the rezoning application which consistently outlined strong opposition to the original rezoning application. Rejection criteria is generally based on the following principles:

Updated zoning requirements for the neighborhood were established in consultation with our community. The re-zoning application did not conform or adhere to the parameters established by both the community and the city. Further, there was no engagement by the developer to stakeholder residents that surround the proposed development. Finally, the development does not comply with several city mandates, including the authorized "Main Streets Initiative".

The permit submission and development design is unresponsive to the local context. It,puts forward a development size and scope which is well outside of the ARP and Infill guidelines including but not limited to:

- The elevations for the proposed development are not in keeping with established design criteria.
- Both the style and finishes appear to be of poor quality and not consistent with those currently exhibited in the community.
- The addition of independently accessed suites on such a small parcel of development are not consistent with any other development within the immediate area.
- The massing of a building is a key factor for the successful integration of a new infill development into its setting. The majority of buildings within the City's established communities require a reduced building mass, including height, in order to respect the adjacent homes and streetscape. Homes built to the maximum Bylaw standards can be incompatible and visually disruptive to the street. In this application, height, depth and width

measurements make the project incompatible with its context and will result in a substantial loss of privacy and sunlight to the adjacent property.

- A shadow study for the winter solstice (December 21), the summer solstice (June 21) and the spring equinox (March 21) needs to be provided by the applicant to fully assess the impact the proposed structure will have on the northerly neighbouring property.
- Proposed development will negatively affect the use and enjoyment of the adjacent property to the north, in particular its imposing building bulk, mass, height and the resulting impacts on neighbour's use of their outdoor amenity areas.
- The majority of the vegetation will be destroyed (2 mature spruce tree and one crab apple tree). The root system of the mature birch tree planned to be conserved will be irreversibly damaged during the excavation work with little hope to salvage the tree.

The Director of Development and President for our community failed to represent the interests of the residents during the rezoning application as did the Ward 7 city counsellor. In fact, other city counsellors were opposed to the re-zoning application in a ward they didn't even represent given the dereliction of duties from those claiming to represent the community.

While we can empathize with the city's objective of inner-city densification, the redevelopment from a single house to 8 units within a quiet residential side street is not reasonable or acceptable. At this time, there are no developments above 2 units on the entire R2 zoned section of 32nd Street.

Numerous residents in the area recently purchased dwellings based on the R-2 designation which restricts the densification to reasonable, sustainable levels. Unlike the narrative that is propagated by those with special interests, this proposed development not only reduces property values but penalizes those who originally purchased attached houses in this area specifically for limitations on density (and therefore, congestion, crime and other factors).

The City of Calgary has paused and is reviewing the rollout of The Guidebook for Great Communities due to an avalanche of resident opposition to development initiatives exactly like this one we are opposing. In keeping with the City of Calgary's commitment to review the Guidebook, and its impact on communities like Killarney, this Development Plan must be rejected.

Accordingly, we are requesting that the redevelopment permit in its current form be rejected in its entirety without substantial changes that reflect the above noted community concerns.

If this permit reaches the advertising stage without our consultation, we will take the following steps:

- Appeal the permit application; and
- Direct our legal counsel to secure an injunction to prevent further development until the concerns of the residents are appropriately represented and addressed in an independent tribunal.

About the Killarney Resident's Coalition:

We are a non-profit, community-based association that was formed to represent the best interests of residents based in the Calgary community of inner-Killarney. Our goal is to preserve the safety and aesthetics of our environment for our established community members and those who choose Killarney for the quiet, friendly and safe community.

We recognize that you have a challenging task when trying to meet the objectives for growth and densification on this great city. We are not opposed to development when it is achieved through collaboration that is independent of special interests.

We look forward to resolving this issue and collaborating toward a solution that best meets the interests of both individual stakeholders and our community.

Regards,

Michelle Cariou	Grant Kosowan
President	Community Project Volunteer
Killarney Residents' Coalition	Killarney Residents' Coalition

c.c. Darren Lockhart, Manager, Development Approvals Jyoti Gondek, Mayoral Candidate / Ward 3 Jeremy Farkas, Mayoral Candidate / Ward 11 Nahed Nenshi, City Mayor

> **Michelle Cariou** Grant Kosowan Joey Stewart Monika Galecki Paul Galecki Lindsey McPherson Stuart McPherson Sherry Garrido Alfredo Garrido Joshua Garrido Daniel Goulet Sue Crawford Karin King James Gilfillan Kirill Bryuzgin Ksenia Khatova Claire Hearn Carla Dexter Victoria Rivera David Rivera Roger Keen Susanne Bechtold Annalise Hearn

102

Michelle Cariou 2035 32 ST SW Calgary, AB T3E 2R2

December 4, 2020

Office of the City Clerk The City of Calgary 700 MacLeod Trail SE PO Box 2100 Postal Station "M" Calgary AB T2P 2M5

Dear Office of the City Clerk,

I, **Michelle Cariou**, am a resident of the neighborhood of Killarney, and I align with other concerned residents in opposition to the application for a Land Use Re-zoning Amendment at 2037 32 ST SW from R-C2 to R-CG (reference #LOC2020-0126).

Our primary concerns about this rezoning application are as follows:

Opposition #1

No Applicant-Led Engagement with the community was undertaken leading up to Application Submission:

Despite the availability of toolkits and city resources aimed at assisting applicants to better engage with residents during the covid pandemic, there was no effort made by this applicant to engage in community outreach.

This applicant did no more than tick the basic boxes in terms of City-mandated minimum activity requirements for application submission. As residents of Killarney, it is clear to us that community engagement from this applicant has been absent and that they demonstrate no insight into what this community wants – and more importantly - where we want it.

Opposition #2

As Killarney residents, we oppose exceptions brought forward to our Area Development Plan (ARP) while it is under review. Until the ARP review process is complete, we consider our ARP to be valid and endorsed by residents through the consultation process.

Killarney has an Area Development Plan (ARP), created in 1986 and vastly amended in 2017. That ARP is a statutory plan, and pursuant to Section 687 of the Municipal Government Act, any decisions regarding rezoning must comply with this statutory plan.

Opposition #3

As per the goals established during the City Council authorized *Main Streets Initiative*, Application Reference # LOC2020-0126 does not align with our shared vision (between City and residents) of how we intend to increase density, services and opportunity in our community.

The Main Streets Program focuses density intensification in communities like Killarney **AROUND THE CORRIDORS** – the main streets in our neighborhoods. As a direct result of this initiative, rezoning amendments in support of densification were vastly extended and approved by bylaw in 2017 in the concentrated sectors of Killarney off 17th Avenue and 37th Street.

2037 32nd Street SW, in the heart of Conservation/Infill in this community, was retained for R-C2 development. As such, it is not on the table as a location for rezoning consideration. The land targeted by this developer was recently reviewed as part of the Main Streets Initiative and was deemed appropriate for its current R-C2 zoning designation.

Opposition #4

This Section of our Community has already - without exception – consistently redeveloped 32nd Street SW (south of 19th Street) in accordance with existing R-C2 zoning requirements. *This location at 2037 32 ST SW is completely surrounded by R-C2 development – 2 detached units behind, 2 attached units in front, 2 attached units beside (all falling within R-C2 zoning requirements).

A zoning exception on this particular land would create a glaring, unnecessary and random fault line in the flow, esthetic and context of the neighborhood.

Opposition #5

One-off, exception-based zoning redesignation approvals create investment uncertainty for existing residents, thus decreasing the appeal of Killarney as a community of choice. We, **as resident stakeholders**, deserve the ability to count on existing zoning guidelines – ones that we have recently helped to establish – in order to make sound investment decisions for our Killarney properties.

Opposition #6

The continued approval of one-off exception-based zoning requests in mature Calgary neighborhoods – particularly during the Covid-19 Pandemic - erodes community confidence that elected City Officials value the trust relationship that needs to exist between neighborhood and municipal administration.

We have worked hard alongside City Planners to establish updated zoning maps for our community. Despite their existence, the City has facilitated this unnecessary and unwelcome rezoning conversation and imposed it on Killarney residents during our very difficult current covid reality.

This submission states, as highlighted in Opposition #1, that "No Applicant-led engagement has been undertaken and "No City-led engagement was undertaken" as part of this application process leading up to the Planning Commission decision on November 5th, 2020.

104

This left the onus of information sharing entirely on the shoulders of residents. We were forced to door knock and deliver flyers at a time when circulating in the community is legitimately perceived as showing disregard for the public health restrictions imposed during this Provincial State of Emergency. This rezoning process pushed through during covid, and our resulting need to respond and mobilize as a community, causes us to question the value City officials place on their community partnerships. Vote No to this application to demonstrate that this community relationship matters to you.

We intend to have representation at the Public Hearing Meeting on December 14th to reconfirm these concerns in front of Council. We implore the Council to consider these points brought forward, and to vote against this exception that clearly has no welcome place in our community.

Regards,

Michelle Cariou

Presentation to Council, December 14th 2021 Public Hearing

Opposition to File #LOC2020-0126

Good afternoon your worship and Council members,

My name is Michelle Cariou and I own the lot on the sunny north side adjacent to the one put forward for this rezoning amendment.

Killarney has been home to me for many years. I started renting here in 1995 and then was able to purchase my 32nd street property in 2012. I love Killarney and I am invested in how this community evolves, revitalizes and provides opportunity for all who live here.

I oppose this application for a zoning redesignation and I represent the views of many Killarney residents who have reached out to me to express their concern about and opposition to exception-based rezoning approvals that happen far too often in this neighborhood.

Firstly, I oppose this application because it doesn't meet Main Streets Program goals.

The Main Streets Program generated consultation between community and City that resulted in an agreement. We all agreed that increased densification in Killarney would happen off our main corridors of 17th Avenue and 37th Streets. We significantly amended our zoning maps to designate specific sectors of Killarney where densification should happen.

2017 was a significant year for Killarney at which point very planned and organized updates to zoning bylaws took effect in our neighborhood.

But 2017 was NOT a year when developers were invited to declare open season on random densification throughout Conservation/Infill in this community.

Too often we have developers like the applicant today who justify their project by saying "but Killarney welcomes densification. It's happening everywhere in your community." One comment emailed to me by the applicant was "we know change is hard."

Be it noted that random exception-based rezoning amendments are not what Killarney residents agreed to. We believe in increased density in our community when implemented according to the goals and zoning amendments established through Main Streets and through the thoughtful work that continues to take place as part of the Westbrook Communities Local Area Planning project.

Why would you undermine the strategic work that continues to happen on building out densification plans for inner city communities by approving random exceptions that aren't measured against the development goals we continue to define?

Secondly, I oppose this application due to the complete absence of applicant-led community engagement that took place leading up to application submission. If the applicant had shown any commitment to understanding this community, they would have heard loud and clear, before the Planning Commission meeting on November 5th, that we place high importance on the City's commitment to allow us to protect the inner sector of this community - the Conservation/Infill sector – that retains original aspects of this mature neighborhood. R-C2 zoning is the key to protecting that original community context. This applicant failed to meet

even minimum expectations regarding application led engagement, another reason to reject the application.

Thirdly I oppose this application due to the fact that in this contiguous section of Conservation/Infill, RC2 zoned land in Killarney, all of the extensive redevelopment that has already taken place has been, without exception, in compliance with existing R-C2 zoning regulations. This particular property, recently purchased by the landowner represented by this applicant, is completely surrounded by R-C2 redeveloped, 2 unit per lot dwellings; an attached RC2 in front, an attached RC2 beside, and 2 detached RC2's across the alley.

Because I haven't provided a photo, let me paint you a picture. When I walk this street from 19th Avenue south to 26th Avenue, there are only 24 original bungalows remaining. Every other lot within those 4 long blocks has R-C2 developed homes already established. We have 140 infills within these 4 blocks of Conservation/Infill and the flow of infill development is only interrupted by the original bungalows that remain.

To approve this exception would create and glaring faultline in the flow, esthetic and context of this sector of Conservation/Infill in Killarney.

In the midst of a pandemic, when we've all been asked to reduce our public footprint, Killarney residents have been forced to circulate in our community to once again build a case for Council as to why zoning agreement matter to us.

Vote No to this application to demonstrate that the resident voice in fact matters to you.





Appeal Board rec'd: January 12, 2022 Submitted by: Development Authority

Subdivision & Development Appeal Board

SDAB2021-0083 / DP2021-1828 Appeal of: New: Rowhouse Building (1 building), Secondary Suite (1 building, 4 units), Accessory Residential Building (garage) Discretionary

The City of Calgary – SDAB2021-0083 – DP2021-1828



DP2021-1828

- Rowhouse Building with 4
 units and 4 Secondary Suites
- Accessory Residential Building (garage) with 4 parking spaces

The City of Calgary – SDAB2021-0083 – DP2021-1828 SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission


The City of Calgary – SDAB2021-0083 – DP2021-1828 SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission



Context





Surrounding Land Use

The City of Calgary – SDAB2021-0083 – DP2021-1828 SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission



The City of Calgary – SDAB2021-0083 – DP2021-1828 SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission



Application Review

Key Milestones	 Application received 	2021 March 19
-	Circulation	2021 March 30 – April 28
	DTR 1 issued	2021 April 28
	 Amended plans received 	2021 August 25
	Circulation	2021 August 25 – September 08
	 Notice Posting 	2021 September 29 – October 05
	 Decision rendered 	2021 October 20
	 Decision advertised 	2021 October 28

Circulation 1. **Enmax** – responded indicating no objections.

- 2. Ward Councillor responded indicating no objections.
- 3. Building Regulations provided advisory comments regarding the Building Code.
- 4. Killarney/Glengarry Community Association no response was received.

Applicable Legislation: Municipal Development Plan



Approximate Location of Subject Site

Map 1: Urban Structure identifies as Developed Residential -Inner City area (MDP page 160)

Section 2.2.5 (MDP pg. 37): Strong Residential Neighbourhoods

- a. Encourage growth and change in low-density neighbourhoods through development and redevelopment that is similar in scale and built form and increases the mix of housing types such as accessory suites, semi-detached, townhouses, cottage housing, rowhousing and other ground-oriented housing.
- Support development and redevelopment that provides a broader range of housing choice in local communities to help stabilize population declines and support the demographic needs of communities.
- c. Encourage higher residential densities in areas of the community that are more extensively served by existing infrastructure, public facilities and transit, appropriate to the specific conditions and character of the neighbourhood.

Applicable Legislation: Municipal Development Plan

Section 3.5.1.a (MDP page 102):

a. Recognize the predominantly low density residential nature of Developed Residential Areas and support retention of housing stock, or moderate intensification in a form and nature that respects the scale and character of the neighbourhood. Local commercial development within residential areas, that is of a scale and intensity that supports residents' commercial needs is supported.

Section 3.5.2.b (MDP page 103):

 A range of intensification strategies should be employed to modestly intensify the Inner City Area, from parcel-by-parcel intensification to larger more comprehensive approaches at the block level or larger area. 117

Applicable Legislation: Killarney-Glengarry Area Redevelopment Plan



Section 2 (ARP page 9):

This policy offers the opportunity for higher density development while requiring a built form more compatible with the existing low density development than apartment development. In addition, by providing individual at-grade access to a substantial portion of the units and encouraging private open space, townhouse development can also provide suitable housing for families with children.

Low Density Townhousing

The City of Calgary – SDAB2021-0083 – DP2021-1828 SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission

Applicable Legi	islation: Killarnev	v-Glengarry Area	Redevelopment Plan

Section 2.1.4.2 (ARP pages 10 & 11)

To demonstrate compatibility of new development with surrounding developing, the following development guidelines are encouraged:

- a) front yard setback similar to surrounding properties;
- b) front yards used as landscaped space or amenity area and not for parking provision;
- c) retention of existing mature vegetation wherever possible;
- d) front building entry;
- e) berming or raised planting beds in combination with trees, shrubs and fences to screen surface parking and private amenity areas;
- f) compatible roofline, orientation and slope;
- g) building finishing materials, colour, design detail, and facade articulation and rooflines respecting existing building character;
- h) provision of 1.25 resident parking spaces and .15 visitor parking spaces per dwelling unit;
- i) as high a proportion of units with 2 or more bedrooms as possible.

119

Existing Land Use Map

									_							
1923		1923	1920	1919		1924	f5:0	_	1924	11	ائے ا		1922	1923		
1927	1926	1927	1928	1923		1928	h26 1927		1928	1	1927		1928	1927		
	1932	1931	1932	1931		1932 N	-C1 1931		1932	1	1931		1932			
1935	1936	1935	1936	1935		1936	1935		1936	1	1935		1936	1939		
3602	1940	3504	3460	1939		1940	1939		3214	1 [3204		1940			
							sw									
			2002	691	1				0	Г			-			
2003	2004	2003	2004	3439 3437 3437		2004	8 E 2003		3203		2001		3121	2003		
2005 2007	2008	2007	2006	2005		2008 D	-CG 2005 2007		2004 2006	11	2005		2006	2007		S-R
2009	2012	2011	2010	2009		2010	2009		2008	1 -	2009		2010	2011		0-1
2011	2072	2011	2012 2014	2013		2012 2014			2010		2011		2010	2011		
2015	2016	2015		2015		2014	2013		2012	۱ŀ	2013 2015		2014	2015		
2019	2020	2017	2018	2017		2018	2017		2016	11	2017		2016	2019		
	2022	2019 2021	2022	2021		2020	2019 2021		2018 2020	$\left \right $	2021		2018			
2023	2024	2023	2026A	2023		2024	2021		2020		2021		2022	2023	SW	
2027	2026 2028	2027	2026B	2027		2026 2028			2024		2025		2026	2025	STS	
2029	2028		2028						2026	+	2027 2029	SW	2028	2027	S	
	2032	2031	2032	2031	SW	2032			2030	11	2031	ST	2030	2031 2033	.30	
2033 2035	2034	2035	2032	2035 2037	ST	2034 2036	3		2032 2034	-	2033 2035	31 S	2032 2034	2035A 2035B		
.v.	2038	2035	ō ————————————————————————————————————	2037	33 S	2030			2034	1 -		é	2034			
35	3 2040	2039	2040	9 e 0	ŝ	2042	R-CG		2040	1 E	e an		6	2039		
	R-CG				1			2		ÌГГ	2201		2202			
2203	2204		2204	2201		3311	2201	MS -	2204 E	1 E	2203		2204	2201		
2207	2208		2208	2205		2206 2208	2205	ST	2206	1	2207		2208	2205		2206
			2212	2207		2200	2207 2209	32	2208	1 -				2207		M-C1
2211	2212		2214	2211A		2212	2211		2212	1 L	2211		2212	2211		2214
2215 2217	2216		2216	2211B		2214 2216	2213 2215		2214 2216		2215		2214 2216	2215		2214
2219	2220		2220	2215		2218	2217		2218		2217		2218			
	2220	2223		2217		2220	2219		2220	1 -	2221		2220	2217 2219		2218
2223	2224	S-CI	2224 2224A			2222	2221		2222	+	2223		2222 2224	2219		
2227	2228			2221 2223		2226	2225		2226	1 L	2225		2226	2223		
2007	2230		2228	2225		2228	2227		2228		2227 2229		2228	2225		U –
2231	2232		2232	2227		2232	2229		2230	i H	2229		2230	2229		M-CG d111
2235	2236		2236	2229			2233		2234		2233		2234	2231		2232 2 7
	2238		~ ~	2231		2236 2240 S	2235 2 2239		2236	$\left \right $	2235 \$ 2239		2236 2240 S	2235 2237		M-CG ମ୍ଲ
2239	2240		2240 Z14E	3408		2240 G	<u>କୁ 2239</u>		2238		õ 2239		2240 8	2239	Ļ	M-CG C
							23 AV SW								F	
	2404	2403	2404	2401		2402	2401		2404	1	5		2402	2401		Z 3-
2403	2406			2403		2404	2403				3201		2404	2403	ΛĽC	
2407	2408 2410	2405 2407	2406 2408	2405 2407		2406 2408	2405 2407		2406 2408		2407 2409		2406 2408	2405		
2411	2412	2411	2410	2409		2410	2409		2410	1 -	2403		2400	2411		
		2911	2412	2411		2412	2411		2412		2412		2712	2411		M ¹ d

R-CG District

- Allows a range of low-density residential building types (single detached, semidetached, duplex dwellings, rowhouses and secondary suites)
- Maximum height of 11 metres
- Maximum density of 75 units per hectare (4 dwelling units on subject site)

120



SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission



The City of Calgary – SDAB2021-0083 – DP2021-1828



SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission

Relaxations

537 Building Setback(2) On a corner parcel, the min building setback from a front property line may be reduced to: (a) the contextual front setback at the side property linecorner building (a)	
Satback(2) On a corner parcel, the min building setback from a front property line may be reduced to: (a) the contextual front setback at the side property linecorner building a	Provided
from Front shared with another parcel to a max of 6.0m; and Property (b) decreases in equal proportion with the increase in Line the distance from the shared side property line, to a min of 3.0m. 24 '25''	icate a portion of the second floor is located in the ilding setback chamfer from the front property line.

Full sized drawings can be found on pages 89 - 92 of the board report. The full Bylaw Check can be found on pages 42 - 57 of the board report.

The City of Calgary – SDAB2021-0083 – DP2021-1828

SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission

Relaxations

Bylaw Discrepancies

Regulation	Standard	Provided			
535 Building Depth	(2) For a Rowhouse Building located on a corner parcel there is no max building depth where the building setback from the side property line shared with another parcel is a min of 3.0m for any portion of the Rowhouse Building located between the rear property line and 50.0% parcel depth or the building depth of the main residential building on the adjoining parcel, whichever is closer to the rear property line.	Plans indicate a portion of the second floor is located in the 3.0m stepback from the North property line.			
Full sized drawings can be found on pages 89 - 92 of the board report. The full Bylaw Check can be found on pages 42 - 57 of the board report.					
The City of Calgary – SDAB2021-0083 – DP2021-1828 January 20, 202					

SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission

Relaxations

Bylaw Discrepand	Bylaw Discrepancies						
Regulation	Standard	Provided					
542 Outdoor Private Amenity Space	For a Contextual Semi-detached Dwelling, Duplex Dwelling, Rowhouse Building, Semi-detached Dwelling and a Single Detached Dwelling, each unit must have direct access to private amenity space that: (b) has a minimum total area of 20.0m ² ; and (d) 60.0% of the area of the parcels subject to a single development permit for a development with a density of 60 units per hectare or greater.	Plans indicate the following amenity areas: Unit A – $18.92m^2$ (- $1.08m^2$); Unit B – $18.95m^2$ (- $1.05m^2$); Unit C – $18.48m^2$ (- $1.52m^2$); and Unit D – $17.79m^2$ (- $2.21m^2$). Relaxation supported: The amenity space was reduced due to the window wells not counting towards the required area.					

Full sized drawings can be found on pages 89 - 92 of the board report. The full Bylaw Check can be found on pages 42 - 57 of the board report.

The City of Calgary – SDAB2021-0083 – DP2021-1828

Full sized drawings can be found on pages 89 - 92 of the board report. The full Bylaw Check can be found on pages 42 - 57 of the board report.

Bylaw Discrepancies

Relaxations

Regulat	ion	Standard	Provided
	(2) A drivew (a) be a mir travel for ve	vay connecting a street to a private garage must: n of 6.0m in length along the intended direction of whicles measured from: of the public sidewalk to the door of the private	Plans indicate a driveway length of 3.91m (-2.09m) from the back of the public sidewalk. Relaxation supported: The placement of the garage is solely due to the Enmax anchors that are situated in the lane. The applicant has spent considerable funds to relocate the anchors to make the lot feasible for development, either R-C2 or R-CG. There is no alternative due to the Enmax anchors.
341 Driveways	. ,	vay connecting a street to a private garage must: a rectangular area measuring 6.0m in length and th.	Plans do not indicate a driveway that contains a rectangular measuring 6.0m in length and 3.0m in width. As above.
	 (6) In the developed area a driveway accessing a street must not be constructed, altered or replaced except where: (a) located on a laneless parcel; (b) located on a laned parcel and 50 % or more parcels on same block face have an existing driveway accessing a street; or (c) legally existing driveway not being relocated or widened. 		

Full sized drawings can be found on pages 89 - 92 of the board report. The full Bylaw Check can be found on pages 42 - 57 of the board report.

Relaxations

Permitted Contextual Use Rules						
Regulation	Standard	Provided				
347.3 Permitted Use Rowhouse Building	 (1) To be a permitted use a Rowhouse Building: (f) must not have windows on an exposed side façade of a unit that are located beyond the rear façade of a contextually adjacent building on an adjoining parcel unless: (ii) the glass in the window is entirely obscured; (iii) there is a minimum distance of 1.5m between the finished floor and the bottom of the window sill; or (iv) the façade that contains the window is setback a minimum of 4.2m from the side property line 	Plans indicate an unobscured second storey window located beyond the rear façade of the main residential building of the adjoining North parcel.				

Proposed Prior to Release (PTR) condition for consideration:

Add the following as **new** Planning PTR #3:

"3. Amend the plans by adding a note on the plan stating the second floor window of Unit D1 on the north elevation must be obscured glass."

And renumber accordingly.



Page 1 of 9 Item #

Planning & Development Report to Calgary Planning Commission 2020 November 05

ISC: UNRESTRICTED CPC2020-1207

Policy Amendment and Land Use Amendment in Killarney/Glengarry (Ward 8) at 2037 – 32 Street SW, LOC2020-0126

129

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This application was submitted on 2020 August 18 by New Century Design on behalf of the landowner, John Manuel Bettencourt. This application proposes to redesignate the site from Residential – Contextual One / Two Dwelling (R-C2) District to Residential – Contextual Grade-Oriented (R-CG) District to allow for:

- rowhouses in addition to the building types already allowed (e.g. single detached, semi detached, duplex homes and secondary suites);
- a maximum building height of 11 metres, about 2 to 3 storeys (an increase from the current maximum of 10 metres);
- a maximum of 4 dwelling units (an increase from the current maximum of 2 dwelling units); and
- the uses listed in the R-CG District.

The proposal conforms to the relevant policies of the <u>Municipal Development Plan (MDP</u>). To accommodate this proposal, a minor amendment to the <u>Killarney/Glengarry Area</u> <u>Redevelopment Plan (ARP)</u> is required.

No development permit application has been submitted at this time.

ISC: UNRESTRICTED CPC2020-1207

Policy Amendment and Land Use Amendment in Killarney/Glengarry (Ward 8) at 2037 - 32 Street SW, LOC2020-0126

130

ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDATION:

That Calgary Planning Commission recommend that Council hold a Public Hearing; and

- 1. **ADOPT**, by bylaw, the proposed amendment to the Killarney/Glengarry Area Redevelopment Plan (Attachment 2); and
- 2. Give three readings to the proposed bylaw.
- ADOPT, by bylaw, the proposed land use redesignation of 0.06 hectares ± (0.15 acres ±) located at 2037 32 Street SW (Plan 1773FR, Block 1, Lot 1) from Residential Contextual One / Two Dwelling District (R-C2) District to Residential Grade Oriented Infill (R-CG) District; and
- 4. Give three readings to the proposed bylaw.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE CALGARY PLANNING COMMISSION, 2020 NOVEMBER 05:

That Council hold a Public Hearing; and

- 1. Adopt, by bylaw, the proposed amendment to the Killarney/Glengarry Area Redevelopment Plan (Attachment 2); and
- 2. Give three readings to the Proposed Bylaw 56P2020.
- Adopt, by bylaw, the proposed land use redesignation of 0.06 hectares ± (0.15 acres ±) located at 2037 32 Street SW (Plan 1773FR, Block 1, Lot 1) from Residential Contextual One / Two Dwelling District (R-C2) District to Residential Grade Oriented Infill (R-CG) District; and
- 4. Give three readings to the **Proposed Bylaw 163D2020.**

PREVIOUS COUNCIL DIRECTION / POLICY

None.

BACKGROUND

This land use application was submitted by New Century Design on 2020 August 18. A development permit has not been submitted; however, the applicant intends to build a future four-unit rowhouse development with four secondary suites, as described in the Applicant Submission (Attachment 1).

ISC: UNRESTRICTED CPC2020-1207

Policy Amendment and Land Use Amendment in Killarney/Glengarry (Ward 8) at 2037 - 32 Street SW, LOC2020-0126

Location Maps





ISC: UNRESTRICTED CPC2020-1207

Policy Amendment and Land Use Amendment in Killarney/Glengarry (Ward 8) at 2037 - 32 Street SW, LOC2020-0126



Site Context

The subject site is located north of 21 Avenue SW and west of 32 Street SW in the community of Killarney/Glengarry. The site is currently developed with a single detached dwelling and detached garage that was built in the 1950's. Adjacent to the site in all directions are single detached dwellings regulated by the R-C2 District. The site benefits from lane access. The site is relatively flat and approximately 0.05 hectares (0.12 acres) in size, with dimensions of approximately 15 metres by 36 metres.

As identified in Figure 1, the community of Killarney/Glengarry reached its peak population in 2019.

Killarney/Glengarry	
Peak Population Year	2019
Peak Population	7,685
2019 Current Population	7,685
Difference in Population (Number)	0
Difference in Population (Percent)	0%

Source: The City of Calgary 2019 Civic Census

ISC: UNRESTRICTED CPC2020-1207

Policy Amendment and Land Use Amendment in Killarney/Glengarry (Ward 8) at 2037 - 32 Street SW, LOC2020-0126

133

Additional demographic and socio-economic information may be obtained online through the <u>Killarney/Glengarry</u> community profile.

INVESTIGATION: ALTERNATIVES AND ANALYSIS

This application proposes to redesignate the site from Residential – Contextual One / Two Dwelling (R-C2) District to Residential – Grade Oriented Infill (R-CG) District to facilitate a future rowhouse development. While the parcels immediately adjacent are R-C2, there are several R-CG lots to the north, within the same street block.

This application meets the objectives of the applicable policies as discussed in the Strategic Alignment section of this report.

Land Use

The existing R-C2 District accommodates residential buildings in the form of single-detached, semi-detached and duplex dwellings as well as secondary suite uses in applicable contexts. A maximum of two dwelling units per parcel and a maximum building height of 10 metres is allowed.

The proposed R-CG District is also a contextual low-density residential district that would allow for a maximum of four dwelling units with four secondary suites and a maximum contextual building height that ranges from seven to 11 metres. The applicant is pursuing this change so as to accommodate the future construction of a rowhouse development.

The proposed district is appropriate for this site, as the resulting building scale and forms are suitable for the surrounding low-density residential areas.

Development and Site Design

The rules of the proposed R-CG District will provide guidance for future site development including uses, building massing, height, landscaping and parking.

Transportation

Pedestrian and vehicular access to the site is available from 32 Street SW or 21 Avenue SW as well as direct access to the rear lane. The site is located approximately 600 metres (an approximately 10-minute walk) from Westbrook LRT Station. The site is approximately 370 to 470 metres away from bus stops, providing service throughout South Calgary, Mount Royal and Glendale, as well as into the Downtown Core and other LRT stations. There are no on-street parking restrictions.

ISC: UNRESTRICTED CPC2020-1207

Policy Amendment and Land Use Amendment in Killarney/Glengarry (Ward 8) at 2037 - 32 Street SW, LOC2020-0126

134

Environmental Site Considerations

An Environmental Site Assessment was not required as part of this application.

Utilities and Servicing

Water, sanitary sewer and storm sewer mains are available to service the subject site.

Climate Resilience

The applicant has not identified any climate resilience measures as part of this application. Further opportunities to align future development on this site with applicable climate resilience strategies may be explored and encouraged at the development permit stage.

Stakeholder Engagement, Research and Communication

In keeping with Administration's practices, this application was circulated to stakeholders and notice posted on-site. Notification letters were sent to adjacent landowners and the application was advertised online.

To date, 27 letters of opposition have been received by citizens and their reasons are summarized as follows:

- Not aligned with the Killarney/Glengarry ARP;
- Increased density will have negative impact on community character;
- Increased density will create more traffic and on-street parking congestion; and
- Taller buildings will result in adjacent neighbors' loss of privacy.

The Killarney-Glengarry Community Association (CA) is neither in support or opposition of this application. The CA has requested that if this application is approved, that they be involved in applicant-led public outreach as part of the development permit process to address their concerns regarding privacy for neighbors across the lane, overall parking concerns and ensuring units are ground-oriented. The Community Association's letter is provided under Attachment 3.

Administration considered all comments as part of the review process and determined the following:

- The <u>Killarney/Glengarry ARP</u> typology policies, especially those of the Conservation/Infill typology do not align with current *Municipal Development Plan* intent or policies. In such circumstances, the MDP policies prevail;
- While the density of this parcel would increase from a maximum of two dwelling units to a maximum of four dwelling units, the proposed R-CG District is a low-density residential district, just as the R-C2 District is, which will ensure that the building form and scale is contextually sensitive to the surrounding parcels;

SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission

ISC: UNRESTRICTED CPC2020-1207

Policy Amendment and Land Use Amendment in Killarney/Glengarry (Ward 8) at 2037 - 32 Street SW, LOC2020-0126

135

- Issues regarding traffic generation and parking requirements will be addressed to the satisfaction of the Development Authority at the development permit stage, and;
- The proposed R-CG District only allows for a maximum building height of 11 metres, which is a slight increase from the current 10 metres allowed by R-C2.

No Applicant-led engagement has been undertaken as part of this application. The applicant has informed the Killarney-Glengarry Community Association of their intent to undertake public outreach in the future as part of the development permit process. They've indicated that this outreach will involve the CA representatives and community residents. The applicant outreach summary is available under Attachment 4.

No City-led engagement was undertaken as part of this application.

Following a meeting of the Calgary Planning Commission, Commission's recommendation and the date of the Public Hearing will be advertised, posted on-site and mailed out to adjacent landowners.

Strategic Alignment

South Saskatchewan Regional Plan (2014)

The recommendation by Administration in this report has considered and is aligned with the policy directions of the <u>South Saskatchewan Regional Plan</u> which directs population growth in the region to Cities and Towns and promotes the efficient use of land.

Interim Growth Plan (2018)

The recommendation aligns with the policy direction of the Calgary Metropolitan Region Board's <u>Interim Growth Plan</u>. The proposed land use amendment builds on the principles of the Interim Growth Plan by means of promoting efficient use of land, regional infrastructure, and establishing strong, sustainable communities.

Municipal Development Plan (Statutory – 2009)

This site is within the Residential – Developed – Inner City typology of the <u>Municipal</u> <u>Development Plan</u>. Policy for this area encourages modest intensification in a form and nature that respects the scale and character of the surrounding neighborhood. Buildings should also maximize front door access to the street.

The proposed redesignation aligns with this policy direction, as development would be guided by a land use district that only allow for ground-oriented housing using building forms, scale and setback rules that respects the scale and character of the surrounding land uses.

ISC: UNRESTRICTED CPC2020-1207

Policy Amendment and Land Use Amendment in Killarney/Glengarry (Ward 8) at 2037 - 32 Street SW, LOC2020-0126

136

Climate Resilience Strategy (2018)

The <u>Climate Resilience Strategy</u> identifies programs and actions intended to reduce Calgary's greenhouse gas emissions and mitigate climate risks. This land use application does not include any actions that specifically meet objectives of this plan, however, opportunities to align development of this site with applicable climate resilience strategies may be explored and encouraged at subsequent development application approval stages.

Killarney / Glengarry Area Redevelopment Plan (Statutory – 1980)

The site is within the Conservation / Infill Area typology of the <u>*Killarney/Glengarry ARP*</u>. Policy for this area restricts new development to only single detached, semi-detached, duplex or other forms that would contain no more than two dwelling units.

To accommodate the proposed application, an amendment to the land use map in the <u>ARP</u> is required. The amendment will change the property's land use category from 'Conservation / Infill' to 'Low Density Townhousing' to allow for an increase in density and change in built form.

The *Killarney/Glengarry ARP* is currently under review by Administration as part of the <u>Westbrook Communities Local Growth Planning</u> initiative that includes Killarney/Glengarry and other surrounding communities. The multi-community planning process does not prohibit applications from being submitted.

While the project was originally launched in 2019 September, project and engagement timelines are being adjusted due to the COVID-19 pandemic and work being completed on the <u>Guidebook for Great Communities</u> and does not currently have an anticipated date for completion.

Social, Environmental, Economic (External)

The proposed land use district allows for a wider range of residential uses which may better accommodate the housing needs of different age groups, lifestyles and demographics.

Financial Capacity

Current and Future Operating Budget

There are no known impacts to the current and future operating budgets at this time.

Current and Future Capital Budget

The proposed amendment does not trigger capital infrastructure investment and therefore there are no growth management concerns at this time.

ISC: UNRESTRICTED CPC2020-1207

Policy Amendment and Land Use Amendment in Killarney/Glengarry (Ward 8) at 2037 - 32 Street SW, LOC2020-0126

137

Risk Assessment

There are no significant risks associated with this proposal.

REASON(S) FOR RECOMMENDATION(S):

The proposed district is in keeping with the applicable polices of the *Municipal Development Plan* by supporting a variety of housing types that respect the low density residential character of the area.

ATTACHMENT(S)

- 1. Applicant Submission
- 2. Proposed Bylaw 56P2020
- 3. Community Association Letter
- 4. Applicant Outreach Summary
- 5. Proposed Bylaw 163D2020

Applicant Submission

Clay Israelson New Century Design

August 18, 2020

2037 32 ST SW is currently a single-family dwelling built in the late 1940's. The lot is located one block two block south of 17 Ave S on the NE corner of 32 St W & 21 Ave S. The lot is rectangular in shape and is approximately 15.24x36.63 with a detached garage accessed from the south on 21 Ave S. There is one large city tree in the boulevard just east of the lot.

The property is also located in close proximity to a variety of public transportation. 17th Ave S has multiple bus services and the Westbrook C-Train station is less then 600m away. Crowchild Trail is a short drive to the east and Bow Trail just to the north. Sarcee Trail is also close by to the west and will give access to the Calgary's soon to be completed ring road.

Within a short drive there are plenty of schools, at varying age ranges, and with the transit available it is a short ride to U of C, Mount Royal & AU Arts. Downtown is a short drive or transit trip which makes this a desirable lot for professionals as well as young families and continuing students.

Not only does this lot have easy access to roadways and transit, it also has some great amenities within Killarney. Killarney Aquatic and Recreation Centre is just to the east and is adjacent to a large park. Shaganappi Point Gold Course is just to the north and Westbrook Mall is adjacent to the aforementioned C-Train station. 17th Ave is one of Calgary's best known places for restaurants, shopping & services and continuing redevelopment along this corridor has led to densification in the adjacent communities.

This application is to redesignate from the existing R-C2 to a proposed R-CG, which would allow rowhouses with secondary suites. The future design proposal will be submitted concurrently with this application process, with the intention of 4 rowhouse units, each with a basement suite.

We believe that due to an abundance of nearby amenities, schools, bus and LRT transit, and open space, this parcel is in a perfect location for suited rowhousing. With three rowhouses on this lot, we will be able to provide four residences at a reasonable cost in an excellent neighbourhood as well as the opportunities for smaller and lower-cost basement suites that will allow a greater diversity of Calgarians the opportunity to buy and rent in Killarney.

For these reasons we feel that this lot has great potential for an R-CG development and we are hopeful that through community outreach and great design we can accomplish a project that is both suited to the neighborhood and is desirable for future Killarney residents.

139



CPC2020-1207 ATTACHMENT 2

BYLAW NUMBER 56P2020

BEING A BYLAW OF THE CITY OF CALGARY TO AMEND THE KILLARNEY/GLENGARRY AREA REDEVELOPMENT PLAN BYLAW 16P85 (LOC2020-0126/CPC2020-1207)

WHEREAS it is desirable to amend the Killarney/Glengarry Area Redevelopment Plan Bylaw 16P85, as amended;

AND WHEREAS Council has held a public hearing as required by Section 692 of the *Municipal Government Act*, R.S.A. 2000, c.M-26, as amended:

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CALGARY ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Killarney/Glengarry Area Redevelopment Plan attached to and forming part of Bylaw 16P85, as amended, is hereby further amended as follows:
 - (a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.06 hectares ± (0.15 acres ±) located at 2037 32 Street SW (Plan 1773FR, Block 1, Lot 1) from 'Conservation/Infill' to 'Low Density Townhousing', as generally illustrated in the sketch below:



SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission



BYLAW NUMBER 56P2020

2. This Bylaw comes into force on the date it is passed.

READ A FIRST TIME ON

READ A SECOND TIME ON

READ A THIRD TIME ON

MAYOR

SIGNED ON _____

CITY CLERK

SIGNED ON _____

Community Association Letter

141



September 26, 2020

City of Calgary PO Box 2100 Station M Calgary Alberta T2P 2M5

Attn: Tom Schlodder Ref: LOC2020-0126

Dear City Council,

I am writing on behalf of the Killarney-Glengarry Community Association (KGCA) regarding LOC2020-0126.

The applicant's submission states that "*The future design proposal will be submitted concurrently with this application*". Thus far the KGCA has not received a circulation notice for a Development Permit on this parcel. The KGCA looks forward to receiving a circulation notice for a Development Permit upon the application's submission.

The applicant's submission also states that intention is to develop four (4) rowhouse units, each with their basement suite for a total of eight (8) dwelling units. The current Killarney-Glengarry Area Redevelopment Plan Bylaw 16P85 (KGARP), identifies this parcel as "*Conservation/Infill*" which does not align with the proposed R-CG redesignation as the Conservation/Infill is intended for:

2.1.2 "...the form and density allowed under the existing R-1 and R-2 Land Use Bylaw districts which includes single-family detached, semidetached, duplex and converted structures containing no more than two units."

In order to ensure that the proposed redesignation aligns with the KGARP, prior to this application proceeding to Public Hearing an amendment to the KGARP is required. The amendment should include changes to Map 2 so that the lands are identified as either *"Low Density Townhousing"* or *"Medium Density Stacked Townhousing"*. This is due to the eight (8) units being proposed on the property in a rowhouse format. It should be noted that Map 2 includes similar amendments for other R-CG parcels within the community.

Should the KGARP amendment and redeisngation be approved by Council, The KGCA requests the following be addressed by the applicant at the time of Development Permit application:

Parking be addressed

The applicant's submission states that the intent is for four (4) row house units each with their own basement suite. Land Use Bylaw 1P2007 (LUB) requires a minimum of eight (8) parking stalls for this type of development, one (1) for each unit. Given the dimensions of the parcel, there does not appear to be sufficient space to accommodate the minimum LUB required parking.

www.killarneyglengarry.com

CPC2020-1207- Attach 3 ISC: UNRESTRICTED SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission

Community Association Letter

142



All vehicular access be provided from the rear lane

This will enhance safety in the area by removing the need for curb cuts along 21 Ave SW and 32 St SW, thereby reducing potential pedestrian/vehicle conflicts along the sidewalk.

The main floor of units be located at grade and not above garages

This will provide for eyes on the street towards 21 Ave SW and 32 St SW from the dwellings, remove the need for numerous curb cuts, and provide for a better urban design interface along both streets.

Screening at the rear of the unit closest to the laneway

Within R-CG developments, the main floor rear windows/door of the unit closest to the lane typically directly overlooks the backyards of the rest of the block; in this case directly north into neighbouring backyards. Screening in the form of frosting/semi-transparent glass, privacy walls, and/or landscaping should be used to reduce potential overlooking.

If there are any questions with regards the above please do not hesitate to reach out and discuss.

Sincerely,

Sean MacLean BURPI RPP MCIP Director of Development *Killarney-Glengarry Community Association* 403-619-0094

www.killarneyglengarry.com

Applicant Outreach Summary

October 19, 2020

Re: 2037 32 St SW - R-C2 to R-CG

The outreach for this application has been quite brief thus far and has consisted of a being in communication with the community association and informing them of our intention to complete a series of public outreach events including: meeting with the CA and proposing the application along with a media package with some of our previous R-CG designs, completing a mail drop to surrounding homes and sharing a recently designed webpage with basic information & FAQs about R-CG developments & zoning. We will also be adapting our outreach as needed to best suite the feedback we receive throughout the process.

The Killarney Community Association's position is to neither support or oppose the application but they would like to be involved in the public outreach and to work with us throughout the development permit process to ensure that the concerns of local residents have been heard and taken into account during design.

It is our intention to make use of the Community Association's offer to assist us in conducting future outreach and ensure that we have heard from members of the community who have not yet had a chance to share their opinions, ask questions and inquire for further information.

Regards,

Clay Israelson

New Century Design Inc. (Applicant)

144



CPC2020-1207 ATTACHMENT 5

BYLAW NUMBER 163D2020

BEING A BYLAW OF THE CITY OF CALGARY TO AMEND THE LAND USE BYLAW 1P2007 (LAND USE AMENDMENT LOC2020-0126/CPC2020-1207)

WHEREAS it is desirable to amend the Land Use Bylaw Number 1P2007 to change the land use designation of certain lands within the City of Calgary;

AND WHEREAS Council has held a public hearing as required by Section 692 of the *Municipal Government Act*, R.S.A. 2000, c.M-26 as amended;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CALGARY ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Land Use Bylaw, being Bylaw 1P2007 of the City of Calgary, is hereby amended by deleting that portion of the Land Use District Map shown as shaded on Schedule "A" to this Bylaw and substituting therefore that portion of the Land Use District Map shown as shaded on Schedule "B" to this Bylaw, including any land use designation, or specific land uses and development guidelines contained in the said Schedule "B".
- 2. This Bylaw comes into force on the date it is passed.

READ A FIRST TIME ON	
READ A SECOND TIME ON	
READ A THIRD TIME ON	

MAYOR

SIGNED ON _____

CITY CLERK

SIGNED ON _____
145 PROPOSED AMENDMENT LOC2020-0126/CPC2020-1207 BYLAW NUMBER 163D2020

SCHEDULE A



146 PROPOSED AMENDMENT LOC2020-0126/CPC2020-1207 BYLAW NUMBER 163D2020

SCHEDULE B



Site Photos SDAB2021-0083



Looking east from lane at the rear of the house and garage, showing Enmax pole and guy wire.



Looking north at garage and side of existing house from 21 Avenue SW $\,$



View from 21 Avenue SW looking directly north



View from 21 Avenue SW looking NW at the existing House and Garage



Looking west to front of the property



Balanced Growth Boundary

Neighbourhood Main Street

SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission

2.2.5 STRONG RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBOURHOODS

Objective

Reinforce the stability of Calgary's neighbourhoods and ensure housing quality and vitality of its residential areas.

Residential communities are not static. They evolve over time as demographics shift and buildings age, offering an opportunity to review and accommodate changing community needs. Understanding this community dynamic can help develop plans and strategies to stabilize local population fluctuations, support predictability for the market, guide public reinvestment and ensure long-term viability of local services and facilities.

Outside of the Greater Downtown, Activity Centre and Main Street areas, low to moderate density infill development can be accommodated to support the efficient use of land, infrastructure and services as well as enhance housing choice and affordability. In many cases, public infrastructure and transit service are already in place to support redevelopment. Calgary's older residential areas present some of the best opportunities to accommodate infill development, increasing the range of housing for families and individuals within areas that take advantage of existing infrastructure, transit and existing amenities such as local retail, schools, parks and community services.

Intensification should be accommodated within existing communities in a sensitive manner. In commercial areas, infill and redevelopment can create more cohesive and vibrant neighbourhoods. Integrating new development with existing buildings can enhance or fill in gaps in the street wall to improve the vitality, appearance and security of streets and public spaces.

The City promotes infilling that is sensitive, compatible and complementary to the existing physical patterns and character of neighbourhoods.

Policies

Neighbourhood infill and redevelopment

- a. Encourage growth and change in low-density neighbourhoods through development and redevelopment that is similar in scale and built form and increases the mix of housing types such as accessory suites, semi-detached, townhouses, cottage housing, rowhousing and other ground-oriented housing.
- b. Support development and redevelopment that provides a broader range of housing choice in local communities to help stabilize population declines and support the demographic needs of communities
- c. Encourage higher residential densities In areas of the community that are more extensively served by existing infrastructure, public facilities and transit, appropriate to the specific conditions and character of the neighbourhood.
- Encourage redevelopment that incorporates natural infrastructure solutions and shared energy efficiencies (See Section 2.6).

3.5.1 GENERAL POLICIES FOR DEVELOPED RESIDENTIAL AREA

Policies

The following policies apply to all Developed Residential Areas and are general in nature. Policies that are unique to the Inner City Area and the Established Area follow after this section.

Land Use Policies

- a. Recognize the predominantly low density residential nature of Developed Residential Areas and support retention of housing stock, or moderate intensification in a form and nature that respects the scale and character of the neighbourhood. Local commercial development within residential areas, that is of a scale and intensity that supports residents' commercial needs is supported.
- b. Redevelopment within predominantly multifamily areas should be compatible with the established pattern of development and will consider the following elements:
 - i. Appropriate transitions between adjacent areas.
 - ii. A variety of multi-family housing types to meet the diverse needs of present and future populations.
- c. Redevelopment should support the revitalization of local communities by adding population and a mix of commercial and service uses.

Mobility Policies

- d. For multi-family housing, encourage parking that is well integrated into the residential environment (e.g., consider landscape buffering, smaller lots).
- e. When designing new streets or retrofitting existing streets, use the Complete Streets policies in the CTP.
- f. Ensure that high-quality pedestrian and cyclist connections and facilities are provided from the Developed Residential Area and linked to adjacent areas of higher intensity development (i.e., Neighbourhood Main Streets and Neighbourhood Activity Centres).
- g. Areas beyond the Primary Transit Network will be served with Base Transit Service, with opportunities for enhancing frequency of service as required.

3.5.2 INNER CITY AREA

The Inner City Area comprises residential communities that were primarily subdivided and developed prior to the 1950s. Key features of these areas are a grid road network, older housing stock in the form of low to moderate housing densities and a finer mix of land uses along many of the edge streets. The Inner City Area has undergone redevelopment in recent years. Much of this intensification has taken place along busier roads and as low density infilling within lower density areas. Intensification and change will continue to occur within the Inner City Area; however, it is important to maintain stable family neighbourhoods.



Land Use Policies

- a. Sites within the Inner City Area may intensify, particularly in transition zones adjacent to areas designated for higher density (i.e., Neighbourhood Main Street), or if the intensification is consistent and compatible with the existing character of the neighbourhood. Transition zones should be identified through a subsequent planning study.
- b. A range of intensification strategies should be employed to modestly intensify the Inner City Area, from parcel-by-parcel intensification to larger more comprehensive approaches at the block level or larger area.
- c. Maintain and expand, where warranted by increased population, local commercial development that provides retail and service uses in close proximity to residents, especially in the highest density locations.
- Buildings should maximize front door access to the street and principal public areas to encourage pedestrian activity.
- Encourage at-grade retail to provide continuous, active, transparent edges to all streets and public spaces.

Mobility Policies

f. Transit stops should be easily accessible and, where possible, integrated with adjacent multi-family residential or retail buildings.

Typical Inner City Area Development Pattern

Killarney/ N MAP Glengarry A.R.P. 2 Land Use Policy Legend Conservation/Infill Neighbourhood - Limited Neighbourhood - Low-Community - Mid-Rise Community - Centre Low Density Townhousing _ Medium Density Stacked TR SW Townhousing C IIID Medium Density Apartment High Density Apartment Future Comprehensive Plan Local Commercial Open Space School Schoo Private Club Study Area Boundary Gladmere Park Apartments Main Street Area Developed Area Guidebook Active Frontage Richmond Green Park Approved: 16P85 Amended: 59P2021 A.E. Cros 100 200 300 400 500 Metres

Killarney-Glengarry Area Redevelopment Plan Policies

Townhouse Development

Townhouse and stacked townhouse development as proposed for Killarney/Glengarry would be permitted in a range of medium density development of up to 111 units per hectare (45 units per acre). This policy offers the opportunity for higher density development while requiring a built form more compatible with the existing low density development than apartment development. In addition, by providing individual at-grade access to a substantial portion of the units and encouraging private open space, townhouse development can also provide suitable housing for families with children.

The RM-2 and RM-3 districts which would be used to implement this policy provide for maximum densities of 75 dwelling units per hectare (29 units per acre) and 111 units per hectare (45 units per acre) respectively. Since the Land Use Bylaw does not permit use of the RM-2 district outside of the inner city, a Direct Control (D.C.) designation with RM-2 guidelines would be used to implement the low density townhousing policy.

2.1.3 Policy

- 2.1.3.1 The Land Use Policy for Killarney/Glengarry is indicated on Map 2.
- **2.1.3.2** A Low Density single and two-family dwelling policy for development will continue to be applied to the areas presently designated R-2.

BYLAW 16P2017

Deleted

Deleted

- BYLAW 16P2017
- **2.1.3.3** The existing D.C. site (25A Street S.W.) with RM-6 guidelines will retain its designation.
- **2.1.3.4** Non-conforming uses will be avoided wherever possible by ensuring that redesignations initiated by this ARP do not result in existing developments being redesignated to a lower density land use designation which would not allow such development.
- **2.1.3.5** Utility and road upgrading and other public improvements may be required as redevelopment occurs. Costs associated with such upgrading shall be the responsibility of the developer.

BYLAW 16P2017

2.1.4.2	D.C. (RM-2)	Townhousing/ Stacked Townhousing	To demonstrate compatibility of new development with surrounding development, the following are to be encouraged:1. For single and two-family dwellings, development guidelines as in Section 2.1.4.1.
	District	Land Use Policy	Development Guidelines
2.1.4.2 Cont'd			 2. For multi-family development: a) front yard setback similar to surrounding properties; b) front yards used as landscaped space or amenity area and not for parking provision; c) retention of existing mature vegetation wherever possible; d) front building entry; e) berming or raised planting beds in combination with trees, shrubs and fences to screen surface parking and private amenity areas; f) compatible roofline, orientation and slope; g) building finishing materials, colour, design detail, and facade articulation and rooflines respecting existing building character; h) provision of 1.25 resident parking spaces and .15 visitor parking spaces per dwelling unit; i) as high a proportion of units with 2 or more bedrooms as possible.



The City of Calgary Planning and Development

Development Authority Response to Notice of Appeal

Appeal number: SB2021-0083

Development Permit number: DP2021-1828

Address: 2037 - 32 Street SW

Description: New: Rowhouse Building (1 building), Secondary Suite (1 building, 4 units), Accessory Residential Building (garage) - setback to side property line

Land Use: Residential – Grade-Oriented Infill (R-CG)

Community: Killarney/Glengarry

Jurisdiction Criteria:

Is this application subject to any license, permit, approval, or other authorization from the National Resources Conservation Board, the Energy Resources Conservation Board, the Alberta Energy Regulator, the Alberta Energy and Utilities Board, the Alberta Utilities Commission or the Minister of Environmental and Parks? **No**

DA Attendance: Yes

Notice Posted: Yes, 7 days

Objections: Yes, 1 letter of opposition from the Killarney Residents' Coalition noting concerns with the following:

- the elevations not meeting established design criteria;
- quality of style and finishes of the buildings;
- secondary suites being included;
- building mass, bulk and height will negatively impact the use and enjoyment of the adjacent property to the north;
- lack of shadow study; and
- loss of vegetation on the parcel.

Support: None received.

INTRODUCTION

This document was prepared in response to an appeal (SDAB2021-0083) against the approval of a 4-unit Rowhouse Building with 4 secondary suites located at 2037 - 32 Street SW granted by the Development Authority on 2021 October 20. The Response to Notice of Appeal is intended to provide a summary of the proposal, applicable legislation, review process, and rationale for any relaxations to Land Use Bylaw 1P2007 granted by the Development Authority.

Development Summary:

A development permit application (DP2021-1828) was submitted by New Century Design on 2021 March 19 for a two-storey, 4-unit Rowhouse Building with 4 secondary suites. The parcel is located at 2037 – 32 Street SW, on the corner of 32 Street SW and 21 Avenue SW in the community of Killarney/Glengarry.

The property is approximately 0.06 hectares in size with approximate dimensions of 15.2 metres wide by 36.5 metres deep. The site is currently developed with a one-storey single detached dwelling and a detached garage that is accessed from 21 Avenue SW due to the Enmax power pole along the lane.

The site is currently designated Residential – Grade-Oriented Infill (R-CG) District which allows for Rowhouse Buildings, as well as secondary suites. The district allows for a maximum height of 11.0 metres and a maximum density of 75 units per hectare. Surrounding land use districts in this area are predominantly Residential – Contextual One / Two Dwelling (R-C2) District, as well as Residential – Grade-Oriented Infill (R-CG) District located on the same block 90 metres to the north.

Applicable policies and regulations: The application was evaluated with respect to applicable statutory planning policies including:

- South Saskatchewan Regional Plan (Statutory 2014)
- Municipal Development Plan (Statutory 2009)
- Killarney-Glengarry Area Redevelopment Plan (Statutory 1993)
- Land Use Bylaw 1P2007

Additional factors, considerations and rationale for the decision: The approved building aligns with the community vision of the Area Redevelopment Plan (ARP) and the specific intent of the land use district. It makes efforts to respect the additional constraints encouraged by the ARP and meets technical requirements to the satisfaction of the Development Authority. It is the opinion of the Development Authority that this is a reasonable development in compliance with policy that does not unduly interfere with adjacent properties.

Application Review:

Key development permit application review milestones include:

٠	Application received	2021 March 19
•	Circulation	2021 March 30 – April 28

DP2021-1828

SDAB2021-0083 Additional Submission

- DTR 1 issued 2021 April 28
- Amended plans received 2021 August 25
- Circulation 2021 August 25 September 08
- Notice Posting 2021 September 29 October 05
 - Decision rendered 2021 October 20
 - Decision advertised 2021 October 28

Circulation and Notice Posting

During the circulation period, the application was notice posted for seven days, and the following referees were circulated:

- 1. Enmax responded indicating no objections.
- 2. Ward Councillor responded indicating no objections.
- 3. **Building Regulations** provided advisory comments regarding the Alberta Building Code that did not require amendment to the development permit plans.
- 4. Killarney/Glengarry Community Association no response was received.

Notice Posting – The application was notice-posted for 7 days. In total 1 letter of objection was received indicating the concerns identified in page 1 of this response to appeal.

Administration took these comments into consideration as part of their review, noting that the massing and height of the rowhouse complies with Bylaw 1P2007.

Bylaw relaxations: The development, requires the following relaxations of the rules of the Land Use Bylaw:

Regulation	Standard	Provided
537 Building Setback from Front Property Line	 (2) On a corner parcel, the min building setback from a front property line may be reduced to: (a) the contextual front setback at the side property line shared with another parcel to a max of 6.0m; and (b) decreases in equal proportion with the increase in the distance from the shared side property line, to a min of 3.0m. 	Plans indicate a portion of the second floor is located in the corner building setback chamfer from the front property line. Relaxation supported: as second floor projection into setback is very minimal at 0.09m (see below)
535 Building Depth	(2) For a Rowhouse Building located on a corner parcel there is no max building depth where the building setback from the side property line shared with another parcel is a min of 3.0m for any portion of the Rowhouse Building located between the rear property	Plans indicate a portion of the second floor is located in the 3.0m stepback from the North property line. Relaxation supported:

	line and 50.0% parcel depth or the building depth of the main residential building on the adjoining parcel, whichever is closer to the rear property line.	As it is only the second floor (and not first floor) with a 0.5m projection into the setback area. A 2.47m setback (vs 3m) will have minimal impact on the neighbouring property.
542 Outdoor Private Amenity Space	For a Contextual Semi-detached Dwelling, Duplex Dwelling, Rowhouse Building, Semi- detached Dwelling and a Single Detached Dwelling, each unit must have direct access to private amenity space that: (b) has a minimum total area of 20.0m ² ; and (d) 60.0% of the area of the parcels subject to a single development permit for a development with a density of 60 units per hectare or greater.	Plans indicate the following amenity areas: Unit A $-$ 18.92m ² (-1.08m ²); Unit B $-$ 18.95m ² (-1.05m ²); Unit C $-$ 18.48m ² (-1.52m ²); and Unit D $-$ 17.79m ² (-2.21m ²). Relaxation supported: The amenity space was reduced due to the window wells not counting towards the required area.
341 Driveways	 (2) A driveway connecting a street to a private garage must: (a) be a min of 6.0m in length along the intended direction of travel for vehicles measured from: (i) the back of the public sidewalk to the door of the private garage; or (2) A driveway connecting a street to a private garage must: (b) contain a rectangular area measuring 6.0m in length and 3.0m in width. 	Plans indicate a driveway length of 3.91m (- 2.09m) from the back of the public sidewalk. Relaxation supported: As per the applicant, the placement of the garage is solely due to the Enmax anchors that are situated in the lane. The applicant has spent considerable funds to relocate the anchors to make the lot feasible for development, either R-C2 or R-CG. There is no alternative due to the Enmax anchors. Plans do not indicate a driveway that contains a rectangular measuring 6.0m in length and 3.0m in width.
	 (6) In the developed area a driveway accessing a street must not be constructed, altered or replaced except where: (a) located on a laneless parcel; (b) located on a laned parcel and 50 % or more parcels on same block face have an existing driveway accessing a street; or (c) legally existing driveway not being relocated or widened. 	Relaxation supported as above.Plans indicate a new driveway accessing the street where the parcel is laned and less than 50% of the blockface have an existing driveway accessing a street.Relaxation supported as above.
345 Accessory Residential Building	 (1) The min. building setback for an Accessory Residential Building is:: (a) 1.2m from a side or rear property line shared with a street; OR 	Plans indicate an Accessory Residential Building setback of 0.0m (-1.2m) from the South side property line shared with the street. Relaxation supported as above.

	(5) The min. distance between any façade of an Accessory Residential Building 10.0m ² or more and a main residential building or a building containing a Secondary Suite is 1.0m	Plans indicate a separation distance of 0.80m (-0.20m) between the Accessory Residential Building and the second floor of Unit D. Relaxation supported.
	(6) The height of an Accessory Residential Building must not exceed:(b) 3.0m at any eaveline, when measured from the finished floor of the building; and	Plans indicate an Accessory Residential Building indoor wall height of 3.16m (+0.16m). It should be noted that the indoor wall height was measured to the top of fascia as a building section was not provided. Relaxation supported
347.3 Permitted Use Rowhouse Building	 (1) To be a permitted use a Rowhouse Building: (f) must not have windows on an exposed side façade of a unit that are located beyond the rear façade of a contextually adjacent building on an adjoining parcel unless: (ii) the glass in the window is entirely obscured; (iii) there is a minimum distance of 1.5m 	Plans indicate an unobscured second storey window located beyond the rear façade of
Denoing	between the finished floor and the bottom of the window sill; or (iv) the façade that contains the window is setback a minimum of 4.2m from the side property line; and	

From:	clay <clay@newcenturydesign.ca></clay@newcenturydesign.ca>
Sent:	Monday, November 29, 2021 2:35 PM
То:	Seymour, Brendyn
Cc:	Wishlow, Kristen D.; Ganczar, Lindsey; Shawn Jensen
Subject:	[EXT] Re: DP2021-1828 - Appeal

Brandon,

Yes we would definitely be willing to add that in and we should have included it in the first place! I have also added New Century Design's owner and principal Shawn Jensen to this email chain to keep him in the loop.

Thanks,

Clay Israelson New Century Design 403 244 9744

On Nov 29, 2021, at 2:30 PM, Seymour, Brendyn <<u>Brendyn.Seymour@calgary.ca</u>> wrote:

Hi Clay,

Thanks for this. You are correct. We totally missed including this discrepancy in the DTR.

Could you please confirm that you agree in principle to the following new Prior to Release condition to add obscured glass? This additional condition will be submitted to the SDAB board with the request that it be included in the CoA.

• "Amend the plans by adding a note on the plan stating the second floor window of Unit D1 on the north elevation must be obscured glass."

Also, I have cc:'d Kristen and Lindsey who will be presenting this DP to SDAB on behalf of The City.

Thanks Clay.

BRENDYN SEYMOUR MAUD, RPP, MCIP Planner II, Centre West Area Community Planning Planning & Development The City of Calgary | Mail code: #8075 T 587.576.4310 | F 403.268.2941 | <u>calgary.ca</u> 5th Floor, Municipal Building, 800 Macleod Tr. S.E. P.O. Box 2100, Station M, Calgary, AB Canada T2P 2M5

Please note my new phone number

ISC: Protected

From: clay@newcenturydesign.ca <clay@newcenturydesign.ca>
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 12:15 PM
To: Seymour, Brendyn <<u>Brendyn.Seymour@calgary.ca</u>>
Subject: Re: [EXT] File #DP2021-1828 - approved design and conditions

Hi Brandon,

Looking back at the DTR plans your are right in that the obscure glass was not labeled and I don't believe it was brought up in the DTR either. We implement obscure glass on any window past the rear wall of a neighbouring building on all our R-CG designs but it wax clearly missed here. I can provide you with a revised set of plans with that labeled if that helps at all.

Is there anything else I can do to help out or would it be beneficial for us to have a brief conversation? Let me know when you have a chance.

Thanks,

Clay Israelson New Century Design 403 244 9744

On Nov 29, 2021, at 12:01 PM, Seymour, Brendyn <<u>Brendyn.Seymour@calgary.ca</u>> wrote:

Hi Clay,

Quick question for you as I am preparing our response to the appeal. You mentioned in #3 below the obscure glass. I don't see on the plans a label regarding this glass will be obscured. Can you clarify?

BRENDYN SEYMOUR MAUD, RPP, MCIP Planner II, Centre West Area Community Planning Planning & Development The City of Calgary | Mail code: #8075 T 587.576.4310 | F 403.268.2941 | <u>calgary.ca</u> 5th Floor, Municipal Building, 800 Macleod Tr. S.E. P.O. Box 2100, Station M, Calgary, AB Canada T2P 2M5

Please note my new phone number

ISC: Protected

From: <u>clay@newcenturydesign.ca</u> < <u>clay@newcenturydesign.ca</u>
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 10:40 AM
To: Seymour, Brendyn < <u>Brendyn.Seymour@calgary.ca</u>
Subject: Re: [EXT] File #DP2021-1828 - approved design and conditions

Brendyn,

List of design characteristics to minimize impact on neighbouring lot:

1. Unit D, closest to the lane, has the joists hung off of the foundation wall instead of typically being on top fo the wall. I did this to minimize the height, massing and shadowing on the neighbouring lot. Basically this mean that Unit D's main floor is very close to grade while other homes along the block are typical raised 2-3' from grade and would cast a longer shadow then our design.

2. Maintaining a 3m setback from the shared PL means that the majority of shadowing will fall on to our own lot and not on to the neighbours.

3. Obscure glass used in all windows past the rear wall of the neighbouring lot. This is regular practice for infills and is meant to remove the overlooking/privacy concerns for development that wish to employ a the buildable area towards the rear of the lot.

4. Window placement has been designed so that there is only one window on the upper level which overlooks the neighbouring yard and as mentioned this window will be obscured glass. The other upper level bedrooms windows have been moved to faced the garage. On the main level there is a sliding patio door which accesses small framed landing and a 12" drop to grade. The other window which would have faced the neighbouring rear yard has been moved to face the garage to minimize privacy loss for the neighbour.

5. In terms of community context we designed a traditional style row house and stayed away from both a third level and the large massing seen in other developments along 21 Ave to the east and west. Multiple letters of opposition mentioned concerns about a 3 storey cement box which is clearly not the case for this design.

6. Through significant investment we employed the use of a driveway facing 21 Ave which minimizes the number of vehicles accessing the lane. This was a concern mentioned by multiple residents as they had experienced lane congestion and safety concerns when leaving their garages.

7. Along the shared PL there is also a proposed 6' tall fence to mitigate any privacy concerns.

If there are any questions or if I can assist in providing insight to our design please let me know.

Thanks,

Clay Israelson New Century Design 403 244 9744

NOTICE -

This communication is intended ONLY for the use of the person or entity named above and may contain information that is confidential or

legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient named above or a person responsible for delivering messages or communications to the intended recipient, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that any use, distribution, or copying of this communication or any of the information contained in it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and then destroy or delete this communication, or return it to us by mail if requested by us. The City of Calgary thanks you for your attention and cooperation.

Appeal Board rec'd: January 1, 2022 Submitted by: Dr. C. Bulanda, Neighbour

From:	Dr. Catherine S. Bulanda <csb17@telus.net></csb17@telus.net>
Sent:	Saturday, January 1, 2022 4:16 PM
To:	Calgary SDAB Info
Subject:	[EXT] Support for Appeal #SDAB2021-0083
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

To The Subdivision and Development Appeal Board,

My name is Dr. Catherine S. Bulanda and I have resided at 2040 33rd St SW for over 20 years. Unfortunately, I was not able to attend the previous appeal meeting on December 9, 2021 (and did not submit my opposition as I was not speaking) and will be unable to attend the meeting on January 20th,2022. I will be serving Calgarians by seeing my patients at that time. I respectfully request that my email letter be distributed and/or read to all members of the Appeal Board and to all parties involved in this matter.

I am VEHEMENTLY OPPOSED to the proposed development by New Century Design at 2037 32nd St SW. There are a multitude of reasons why this development will create more problems for not only the current residents of this area but COSTLY problems for the City.

It is clear that this area does not have the appropriate infrastructure to support a potential 8 unit structure; 4 main suites with 4 secondary suites. I will outline briefly the main issues of infrastructure. Over the past few years, there have been multiple sewerage backups at 2040,2042, 2036 and 2032 33rd ST SW due to the development of the duplexes on the west side of 33rd St SW. This was stated by the City's own Water department who had to replace the water main at 33rd St SW and 21 Avenue in 2020. On March 15th, 2020, I had a severe sewer backup that was the result of this issue. I was left for 4 days without running water or sewerage service. The City's Water department visited my home 4 times in 4 days to finally discover that the problem was on the main line under 33rd St SW. The Water Department was fabulous to me. I cannot say enough good things about them. However, I was without water or sewerage for 4 days at the beginning of the COVID pandemic. When the paving crews had to repave the torn up street, after the water main repair, both the Foreman and the Water Dept. Fellow said the problem was from the newly built duplex and its connection to the system. So, I ask you, in simple math terms, instead of a possible 3 or 6 toilets and showers going into the sewerage/waste water lines if a duplex or single house was built at 2037 32nd St SW, how do you think at least 12 toilets and 12 showers or baths, not to mention all the sinks are going to NOT OVERLOAD the current infrastructure? If you allow the current proposed structure to proceed, I guarantee you, there will be more backups requiring costly repairs to be done by the City in several departments. This will outweigh any increased tax revenue or utilities revenue from the 4/8 proposed dwellings instead of the appropriate 2 dwellings that should be built on this property. And, the potential health and safety risks with sewerage backups and lack of running water needs no explanation.

The same issue of overload is concerning the sanitation carts. There is already a problem with spacing and carts, as well as missed service. The Sanitation Department cannot handle the current number of carts in the alley right now. This past summer, I did not have by black cart taken in over 6 weeks. The Sanitation Department missed my black cart 3 times in a row. My neighbours have had similar experiences with the black, blue and green cart service. You can check my file, to see this was NOT the first time this has happened to my property. I have had to call 311 to report the lack of service multiple times over the years. Again, in simple math terms, you are looking at going from 3 carts for 2037 32nd St SW up to 12 carts in the alley. There is currently not consistent service for the existing 15 carts in this area by the Sanitation Department and you now want to add 9 more carts. That would be a total of 24 carts in an alley that currently cannot handle the space capacity or the service as it is. Can you imagine the concentrated smell that will result from the additional carts in such a small space? The risk to the current residents and any new residents to the area from improper sanitation is astounding.

Building a massive 8 unit complex in this area will also negatively affect the currently strained parking situation and increase traffic leading to accidents. Many people use 21St Ave to go from East to West in Kilarney and the corner of 21st Ave and 33rd St is a prime accident corner. There are many obstructions due to parked cars and accidents

constantly occur. I even had a person drive through my front hedge, a few years ago, to avoid a collision. I came home to a partially destroyed hedge and tire tracks across my front lawn. It didn't take Sherlock Holmes to figure out what had happened. Visitors and work people to anyone's houses now have difficulty finding parking. Adding 4 or 8 more cars to this area, plus their visitors or work people, will only cause more potential accidents, more frustration and less tolerance, leading to potential aggressive confrontations between neighbours. How does that foster community spirit? It also puts Calgarians' safety at risk.

As well, building a 3 storey, multi unit structure in the middle of a family neighbourhood just eclipses the surrounding properties and reduces our privacy. Some of these structures have already been placed on the periphery of Kilarney but there is no way this proposed structure will not negatively affect this particular spot and does not belong there. This proposed structure is NON-COMPLIANT with the Residential Infill Housing Guidelines. In fact, this proposed structure has NEVER MET even the new guidelines for development. And that is evidenced by the fact that NINE RELAXATIONS of the RCG zoning standards were given to accommodate this structure. Why was that done? Doesn't that tell you right there that this proposed structure WILL NOT FIT and DOES NOT BELONG at 2037 32nd ST SW? You would never dream of allowing such a structure in the middle of Scarboro or Glamorgan or Wildwood? It is equally not acceptable at this particular location in Kilarney. Perhaps, it is better suited for the periphery of the community and not the center of it.

I respectfully implore the Members of the Appeal Board to reconsider the proposed 8 unit structure being submitted by New Century Design. Please have them re-design and downsize this proposed structure to something that would fit appropriately at this location such as a duplex. I am confident that this Appeal Board will do the right thing and choose to put the safety and welfare of the current residents and any future residents of this area above the intentions of a real estate developer.

Thank you for allowing me to "voice" my concerns. I appreciate your time and consideration with this matter.

Signed, Dr. Catherine S. Bulanda BSc DPM FACFAS 2040 33rd St SW Calgary, Alberta T3E 2S8

Appeal Board rec'd: January 7, 2022 Submitted by: G. Kosowan, Neighbour

From:	Grant Kosowan <grantkosowan@shaw.ca></grantkosowan@shaw.ca>
Sent:	Friday, January 7, 2022 12:33 PM
То:	Calgary SDAB Info
Cc:	Michelle; Monika Galecki
Subject:	#SDAB2021-0083 / 2037 32 Street SW

Follow Up Flag:Follow upFlag Status:Flagged

Good Day Mr. Seymour,

We have been advised that despite numerous letters and overwhelming community opposition, a conditional permit was approved for the above noted development.

It would appear that the City of Calgary permitting department has has completely ignored a comprehensive appeal made by the Killarney Resident Coalition, which is comprised of over 20 surrounding residents that are negatively impacted by the proposed development. It has been suggested that "more letters and opposition" may now be needed for the appeal board. We find this request offensive in light of the substantial efforts and communications that have already been submitted by community members to defend / uphold an existing zoning. Not only has our opposition to maintain R2 zoning on this location been disregarded, but the fact that multiple relaxations to new RCG zoning requirements have been now been added/allowed is utterly reprehensible. The onus is on city planners to preserve and comply with existing regulations/zoning and if you fail to do so, we will consider all legal avenues including an injunction to uphold the current laws. If it comes to this, we will also consider seeking damages on a personally named basis of any city file managers involved that fails to uphold zoning and protect communities and residents from special interests of developers as it relates to this application.

The surreptitious nature of pushing through this permit while granting numerous zoning relaxations in the face of extensive community opposition is unacceptable in every sense of the term.

I am available at the number below should you wish to discuss further.

Regards, Grant

Grant Kosowan 403 519 5000 2212 32 street SW Calgary, Alberta grantkosowan@shaw.ca